# APPENDIX B – Appraisal tables of proposed mineral extraction sites and areas of search

		Page
Breckland s	ites	B3
MIN 12	land north of Chapel Lane, Beetley	B3
MIN 51 & MII	N 13 land west of Bilney Road, Beetley	B8
MIN 08	land north of Stoney Lane, Beetley	B13
MIN 23	land north of Back Lane, Beeston	B18
MIN 200	land west of Cuckoo Lane, Carbrooke	B23
MIN 116	land at Woodrising Road, Cranworth	B28
MIN 35	land at Heath Road, Quidenham	B33
MIN 102 B48	land at North Farm, south of the River Thet, Snetterton	B38
MIN 201	B48land at Swangey Farm, north of North Road, Snetterton	B43
Broadland s	ites	B48
MIN 55	land at Keepers Cottage, Attlebridge	B48
MIN 202	land south of Reepham Road, Attlebridge	B53
MIN 48	land at Swannington Bottom Plantation, Felthorpe	B58
MIN 37	land at Mayton Wood, Coltishall Road, Buxton	B63
MIN 64	land at Grange Farm, Buxton Road, Horstead	B68
MIN 65	land north of Stanninghall Quarry	B73
MIN 96	land at Grange Farm (between Spixworth Road and Coltisha Spixworth	ll Lane), B78
Great Yarmo	outh sites	B83
MIN 203	land north of Welcome Pit, Burgh Castle	B83
MIN 38	land at Waveney Forest, Fritton	B88
King's Lynn	and West Norfolk sites	B94
MIN 6	land off East Winch Road, Mill Drove, Middleton	B94
MIN 45	land north of Coxford Abbey Quarry, East Rudham	B98
MIN 204	land north of Lodge Road, Feltwell	B103
MIN 19 & MII	N 205 land north of the River Nar, Pentney	B108
MIN 74	land at Turf Field, Watlington Road, Tottenhill	B113
MIN 76	land at West Field, Watlington Road, Tottenhill	B118
MIN 77	land at Runns Wood, Tottenhill	B123
MIN 206	land at Oak Field, west of Lynn Road, Tottenhiill	B128
MIN 32	land west of Lime Kiln Road, West Dereham	B133

		Page
Silica Sand		B138
MIN 40	land east of Grandcourt Farm, East Winch	B138
SIL01	land at Mintlyn South, Bawsey	B144
AOS E	land to the north of Shouldham	B149
AOS F	land to the north of Stow Bardolph	B155
AOS I	land to the east of South Runcton	B160
AOS J	land to the east of Tottenhill	B165
SIL02	land at Shouldham and Marham	B170
North Norfol	lk sites	B177
MIN 69	land north of Holt Road, Aylmerton	B177
MIN 71	land west of Norwich Road, Holt	B183
MIN 115	land at Lord Anson's Wood, near North Walsham	B188
MIN 207	land at Pinkney Field, Briston	B193
MIN 208	land south of Holt Road, East Beckham	B198
South Norfo	Ik sites	B203
MIN 209	land adjacent to the A143, Earsham (Extension Area 1)	B203
MIN 210	land adjacent to the A143, Earsham (Extension Area 2)	B208
MIN 211	land west of Bath Hills Road, Earsham (Extension Area 3)	B211
MIN 25	land at Manor Farm (between Loddon Road and Thorpe Roa	ad),
	Haddiscoe	B218
MIN 92	land east of Ferry Lane, Heckingham	B223
MIN 212	land south of Mundham Road, Mundham	B228
MIN 79	land north of Hickling Lane, Swardeston	B233
MIN 80	land south of Mangreen Hall Farm, Swardeston	B239

#### **Breckland sites**

#### MIN 12 - land north of Chapel Lane, Beetley

Proposal: Extraction of 1,175,000 tonnes of sand and gravel Size of site: 16.38 ha

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
SA1: To adapt to and mitigate the effects of climate change by reducing contributions to climate change	The site is 3.7km from Dereham, and 12km from Fakenham, which are the nearest towns.	Extraction Phase ++ Mineral extraction requires energy and therefore emits CO <sub>2</sub> . There would also be CO <sub>2</sub> emissions from road transportation to the nearest towns, but Dereham is less than 5km away.	<b>O</b> No contributions to climate change post extraction. Restoration would include woodland as a carbon 'sink'.
SA2: To improve air quality in line with the National Air Quality Standards	The site is not within an AQMA. As a proposed extension to an existing site, the number of vehicle movements is expected to remain the same but continue for a longer period.	<b>0</b> Vehicle movements are not proposed to increase during the extraction phase, so would be unlikely to affect air quality due to vehicle emissions.	0 No effect post restoration
SA3: To minimise noise, vibration and visual intrusion	The nearest residential property is 11m from the site boundary. There are 21 sensitive receptors within 250m of the site boundary. The settlement of Beetley is 260m away and Old Beetley is 380m away. However, land at the north-west and south-west corners is not proposed to be extracted. Therefore the nearest residential property is 96m from the extraction area and there are 18 sensitive receptors within 250m of the proposed extraction area. The effect on visual intrusion is assessed under objective SA8.	 Sand and gravel extraction is not expected to cause vibration. It is considered that noise and dust can be mitigated to acceptable levels within 250m of the source; the greatest impacts will be within 100m, if uncontrolled. Noise and dust assessments, and mitigation measures to appropriately control any amenity impacts, must form part of any planning application for mineral extraction.	0 No effect post restoration
SA4: To improve accessibility to jobs, services and facilities and	Mineral extraction sites are unlikely to provide improved accessibility to services and facilities and reduce social	<b>0</b> No effects expected during extraction	<b>0</b> It is unlikely that enhanced public access would be

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
reduce social exclusion	exclusion. The effect on employment is assessed under objective SA13.		provided within the site on restoration.
SA5: To maintain and enhance the character of the townscape and historic environment	The nearest Listed building is 460m away and is the Grade I Church of St Mary Magdalen. There are 14 Listed Buildings within 2km of the site.	- A Heritage Statement would be required to support any future planning application. The heritage statement should identify potential impacts to heritage assets and suggest appropriate mitigation.	<b>0</b> Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts on the setting of heritage assets.
	The only Scheduled Monument within 2km of the site is 1.57km away and is the 'Moated site 280m south east of Spong Bridge'.	No effects expected during extraction	No effect post extraction.
	There are no Conservation Areas or Registered Historic Parks and Gardens within 2km of the site.	No effects expected during extraction	No effect post extraction.
	There are no Historic Environment records within the site boundary. The site is in a wider landscape with a significant number of finds and features from multiple periods.	There is the potential that unknown archaeology exists on the site and an assessment of the significance of archaeological deposits will be required at the planning application stage, in order to protect and mitigate the impact of mineral extraction in this site.	No effect post extraction.
SA6: To protect and enhance Norfolk's biodiversity and geodiversity	The site is 3.47km from the River Wensum SAC and is outside the Impact Risk Zone for the River Wensum SSSI.	<b>0</b> Due to distance, no impacts on SPAs, SACs or Ramsar sites are expected.	<b>0</b> No impacts on SPAs, SACs, or Ramsar sites are expected.
	Beetley and Hoe Meadows SSSI is 1.16km from the site boundary.	The proposed extraction site would be worked dry (above the water table) and is	No impacts to SSSIs are expected post extraction.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	Dillington Carr, Gressenhall SSSI is 1.44km from the site boundary	located up-gradient of these SSSIs. Therefore there would be no adverse impacts to SSSIs.	
	The nearest CWS is CWS 1027 'Gressenhall Green Marshes' which is 730m from the site boundary.	No adverse impacts on the CWS are expected due to the distance from the site and because the site would be worked dry.	No impacts to County Wildlife sites are expected post extraction.
	The nearest ancient woodland site is Great Wood which is a PAWS and ASNW; it is 1.28km from the site boundary.	No adverse impacts on the ancient woodland are expected due to the distance from the site and because the site would be worked dry.	No impacts to ancient woodland sites are expected post extraction.
	The site consists of the Briton's Lane sand and gravel member, overlying chalk formations. The Briton's Lane sands and gravels are known to contain priority features such as palaesols and erratics in other locations, and therefore they may occur on this site.	There is the potential for this site to contain examples of geodiversity priority features.	No adverse impacts to geodiversity are expected post extraction. It would be useful for restoration to provide opportunities for further geological research of suitable exposures.
SA7: To promote innovative solutions for the restoration and after use of minerals sites	The site is proposed to be restored at a lower level and returned to arable agriculture. Restoration would include wide field margins, new hedgerows and some woodland.	<b>0</b> No effect during extraction phase	+ The proposed restoration scheme would provide some biodiversity gains.
SA8: To protect and enhance the quality and distinctiveness of the countryside and landscape	The site is not located within the AONB, a Core River Valley or any other designated landscape feature.	- The site comprises open arable land with few landscape features apart from boundary hedgerow. The site is generally well screened from views from surrounding roads and property, although views of the site would	<b>0</b> Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate mitigation strategy and restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
		be seen from Field Lane. However, this would be relatively easy to screen.	
SA9: To contribute to improved health and amenity of local communities in Norfolk	There are no Public Rights of Way within or adjacent to the site. The nearest residential property is 11m from the site boundary. There are 21 sensitive receptors within 250m of the site boundary. However, land at the north-west and south- west corners is not proposed to be extracted. Therefore the nearest residential property is 96m from the extraction area and there are 18 sensitive receptors within 250m of the proposed extraction area.	- Care would be needed to ensure that the impact on nearby dwellings would not be significant; however it is considered that appropriate mitigation measures to ensure no unacceptable impacts could be conditioned.	<b>0</b> New public footpaths are unlikely to be provided within the site on restoration.
SA10: To protect and enhance water and soil quality in Norfolk	The site is located over a secondary A aquifer (superficial deposits) and a principal aquifer (bedrock). The site is within groundwater Source Protection Zone 3.	<b>0/-</b> The site would be worked dry (above the water table) and therefore no effect on water resources is expected.	<b>0</b> No effect on water resources is expected post extraction.
	The site is grade 3 agricultural land and could potentially be grade 3a which is classified within the Best and Most Versatile agricultural land.	Potential for BMV agricultural land to be affected by mineral extraction within the site.	The site is proposed to be restored back to agriculture. Therefore, as long as the topsoil was stored correctly and then replaced, there would be no likely adverse effect on BMV agricultural land.
SA11: To promote sustainable use of minerals resources	The site is 3.4km from Dereham and 12km from Fakenham. These are the nearest settlements allocated for significant growth in the adopted Local Plan.	++ Due to distance to nearest settlement allocated for significant growth.	0 No effect post extraction
SA12: To reduce the risk of current	The site has a low probability of flooding	++	0

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
,		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
and future flooding at new and existing development	from rivers. No areas of the site are at risk of surface water flooding.	The site is at low risk of being affected by flooding from either rivers, the sea or surface water. Sand and gravel extraction is considered to be a 'water compatible' land use which is suitable in all flood zones.	No effect post extraction / restoration.
SA13: To encourage employment opportunities and promote economic growth	Although employment levels at minerals sites tend to be low, if this site was worked it could offer continuing local employment opportunities. As with all potential minerals sites, it would contribute to economic growth in Norfolk by providing raw materials for the construction industry	+	0 No effect post restoration
Conclusion	The site scores well in terms of proximity to growth locations and is located in an area of low flood risk. There are potential negative effects on the historic environment, landscape and amenity due to the proximity of residential dwellings and listed buildings; however, it is considered that these effects could be appropriately mitigated. There could positive effects for biodiversity on restoration. Sand and gravel extraction has positive economic impacts as it provides raw materials for the construction industry.		

## MIN 51 & MIN 13 – land west of Bilney Road, Beetley

**Proposal:** Extraction of 1,120,000 tonnes of sand and gravel

Size of site: 27.14 ha

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
SA1: To adapt to and mitigate the effects of climate change by reducing contributions to climate change	The site is 4.5km from Dereham and 11km from Fakenham, which are the nearest towns.	Extraction Phase ++ Mineral extraction requires energy and therefore emits CO <sub>2</sub> . There would also be CO <sub>2</sub> emissions from road transportation to the nearest towns, but Dereham is less than 5km away.	<b>O</b> No contributions to climate change post extraction. Restoration would include woodland as a carbon 'sink'.
SA2: To improve air quality in line with the National Air Quality Standards	The site is not within an AQMA. As a proposed new extraction site, it may lead to an increase of 20 HGV movements per day.	- Due to increased HGV movements. However, the increased number of HGV movements due to mineral transport would not be significant compared to overall HGV transport.	0 No effect post restoration
SA3: To minimise noise, vibration and visual intrusion	The nearest residential property is 171m from the site boundary. There are three sensitive receptors within 250m of the site boundary. The settlement of East Bilney is 470m away. The effect on visual intrusion is assessed under objective SA8.	- Sand and gravel extraction is not expected to cause vibration. It is considered that noise and dust can be mitigated to acceptable levels within 250m of the source; the greatest impacts will be within 100m, if uncontrolled. Noise and dust assessments, and mitigation measures to appropriately control any amenity impacts, must form part of any planning application for mineral extraction.	<b>0</b> No effect post restoration
SA4: To improve accessibility to jobs, services and facilities and reduce social exclusion	Mineral extraction sites are unlikely to provide improved accessibility to services and facilities and reduce social exclusion. The effect on employment is assessed under objective SA13.	0 No effects expected during extraction	<b>0</b> It is unlikely that enhanced public access would be provided within the site on restoration.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
SA5: To maintain		0	0
and enhance the character of the townscape and historic environment	The nearest Listed Building is 680m away and is the Grade II Almshouses. There are 16 Listed Buildings	A Heritage Statement would be required to support any future planning application. The heritage statement	A mitigation strategy should ensure the historic value of assets is appropriately preserved. Mineral
	within 2km of the site.	should identify potential impacts to heritage assets and suggest appropriate mitigation.	extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable
	The only Scheduled Monument within 2km is the 'Deserted	No effects expected during extraction.	impacts on the setting of heritage assets.
	Medieval Village' which is 1.11km away.	No effects expected	No effect post extraction.
	Brisley Conservation Area is 1.74km from the site.	during extraction	No effect post extraction.
	There are no Registered Historic Parks and Gardens	during extraction.	No effect post extraction.
	within 2km of the site.	There is the potential that unknown	
SA6: To protect	Historic Environment records of cropmarks, including a ring ditch, exist within the site boundary. The site is in a wider landscape with a significant number of finds and features from multiple periods.	archaeology exists on the site and an assessment of the significance of archaeological deposits will be required at the planning application stage, in order to protect and mitigate the impact of mineral extraction in this site.	No effect post extraction.
and enhance Norfolk's biodiversity and geodiversity	the River Wensum SAC and is outside the Impact Risk Zone for the River Wensum SSSI.	Due to distance, no impacts on SPAs, SACs or Ramsar sites are expected.	No impacts on SPAs, SACs or Ramsar sites are expected.
	Beetley and Hoe Meadows SSSI is 2.34km from the site boundary. Dillington Carr, Gressenhall SSSI is 2.17km from the site boundary.	The proposed extraction site would be worked dry (above the water table) and is located up-gradient of these SSSIs. Therefore there would be no	No impacts to SSSIs are expected post extraction.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	Horse Wood Mileham SSSI is 2.84km from the site boundary. CWS 2137 'Beck Farm	adverse impacts to these SSSIs. The proposed extraction site would be worked dry and therefore there would be no adverse impact to Horse Wood SSSI.	No impacts to SSSIs are expected post extraction.
	Meadows' is 520m from the site boundary and CWS 2068 'Rawhall Wood' is 540m from the site boundary.	No adverse impacts on the CWS are expected due to the distance from the site and because the site would be worked dry.	Wildlife Sites are expected post extraction.
	The nearest ancient woodland site is Rawhall Wood which is a PAWS & ASNW; it is 0.57km from the site boundary.	No adverse impacts on the ancient woodland are expected due to the distance from the site and because the site would be worked dry.	No impacts to ancient woodland sites are expected post extraction.
	The site consists of the Briton's Lane sand and gravel member, Lowestoft formation – diamicton, overlying chalk formations. The Briton's Lane sands and gravels are known to contain priority features such as palaesols and erratics in other locations, and therefore they may occur on this site.	There is the potential for the site to contain examples of geodiversity priority features.	No adverse impacts to geodiversity are expected post restoration. It would be useful for restoration to provide opportunities for further geological research of suitable exposures.
SA7: To promote innovative solutions for the restoration and after use of minerals sites	The site is proposed to be restored at a lower level and returned to arable agricultural. Lagoons to be retained as ponds with planting to create wet woodland habitat. Hedgerow interspersed with oaks to be planted along the northern boundary (alongside Rawhall Lane).	<b>0</b> No effect during extraction phase	+ The proposed restoration scheme would provide some biodiversity gains.
SA8: To protect and enhance the	The site is not located within the AONB, a	-	0

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
quality and distinctiveness of the countryside and landscape	Core River Valley or any other designated landscape feature.	The site comprises open arable land with few landscape features apart from mature hedgerow oaks. Views of the site can be seen from Bilney Lane, and with a longer view from Stoney Lane and Rawhall Lane. The site is fairly flat and would be relatively easy to screen from the views from surrounding roads.	Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate mitigation strategy and restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts.
SA9: To contribute to improved health and amenity of local communities in Norfolk	There are no Public Rights of Way within or adjacent to the site. The nearest residential property is 171m from the site boundary. There are three sensitive receptors within 250m of the site boundary.	- Care would be needed to ensure that the impact on nearby dwellings would not be significant; however it is considered that appropriate mitigation measures to ensure no unacceptable impacts could be conditioned.	<b>0</b> New public footpaths are unlikely to be provided within the site on restoration.
SA10: To protect and enhance water and soil quality in Norfolk	The site is located over a secondary A aquifer (superficial deposits) and a principal aquifer (bedrock). However, there are no groundwater Source Protection Zones within the proposed site.	<b>0/-</b> The site would be worked dry (above the water table) and therefore no effect on water resources is expected.	<b>0</b> No effect on water resources is expected post extraction.
	The site is Grade 3 agricultural land and could potentially be Grade 3a which is classified within the Best and Most Versatile agricultural land.	Potential for BMV agricultural land to be affected by mineral extraction within the site.	The site is proposed to be restored back to agriculture. Therefore, as long as the topsoil was stored correctly and then replaced, there would be no likely adverse effect on BMV agricultural land.
SA11: To promote sustainable use of minerals resources	The site is 4.5km from Dereham and 11km from Fakenham. These are the nearest settlements allocated for significant growth in the adopted Local Plan.	++ Due to distance to nearest settlement allocated for significant growth.	0 No effect post extraction

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
SA12: To reduce the risk of current and future flooding at new and existing development	The site has a low probability of flooding from rivers. The site has a medium probability of surface water flooding with a few locations of surface water pooling in 1 in 30 and 1 in 100 year rainfall events. In a 1 in 1000 year rainfall event there is a surface water flow path across the south-western corner of the site.	<b>0</b> The site is at low risk of being affected by flooding from either rivers or the sea. The site is at medium risk of being affected by flooding from surface water. Sand and gravel extraction is considered to be a 'water compatible' land use which is suitable in all flood zones.	<b>0</b> No effect post extraction / restoration.
SA13: To encourage employment opportunities and promote economic growth	Although employment levels at minerals sites tend to be low, if this site was worked it could offer some local employment opportunities. As with all potential minerals sites, it would contribute to economic growth in Norfolk by providing raw materials for the construction industry	+	0 No effect post restoration
Conclusion	The site scores well in terms of proximity to growth locations. There are potential negative effects on air quality, landscape, agricultural land and amenity; however, it is considered that these effects could be appropriately mitigated. There could positive effects for biodiversity on restoration. Sand and gravel extraction has positive economic impacts as it provides raw materials for the construction industry.		

## MIN 08 –land north of Stoney Lane, Beetley

**Proposal:** Extraction of 731,000 tonnes of sand and gravel

Size of site: 15.3 ha

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
SA1: To adapt to and mitigate the effects of climate change by reducing contributions to climate change	The site is 4.2km from Dereham and 11.5km from Fakenham, which are the nearest towns.	<ul> <li>Mineral extraction requires energy and therefore emits CO<sub>2</sub>. There would also be CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from road transportation to the nearest towns, but Dereham is less than 5km away.</li> </ul>	<b>0</b> No contributions to climate change post extraction. Restoration would include woodland as a carbon 'sink'.
SA2: To improve air quality in line with the National Air Quality Standards	The site is not within an AQMA. As a proposed new extraction site, it may lead to an increase of 40 HGV movements per day.	- Due to increased HGV movements. However, the increased number of HGV movements due to mineral transport would not be significant compared to overall HGV transport.	0 No effect post restoration
SA3: To minimise noise, vibration and visual intrusion	The nearest residential property is 417m from the site boundary. The settlement of Gressenhall is 530m away. The effect on visual intrusion is assessed under objective SA8.	<b>0</b> Sand and gravel extraction is not expected to cause vibration. It is considered that noise and dust can be mitigated to acceptable levels within 250m of the source. Noise and dust assessments, and mitigation measures to appropriately control any amenity impacts, must form part of any planning application for mineral extraction.	0 No effect post restoration
SA4: To improve accessibility to jobs, services and facilities and reduce social exclusion	Mineral extraction sites are unlikely to provide improved accessibility to services and facilities and reduce social exclusion. The effect on employment is assessed under objective SA13.	<b>0</b> No effects expected during extraction	<b>0</b> It is unlikely that enhanced public access would be provided within the site on restoration.
SA5: To maintain and enhance the character of the townscape and	The nearest Listed Building is 830m away and is the Grade II Methodist Chapel and	<b>0</b> A Heritage Statement would be required to support any future	<b>0</b> A mitigation strategy should ensure the historic value of assets

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
historic environment	adjoining two dwellings. There are 15 Listed Buildings within 2km of the site. The only Scheduled	planning application. The heritage statement should identify potential impacts to heritage assets and suggest appropriate mitigation.	is appropriately preserved. Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts on the setting
	Monument within 2km of the site is 1.37km away and is the 'Deserted Medieval village'.	No effects expected during extraction.	of heritage assets. No effect post extraction
	There are no Conservation Areas or Registered Historic Parks and Gardens within 2km of the site.	No effects expected during extraction.	No effect post extraction.
	Historic Environment records of cropmarks and isolated finds, including a ring ditch exist within the site boundary. The site is in a wider landscape with a significant number of finds and features from multiple periods.	There is the potential that unknown archaeology exists on the site and an assessment of the significance of archaeological deposits will be required at the planning application stage, in order to protect and mitigate the impact of mineral extraction in this site.	No effect post extraction.
SA6: To protect and enhance Norfolk's biodiversity and geodiversity	The site is 4.64km from the River Wensum SAC and is outside the Impact Risk Zone for the River Wensum SSSI.	<b>0</b> Due to distance, no impacts on SPAs, SACs or Ramsar sites are expected.	<b>0</b> No impacts on SPAs, SACs, or Ramsar sites are expected.
	Beetley and Hoe Meadows SSSI is 2.12km from the site boundary. Dillington Carr, Gressenhall SSSI is 1.88km from the site boundary.	The proposed extraction site would be worked dry (above the water table) and is located up-gradient of these SSSIs. Therefore there would be no adverse impacts to SSSIs.	No impacts to SSSIs are expected post extraction.
	The nearest CWS is CWS 2068 'Rawhall	No adverse impacts on the CWS are expected	No impacts to County Wildlife Sites are

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	Wood' which is 850m from the site boundary.	due to the distance from the site and because the site would be worked dry.	expected post extraction.
	The nearest ancient woodland site is Rawhall Wood, which is a PAWS & ASNW; it is 0.85km from the site boundary.	No adverse impacts on the ancient woodland are expected due to the distance from the site and because the site would be worked dry.	No impacts to ancient woodland sites are expected post extraction.
	The site consists of the Briton's Lane sand and gravel member, Lowestoft formation – diamicton. The Briton's Lane sands and gravels are known to contain priority features such as palaesols and erratics in other locations, and therefore they may occur on this site.	There is the potential for the site to contain examples of geodiversity priority features.	No adverse impacts to geodiversity are expected post restoration. It would be useful for restoration to provide opportunities for further geological research of suitable exposures.
SA7: To promote innovative solutions for the restoration and after use of minerals sites	The majority of the site is proposed to be restored to agriculture. A proportion of the site will be restored to woodland and associated grassland habitat.	0 No effect during extraction phase	+ The proposed restoration scheme would provide some biodiversity gains.
SA8: To protect and enhance the quality and distinctiveness of the countryside and landscape	The site is not located within the AONB, a Core River Valley or any other designated landscape feature.	- The site comprises open arable land. Views of the site can be seen from Bilney Lane and Stoney Lane. The site is remote from property and is fairly flat and would be relatively easy to screen from the views from the surrounding roads.	<b>0</b> Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate mitigation strategy and restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts.
SA9: To contribute to improved health and amenity of local communities in Norfolk	There are no Public Rights of Way within or adjacent to the site. The nearest residential property is 417m from the site boundary.	<b>0</b> There is unlikely to be a significant impact on health or amenity from mineral extraction within the site.	<b>0</b> New public footpaths are unlikely to be provided within the site on restoration.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
SA10: To protect and enhance water and soil quality in Norfolk	The site is partially located over a Secondary A aquifer and a secondary (undifferentiated) aquifer (superficial deposits) and a principal aquifer (bedrock). The site is partly within groundwater Source Protection Zone 3. The rest of the site is not within a groundwater SPZ.	<b>0/-</b> The site would be worked dry (above the water table). Therefore no effect on water resources is expected during extraction.	<b>0</b> No effect on water resources is expected post extraction.
	The site is Grade 3 agricultural land and could potentially be Grade 3a which is classified within the Best and Most Versatile agricultural land.	Potential for BMV agricultural land to be affected by mineral extraction within the site.	The site is proposed to be restored back to agriculture. Therefore, as long as the topsoil was stored correctly and then replaced, there would be no likely adverse effect on BMV agricultural land.
SA11: To promote sustainable use of minerals resources	The site is 4.2km from Dereham and 11.5km from Fakenham. These are the nearest settlements allocated for significant growth in the adopted Local Plan.	++ Due to distance to nearest settlement allocated for significant growth.	0 No effect post extraction
SA12: To reduce the risk of current and future flooding at new and existing development	The site has a low probability of flooding from rivers. The site has a medium probability of surface water flooding with an area of surface water pooling in a 1 in 30 year rainfall event. In a 1 in 100 year rainfall event a surface water flow path develops between the area of ponding and the south-eastern corner of the site. In a 1 in 1000 year rainfall event the flow path further develops to run north west to south east across the site.	<b>0</b> The site is at low risk of being affected by flooding from either rivers or the sea. The site is at medium risk of being affected by flooding from surface water. Sand and gravel extraction is considered to be a 'water compatible' land use which is suitable in all flood zones.	<b>0</b> No effect post extraction / restoration.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
SA13: To	Although employment	+	0
encourage	levels at minerals sites		No effect post
employment	tend to be low, if this		restoration
opportunities and	site was worked it could		
promote economic	offer some local		
growth	employment		
	opportunities. As with		
	all potential minerals		
	sites, it would contribute		
	to economic growth in		
	Norfolk by providing		
	raw materials for the		
	construction industry		
Conclusion		rms of proximity to growth	
		on air quality, the landsca	<b>.</b>
	however, it is considered that these effects could be appropriately mitigated.		
	There could positive effects for biodiversity on restoration. Sand and gravel		
	extraction has positive economic impacts as it provides raw materials for the		
	construction industry.		

## MIN 23 – land north of Back Lane, Beeston

**Proposal:** Extraction of 500,000 tonnes of sand and gravel

Size of site: 15 ha

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
SA1: To adapt to and mitigate the effects of climate change by reducing contributions to climate change	The site is 7.4km from Dereham and 10.2km from Swaffham, which are the nearest towns.	<ul> <li>Mineral extraction requires energy and therefore emits CO<sub>2</sub>.</li> <li>There would also be CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from road transportation to the nearest towns, but Dereham is less than 10km away.</li> </ul>	<b>0</b> No contributions to climate change post extraction.
SA2: To improve air quality in line with the National Air Quality Standards	The site is not within an AQMA. As a proposed new extraction site, it may lead to an increase of 15 HGV movements per day.	- Due to increased HGV movements. However, the increased number of HGV movements due to mineral transport would not be significant compared to overall HGV transport.	0 No effect post restoration
SA3: To minimise noise, vibration and visual intrusion	The nearest residential property is 132m from the site boundary. There are 39 sensitive receptors within 250m of the site boundary. The settlement of Beeston is 132m away. However, the most southern part of the site is not proposed to be extracted. Therefore the nearest residential property is 198m from the extraction area and there are 9 sensitive receptors within 250m of the proposed extraction area. The effect on visual intrusion is assessed under objective SA8.	- Sand and gravel extraction is not expected to cause. It is considered that noise and dust can be mitigated to acceptable levels within 250m of the source; the greatest impacts will be within 100m, if uncontrolled. Noise and dust assessments, and mitigation measures to appropriately control any amenity impacts, must form part of any planning application for mineral extraction.	0 No effect post restoration
SA4: To improve accessibility to jobs, services and facilities and reduce social exclusion	Mineral extraction sites are unlikely to provide improved accessibility to services and facilities and reduce social exclusion. The effect on employment is	0 No effects expected during extraction	<b>0</b> It is unlikely that enhanced public access would be provided within the site on restoration.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	assessed under objective SA13.		
SA5: To maintain and enhance the character of the townscape and historic environment	The nearest Listed Building is the Grade II 'Moat House/Old Rectory' which is 170m away. There are 20 Listed Buildings within 2km of the site. 15 of these are within the Litcham Conservation Area which is 1.24km from the site. There are two Scheduled Monuments within 2km of the site. They are 'Devil's Dyke' 1.96km from the site	 A Heritage Statement would be required to support any future planning application. The heritage statement should identify potential impacts to heritage assets and suggest appropriate mitigation, which may include identification of areas where mineral extraction would be inappropriate. No effects expected during extraction.	 A mitigation strategy should ensure the historic value of assets is appropriately preserved. Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts on the setting of heritage assets.
	and 'Disc Barrow on Litcham Common' 1.11km from the site. There are no Registered Historic Parks and Gardens within 2km of the site. Historic Environment records of isolated multi period finds exist close	No effects expected during extraction There is the potential that unknown archaeology exists on the site and an	No effect post extraction. No effect post extraction.
	to the site boundary. The site is in a wider landscape with a significant number of finds and features from multiple periods.	assessment of the significance of archaeological deposits will be required at the planning application stage, in order to protect and mitigate the impact of mineral extraction in this site.	
SA6: To protect and enhance Norfolk's biodiversity and	The site is more than 5km from any SPA, SAC or Ramsar site.	<b>0</b> No impacts on SPAs, SACs or Ramsar sites are expected.	<b>0</b> No impacts on SPAs, SACs or Ramsar sites are expected.
geodiversity	River Nar SSSI is 1.10km from the site boundary.	No adverse impacts on the River Nar SSSI are expected because the site would be worked dry (above the water table).	No impacts to SSSIs are expected post extraction.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	Horse Wood Mileham SSSI is 2.63km from the site boundary. Honey Pot Wood, Wendling SSSI is 2.87km from the site boundary.	No adverse impacts on Horse Wood Mileham SSSI or Honey Pot Wood, Wendling SSSI are expected because the site would be worked dry (above the water table) and is in a different hydrological catchment to these SSSIs.	
	The nearest CWS is CWS 964 'Warren Woods' which is 750m from the site boundary.	No adverse impacts on the CWS are expected due to the distance from the site and because the site would be worked dry.	No impacts to County Wildlife Sites are expected post extraction
	The nearest ancient woodland site is Old Covert wood which is a PAWS; it is 2.14km from the site boundary.	No adverse impacts on ancient woodland are expected due to the distance from the site and because the site would be worked dry.	No impacts to ancient woodland are expected post extraction.
	The site consists of the Lowestoft formation – diamicton, overlying chalk formations.	This site is unlikely to contain examples of geodiversity priority features.	No adverse impacts to geodiversity are expected post restoration.
SA7: To promote innovative solutions for the restoration and after use of minerals sites	No details on proposed restoration of the site have been provided. The preferred restoration scheme would be to agriculture at a lower level with wide field margins, hedgerow reinforcement and tree planting.	<b>0</b> No effect during extraction phase	? No details of a proposed restoration scheme have been provided.
SA8: To protect and enhance the quality and distinctiveness of the countryside and landscape	The site is not located within the AONB, a Core River Valley or any other designated landscape feature.	 The site is sloping arable land with a fall towards the village of Beeston to the south. Workings would be visually intrusive to the community of Beeston, and due to the sloping nature of the site, hard to screen. They would	- Mineral extraction will result in landscape change which, due to the sloping nature of the site, would be visible from a variety of viewpoints; however, an appropriate mitigation strategy and restoration scheme

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
		also affect the quiet enjoyment of the surrounding countryside.	would minimise the impact.
SA9: To contribute to improved health and amenity of local communities in Norfolk	There are no Public Rights of Way within or adjacent to the site. The nearest residential property is 132m from the site boundary. There are 39 sensitive receptors within 250m of the site boundary. However, the most southern part of the site is not proposed to be extracted. Therefore the nearest residential property is 198m from the extraction area and there are 9 sensitive receptors within 250m of the proposed extraction area.	- Care would be needed to ensure that the impact on nearby dwellings would not be significant; however it is considered that appropriate mitigation measures to ensure no unacceptable impacts could be conditioned.	<b>0</b> New public footpaths are unlikely to be provided within the site on restoration.
SA10: To protect and enhance water and soil quality in Norfolk	The site is located over a secondary (undifferentiated) aquifer (superficial deposits) and a principal aquifer (bedrock). However, there are no groundwater Source Protection Zones within the proposed site.	<b>0/-</b> The site would be worked dry (above the water table). Therefore no effect on water resources is expected during extraction.	<b>0</b> No effect on water resources is expected post extraction.
	The site is Grade 3 agricultural land and could potentially be Grade 3a which is classified within the Best and Most Versatile agricultural land.	Potential for BMV agricultural land to be affected by mineral extraction within the site.	If the site was restored back to agriculture and the topsoil was stored correctly and then replaced, there would be no likely adverse effect on BMV agricultural land.
SA11: To promote sustainable use of minerals resources	The site is 7.4km from Dereham and 10.2km from Swaffham. These are the nearest settlements allocated for significant growth in the adopted Local Plan.	+ Due to distance to nearest settlement allocated for significant growth.	0 No effect post extraction

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
SA12: To reduce the risk of current and future flooding at new and existing development	The site has a low probability of flooding from rivers. The site has a low risk of surface water flooding, with one location of surface water pooling in a 1 in 100 year rainfall event at the southern corner of the site. There is a surface water flow path crossing the southern corner of the site in a 1 in 1000 year rainfall event.	++ The site is at low risk of being affected by flooding from either rivers, the sea or surface water. Sand and gravel extraction is considered to be a 'water compatible' land use which is suitable in all flood zones.	<b>0</b> No effect post extraction / restoration.
SA13: To encourage employment opportunities and promote economic growth	Although employment levels at minerals sites tend to be low, if this site was worked it could offer some local employment opportunities. As with all potential minerals sites, it would contribute to economic growth in Norfolk by providing raw materials for the construction industry	+	0 No effect post restoration
Conclusion	The site scores well in terms of proximity to growth. There are potential negative effects on air quality, the historic environment, landscape, agricultural land and amenity. It is considered that the landscape impacts could not be appropriately mitigated. Sand and gravel extraction has positive economic impacts as it provides raw materials for the construction industry.		

## MIN 200 – land west of Cuckoo Lane, Carbrooke

**Proposal:** Extraction of 300,000 tonnes of sand and gravel

Size of site: 7.94 ha

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
SA1: To adapt to and mitigate the effects of climate change by reducing contributions to climate change	The site is 0.8km from Watton and 10.1km from both Attleborough and Dereham, which are the nearest towns.	++ Mineral extraction requires energy and therefore emits CO <sub>2</sub> . There would also be CO <sub>2</sub> emissions from road transportation to the nearest towns, but Watton is less than 1km away.	<b>0</b> No contributions to climate change post extraction. Restoration would not include woodland as a carbon 'sink'.
SA2: To improve air quality in line with the National Air Quality Standards	The site is not within an AQMA. As a proposed extension to an existing site, the number of vehicle movements is expected to remain the same but continue for a longer period.	<b>0</b> Vehicle movements are not proposed to increase during the extraction phase, so would be unlikely to affect air quality due to vehicle emissions.	0 No effect post restoration
SA3: To minimise noise, vibration and visual intrusion	The nearest residential property is 144m from the site boundary, this is the only sensitive receptor within 250m of the site. The settlement of Carbrooke is 321m away. The effect on visual intrusion is assessed under objective SA8.	- Sand and gravel extraction is not expected to cause vibration. It is considered that noise and dust can be mitigated to acceptable levels within 250m of the source; the greatest impacts will be within 100m, if uncontrolled. Noise and dust assessments, and mitigation measures to appropriately control any amenity impacts, must form part of any planning application for mineral extraction.	<b>0</b> No effect post restoration
SA4: To improve accessibility to jobs, services and facilities and reduce social exclusion	Mineral extraction sites are unlikely to provide improved accessibility to services and facilities and reduce social exclusion. The effect on employment is assessed under objective SA13.	0 No effects expected during extraction	<b>0</b> It is unlikely that enhanced public access would be provided within the site on restoration.
SA5: To maintain and enhance the	The nearest Listed Buildings are the Grade		-

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
character of the townscape and historic environment	II Mill House and 'Windmill' which are 150m away. There are 27 Listed Buildings within 2km of the site. Carbrooke Conservation Area is 670m from the site, within which many of the Listed Buildings are contained.	Extraction Phase A Heritage Statement would be required to support any future planning application. The heritage statement should identify potential impacts to heritage assets and suggest appropriate mitigation.	Post Extraction A mitigation strategy should ensure the historic value of assets is appropriately preserved. Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts on the setting
	The only Scheduled Monument within 2km of the site is the 'Site of Commandry of St John of Jerusalem' which is 700m away.	No effects expected during extraction	of heritage assets. No effect post extraction.
	There are no Registered Historic Parks and Gardens within 2km of the site.	No effects expected during extraction	No effect post extraction.
	There are no Historic Environment records within the site boundary. The site is in a wider landscape with a significant number of finds and features from multiple periods.	There is the potential that unknown archaeology exists on the site and an assessment of the significance of archaeological deposits will be required at the planning application stage, in order to protect and mitigate the impact of mineral extraction in this site.	No effect post extraction.
SA6: To protect and enhance Norfolk's biodiversity and geodiversity	The site is 4.47m from Thompson Water, Carr and Common SSSI which is part of the Norfolk Valley Fens SAC and is outside the Impact Risk Zone for the SSSI.	<b>0</b> Due to distance, no impacts on SPAs, SACs or Ramsar sites are expected.	<b>0</b> No impacts on SPAs, SACs or Ramsar sites are expected.
	Scoulton Mere SSSI is 2.72km from the site boundary. Wayland Wood, Watton SSSI is 2.78km from the site boundary.	If the site is worked above the water table, with normal mitigation measures, no adverse effects on these SSSIs is expected.	No impacts on the SSSIs are expected post extraction

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	The nearest CWS site is CWS 2091 'Watton Airfield (Army training area) which is 610m from the site boundary.	No adverse impacts on the CWS is expected due to the distance from the site.	No impacts on CWS woodland are expected post extraction.
	The nearest ancient woodland sites are: Shepherds Fell PAWS which is 2.34 km from the site boundary, Hazel Hurn PAWS and ASNW which is 2.47 km from the site boundary.	No adverse impacts on ancient woodland sites are expected due to the distance from the site. If the site is worked above the water table, with normal mitigation measures, no adverse effects on these ancient woodlands is expected.	No impacts on ancient woodland are expected post extraction.
	The site consists of the Lowestoft formation – diamicton, overlying chalk formations.	This site is unlikely to contain geodiversity priority features.	No adverse impacts to geodiversity are expected post restoration.
SA7: To promote innovative solutions for the restoration and after use of minerals sites	The site is proposed to be restored to nature conservation with open grassland.	<b>0</b> No effect during extraction phase	+ The proposed restoration scheme would provide some biodiversity gains.
SA8: To protect and enhance the quality and distinctiveness of the countryside and landscape	The site is not located within the AONB, a Core River Valley or any other designated landscape feature.	- The site is a flat arable field, with an existing permitted mineral extraction site to the south, and on the opposite of Mill Lane. There would be views from the adjacent Mill Lane and Cuckoo Lane through gaps in the hedgerows; a screening scheme would need to address this issue together with longer views from the direction of Carbrooke.	<b>0</b> Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate mitigation strategy and restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts.
SA9: To contribute to improved health and amenity of local communities in Norfolk	There are no Public Rights of Way within or adjacent to the site. The nearest residential property is 144m from the site boundary, this is the only sensitive	- Care would be needed to ensure that the impact on the nearby dwelling would not be significant; however it is considered that appropriate mitigation	<b>0</b> New public footpaths are unlikely to be provided within the site on restoration.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	receptor within 250m of the site.	measures to ensure no unacceptable impacts could be conditioned.	
SA10: To protect and enhance water and soil quality in Norfolk	The site is located over a Secondary aquifer (undifferentiated) (superficial deposits) and a principal aquifer (bedrock). The site is within groundwater Source Protection Zone 2.	<b>0/-</b> If the site is worked above the water table, with normal mitigation measures, no adverse effects on water resources are expected.	- No effect on water resources is expected post extraction.
	The site is Grade 3 agricultural land and could potentially be Grade 3a which is classified within the Best and Most Versatile agricultural land.	Potential for BMV agricultural land to be affected by mineral extraction within the site.	If BMV land is identified, any restoration that does not incorporate agriculture would result in adverse impacts
SA11: To promote sustainable use of minerals resources	The site is 0.8km from Watton and 10.1km from both Attleborough and Dereham. These are the nearest settlements allocated for significant growth in the adopted Local Plan.	++ Due to distance to nearest settlement allocated for significant growth.	0 No effect post extraction
SA12: To reduce the risk of current and future flooding at new and existing development	The site has a low probability of flooding from rivers. The site has a low risk of surface water flooding with two locations of surface water pooling in a 1 in 30 year rainfall event and a third location in a 1 in 1000 year rainfall event.	++ The site is at low risk of being affected by flooding from either rivers, the sea or surface water. Sand and gravel extraction is considered to be a 'water compatible' land use which is suitable in all flood zones.	<b>0</b> No effect post extraction / restoration.
SA13: To encourage employment opportunities and promote economic growth	Although employment levels at minerals sites tend to be low, if this site was worked it could offer continuing local employment opportunities. As with all potential minerals sites, it would contribute to economic growth in Norfolk by providing	+	0 No effect post restoration

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	raw materials for the construction industry		
Conclusion	in an area of low flood ris historic environment, land considered that these eff positive effects for biodiv	rms of proximity to growth k. There are potential neg dscape, agricultural land a ects could be appropriately ersity on restoration. Sand apacts as it provides raw m	ative effects on the nd amenity; however, it is / mitigated. There could d and gravel extraction

## MIN 116- land at Woodrising Road, Cranworth

**Proposal:** Extraction of 950,000 tonnes of sand and gravel

Size of site: 15.75 ha

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
SA1: To adapt to and mitigate the effects of climate change by reducing contributions to climate change	The site is 5.8km from Watton, 7.2km from Dereham and 8.8km from Attleborough, which are the nearest towns.	+ Mineral extraction requires energy and therefore emits CO <sub>2</sub> . There would also be CO <sub>2</sub> emissions from road transportation to the nearest towns, which are less than 10km away.	<b>0</b> No contributions to climate change post extraction. Restoration would include woodland as a carbon 'sink'.
SA2: To improve air quality in line with the National Air Quality Standards	The site is not within an AQMA. As a proposed new extraction site, it may lead to an increase of 18 HGV movements per day.	- Due to increased HGV movements. However, the increased number of HGV movements due to mineral transport would not be significant compared to overall HGV transport.	0 No effect post restoration
SA3: To minimise noise, vibration and visual intrusion	The nearest residential property is 61m from the site boundary. There are six sensitive receptors within 250m of the site boundary. The settlement of Woodrising is 627m away. The effect on visual intrusion is assessed under objective SA8.	 Sand and gravel extraction is not expected to cause vibration. It is considered that noise and dust can be mitigated to acceptable levels within 250m of the source; the greatest impacts will be within 100m, if uncontrolled. Noise and dust assessments, and mitigation measures to appropriately control any amenity impacts, must form part of any planning application for mineral extraction.	<b>0</b> No effect post restoration
SA4: To improve accessibility to jobs, services and facilities and reduce social exclusion	Mineral extraction sites are unlikely to provide improved accessibility to services and facilities and reduce social exclusion. The effect on employment is assessed under objective SA13.	0 No effects expected during extraction	<b>0</b> It is unlikely that enhanced public access would be provided within the site on restoration.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
SA5: To maintain and enhance the character of the townscape and historic environment	The nearest Listed Building is the Grade II 'Hurdle-maker's Cottage' which is 60m away. There are 23 Listed Buildings within 2km of the site. The nearest Scheduled Monument is 'Woodrising Hall moated site' which is 780m away. There are four Scheduled Monuments within 2km of the site.	 A Heritage Statement would be required to support any future planning application. The heritage statement should identify potential impacts to heritage assets and suggest appropriate mitigation, which may include identification of areas where mineral extraction would be inappropriate.	- A mitigation strategy should ensure the historic value of assets is appropriately preserved. Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; and it is uncertain if harm to these could be successfully mitigated by restoration on the setting of heritage assets.
	There are no Conservation Areas or Registered Historic Parks and Gardens within 2km of the site.	No effects expected during extraction	No effect post extraction.
	There are no Historic Environment records within the site boundary. The site is in a wider landscape with a significant number of finds and features from multiple periods.	There is the potential that unknown archaeology exists on the site and an assessment of the significance of archaeological deposits will be required at the planning application stage, in order to protect and mitigate the impact of mineral extraction in this site.	No effect post extraction.
SA6: To protect and enhance Norfolk's biodiversity and geodiversity	The site is more than 5km from any SPA, SAC or Ramsar site.	<b>0</b> No impacts on SPAs, SACs or Ramsar sites are expected.	<b>0</b> No impacts on SPAs, SACs or Ramsar sites are expected.
gooditoroky	Potter's Carr, Cranworth SSSI is 1.16km from the site boundary. Scoulton Mere SSSI is 1.84km from the site boundary.	The site would be worked dry (above the water table). Therefore no effects on these SSSIs are expected.	No impacts on the SSSIs are expected post extraction
	The nearest CWS is CWS 2063 'Wood Rising Water Meadows'	The site would be worked dry (above the water table). Therefore,	No impacts on the CWS are expected post extraction

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	which is 520m from the site boundary.	no impacts on the CWS are expected.	
	The nearest ancient woodland site is a PAWS (unnamed) within Cranworth parish; it is 1.52km from the site boundary.	The site would be worked dry (above the water table). Therefore no effects are expected on the ancient woodland site.	No impacts on the ancient woodland are expected post extraction
	The site consists of the Lowestoft formation – diamicton, Alluvium – clay, silt, sand and gravel, overlying chalk formations.	This site is unlikely to contain geodiversity priority features.	No adverse impacts to geodiversity are expected post restoration.
SA7: To promote innovative solutions for the restoration and after use of minerals sites	The site is proposed to be restored to arable agriculture and woodland.	0 No effect during extraction phase	+ The proposed restoration scheme would provide some biodiversity gains.
SA8: To protect and enhance the quality and distinctiveness of the countryside and landscape	The site is not located within the AONB, a Core River Valley or any other designated landscape feature.	- The site is a large arable field. It has a 'domed plateau' and slopes downward to the north, east and south. The proposed development would be visually detrimental from a number of viewpoints. Southburgh Church to the north- east also has a long- range view over the site. Screen bunding would be visually intrusive.	- Mineral extraction will result in landscape change which due to the sloping nature of the site would be visible from a variety of viewpoints; however, an appropriate mitigation strategy and restoration scheme would minimise the impact.
SA9: To contribute to improved health and amenity of local communities in Norfolk	There is a Public Right of Way adjacent to the northern boundary of the site (Cranworth BR6). The nearest residential property is 61m from the site boundary. There are six sensitive receptors within 250m of the site boundary.	- Care would be needed to ensure that the impact on users of the PRoW and the nearby dwellings would not be significant; however it is considered that appropriate mitigation measures to ensure no unacceptable impacts could be conditioned.	<b>0</b> New public footpaths are unlikely to be provided within the site on restoration.
SA10: To protect and enhance water	The site is located over a Secondary aquifer	0/-	0

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
and soil quality in Norfolk	(undifferentiated) and partially over a Secondary A aquifer (superficial deposits). The site is also located over a principal aquifer (bedrock). However, there are no groundwater Source Protection Zones within the proposed site.	If the site is worked above the water table, with normal mitigation measures, no adverse effects on water resources are expected.	No effect on water resources is expected post extraction.
	The site is Grade 3 agricultural land and could potentially be Grade 3a which is classified within the Best and Most Versatile agricultural land.	Potential for BMV agricultural land to be affected by mineral extraction within the site.	The site is proposed to be restored back to agriculture. Therefore, as long as the topsoil was stored correctly and then replaced, there would be no likely adverse effect on BMV agricultural land.
SA11: To promote sustainable use of minerals resources	The site is 5.8km from Watton, 7.2km from Dereham and 8.8km from Attleborough. These are the nearest settlements allocated for significant growth in the adopted Local Plan.	+ Due to distance to nearest settlement allocated for significant growth.	0 No effect post extraction
SA12: To reduce the risk of current and future flooding at new and existing development	The site has a low probability of flooding from rivers. The site has a low risk of flooding from surface water, with areas of surface water pooling in a 1 in 1000 year rainfall event.	++ The site is at low risk of being affected by flooding from either rivers, the sea or surface water. Sand and gravel extraction is considered to be a 'water compatible' land use which is suitable in all flood zones.	<b>0</b> No effect post extraction / restoration.
SA13: To encourage employment opportunities and promote economic growth	Although employment levels at minerals sites tend to be low, if this site was worked it could offer some local employment opportunities. As with all potential minerals sites, it would contribute to economic growth in Norfolk by providing raw materials for the construction industry	+	0 No effect post restoration

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
-		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
Conclusion	in an area of low flood ris quality, the historic enviro is considered that not all There could positive effe	rms of proximity to growth sk. There are potential negonment, landscape, agricul of these effects could be a cts for biodiversity on resto conomic impacts as it provi	ative effects on air tural land and amenity. It ppropriately mitigated. pration. Sand and gravel

## MIN 35 – land at Heath Road, Quidenham

**Proposal:** Extraction of 500,000 tonnes of sand and gravel

Size of site: 7.5 ha

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
SA1: To adapt to and mitigate the effects of climate change by reducing contributions to climate change	The site is 4.6km from Attleborough and 12.9km from Watton which are the nearest towns.	++ Mineral extraction requires energy and therefore emits CO <sub>2</sub> . There would also be CO <sub>2</sub> emissions from road transportation to the nearest towns, but Attleborough is less than 5km away.	<b>0</b> No contributions to climate change post extraction. Restoration would include woodland as a carbon 'sink'.
SA2: To improve air quality in line with the National Air Quality Standards	The site is not within an AQMA. As a proposed new extraction site, it may lead to an increase of 32 HGV movements per day.	- Due to increased HGV movements. However, the increased number of HGV movements due to mineral transport would not be significant compared to overall HGV transport.	0 No effect post restoration
SA3: To minimise noise, vibration and visual intrusion	The nearest residential property is 42m from the site boundary. There are 31 sensitive receptors within 250m of the site boundary. However, the southern part of the site is not proposed to be extracted. Therefore the nearest residential property is 42m from the extraction area and there are 28 sensitive receptors within 250m of the proposed extraction area. The settlement of Eccles is 269m away. The effect on visual intrusion is assessed under objective SA8.	 Sand and gravel extraction is not expected to cause vibration. It is considered that noise and dust can be mitigated to acceptable levels within 250m of the source; the greatest impacts will be within 100m, if uncontrolled. Noise and dust assessments, and mitigation measures to appropriately control any amenity impacts, must form part of any planning application for mineral extraction.	0 No effect post restoration
SA4: To improve accessibility to jobs, services and facilities and reduce social exclusion	Mineral extraction sites are unlikely to provide improved accessibility to services and facilities and reduce social exclusion. The effect on employment is	0 No effects expected during extraction	<b>0</b> It is unlikely that enhanced public access would be provided within the site on restoration.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	assessed under objective SA13.		
SA5: To maintain and enhance the character of the townscape and historic environment	The nearest Listed Building is the Grade II* Church of St Mary which is 470m away. There are 13 Listed Buildings within 2km of the site. 5 of these are within the Quidenham Conservation Area which is 1.69km away. There nearest Scheduled Monument is 'Gallows Hill Tumulus' which is 150m away. There are two Scheduled monuments within 2km of the site. There are no Registered Historic	 A Heritage Statement would be required to support any future planning application. The heritage statement should identify potential impacts to heritage assets and suggest appropriate mitigation. Due to its location adjacent to an industrial estate, railway line and former mineral working, no effects are expected during extraction.	- A mitigation strategy should ensure the historic value of assets is appropriately preserved. Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts on the setting of heritage assets. No effect post extraction.
	Parks and Gardens within 2km of the site. There are Historic Environment records of isolated multi-period finds and features including a bronze age barrow, within the site boundary, and a possible Roman road adjacent to the boundary. The site is in a wider landscape with a very significant number of finds and features from multiple periods.	There is the potential that unknown archaeology exists on the site and an assessment of the significance of archaeological deposits will be required at the planning application stage, in order to protect and mitigate the impact of mineral extraction in this site.	No effect post extraction.
SA6: To protect and enhance Norfolk's biodiversity and geodiversity	Swangey Fen SSSI is 2.63km from the site boundary and is part of the Norfolk Valley Fens SAC. The site is within the Impact Risk Zone for this SSSI.	- The proposed extraction site would be worked dry (above the water table) and is located up-gradient of Swangey Fen SSSI. There would be no adverse effects on the integrity of the SAC.	<b>0</b> No adverse impacts to Swangey Fen SSSI are expected post extraction.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	Kenninghall and Banham Fens with Quidenham SSSI is 2.70km from the site boundary. East Harling Common SSSI is 2.13km from the site boundary.	The proposed extraction site would be worked dry (above the water table) and is in a different hydrological catchment to these SSSIs. Therefore there would be no adverse impacts to SSSIs.	No impacts to SSSIs are expected post extraction.
	CWS 620 'Eccles Wood (north)' is adjacent to the site boundary. CWS 621 'Eccles Wood (middle)' and CWS 622 'Eccles Wood (south) are both 120m from the site boundary.	The site would be worked dry (above the water table), therefore there would be no hydrological impacts on the CWSs. Due to the proximity of the CWS to the proposed site there is the potential for impacts from dust deposition; although with normal mitigation measures no adverse effects on these CWSs is expected.	No impacts to CWSs are expected post extraction.
	There are no ancient woodland sites within 3km of the site.	No impacts on ancient woodland are expected.	No impacts to ancient woodland are expected.
	The site consists of the Croxton Sand and gravel member, overlying chalk formations. There is the potential for vertebrate fossils because the site is close to prolific find spot.	There is the potential for the site to contain examples of geodiversity priority features.	No adverse impacts to geodiversity are expected post restoration. It would be useful for restoration to provide opportunities for further geological research of suitable exposures.
SA7: To promote innovative solutions for the restoration and after use of minerals sites	The site is proposed to be restored to a low- level for arable agriculture with conservation grassland and woodland planting on the southern boundary.	0 No effect during extraction phase	+ The proposed restoration scheme would provide some biodiversity gains.
SA8: To protect and enhance the quality and distinctiveness of	The site is not located within the AONB, a Core River Valley or	- The site is a field of rough meadow grass. The site is bounded to	<b>0</b> Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
the countryside and landscape	any other designated landscape feature.	the north by a large bund that screens a restored landfill and mineral extraction void. To the west is an area of deciduous woodland. Arable countryside is to the south and west. There are several bungalows to the south and south-east of the site. The site would need to be appropriately screened from local residents and from users of Heath Lane.	appropriate mitigation strategy and restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts.
SA9: To contribute to improved health and amenity of local communities in Norfolk	There are no Public Rights of Way within or adjacent to the site. The nearest residential property is 42m from the site boundary. There are 31 sensitive receptors within 250m of the site boundary. However, the southern part of the site is not proposed to be extracted. Therefore the nearest residential property is 42m from the extraction area and there are 28 sensitive receptors within 250m of the proposed extraction area.	- Care would be needed to ensure that the impact on the nearby dwellings would not be significant; however it is considered that appropriate mitigation measures to ensure no unacceptable impacts could be conditioned.	<b>0</b> New public footpaths are unlikely to be provided within the site on restoration.
SA10: To protect and enhance water and soil quality in Norfolk	The site is located over a Secondary A aquifer (superficial deposits) and a principal aquifer (bedrock). However, there are no groundwater Source Protection Zones within the proposed site.	<b>0</b> The proposed extraction site would be worked dry (above the water table) and therefore no effect on water resources is expected.	<b>0</b> No effect on water resources is expected post extraction.
	The site is Grade 4 agricultural land.	No impacts on BMV agricultural soils.	No impacts on BMV agricultural soils.
SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
---	---	---	---
		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
SA11: To promote sustainable use of minerals resources	The site is 4.6km from Attleborough and 12.9km from Watton. These are the nearest settlements allocated for significant growth in the adopted Local Plan.	++ Due to distance to nearest settlement allocated for significant growth.	0 No effect post extraction
SA12: To reduce the risk of current and future flooding at new and existing development	The site has a low probability of flooding from rivers. No areas of the site are at risk of surface water flooding.	++ The site is at low risk of being affected by flooding from either rivers, the sea or surface water. Sand and gravel extraction is considered to be a 'water compatible' land use which is suitable in all flood zones.	<b>0</b> No effect post extraction / restoration.
SA13: To encourage employment opportunities and promote economic growth	Although employment levels at minerals sites tend to be low, if this site was worked it could offer some local employment opportunities. As with all potential minerals sites, it would contribute to economic growth in Norfolk by providing raw materials for the construction industry	+	0 No effect post restoration
Conclusion	The site scores well in terms of proximity to growth locations and is located in an area of low flood risk. There are potential negative effects on air quality, the historic environment, ecology, landscape, and amenity; however, it is considered that these effects could be appropriately mitigated. There could positive effects for biodiversity on restoration. Sand and gravel extraction has positive economic impacts as it provides raw materials for the construction industry.		

# MIN 102 – land at North Farm, south of the River Thet, Snetterton

**Proposal:** Extraction of 980,000 tonnes of sand and gravel

Size of site: 58.21 ha

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
SA1: To adapt to and mitigate the effects of climate change by reducing contributions to climate change	The site is 3km from Attleborough and 9.3km from Watton, which are the nearest towns.	++ Mineral extraction requires energy and therefore emits CO <sub>2</sub> . There would also be CO <sub>2</sub> emissions from road transportation to the nearest towns, but Attleborough is less than 5km away.	<b>0</b> No contributions to climate change post extraction.
SA2: To improve air quality in line with the National Air Quality Standards	The site is not within an AQMA. As a proposed new extraction site, it may lead to an increase in HGV movements. However, an estimate of the number of HGV movements has not been provided.	- Due to increased HGV movements. However, the increased number of HGV movements due to mineral transport would not be significant compared to overall HGV transport.	0 No effect post restoration
SA3: To minimise noise, vibration and visual intrusion	The nearest residential property is 500m from the site boundary. The settlement of Shropham is 690m away. The effect on visual intrusion is assessed under objective SA8.	<b>0</b> Sand and gravel extraction is not expected to cause vibration. It is considered that noise and dust can be mitigated to acceptable levels within 250m of the source. Noise and dust assessments, and mitigation measures to appropriately control any amenity impacts, must form part of any planning application for mineral extraction.	0 No effect post restoration
SA4: To improve accessibility to jobs, services and facilities and reduce social exclusion	Mineral extraction sites are unlikely to provide improved accessibility to services and facilities and reduce social exclusion. The effect on employment is assessed under objective SA13.	<b>0</b> No effects expected during extraction	<b>0</b> It is unlikely that enhanced public access would be provided within the site on restoration.
SA5: To maintain and enhance the character of the townscape and	The nearest Listed Building is the Grade II North Farmhouse which is 550m away. There	<b>0</b> A Heritage Statement would be required to support any future	<b>0</b> A mitigation strategy should ensure the historic value of assets

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
historic environment	are 19 Listed Buildings within 2km of the site. The only Scheduled Monument within 2km of the site is the 'Wayside Cross at the north end of Whitecross Drift' which is 550m away. There are no	planning application. The heritage statement should identify potential impacts to heritage assets and suggest appropriate mitigation, which may include identification of areas where mineral extraction would be inappropriate.	is appropriately preserved. Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts on the setting of heritage assets.
	Conservation Areas or Registered Historic Parks and Gardens within 2km of the site.	No effects expected during extraction	No effect post extraction
	Historic Environment records of cropmarks and isolated multi period finds, including a round barrow exist within the site boundary. The site is in a wider landscape with a very significant number of finds and features from multiple periods.	There is the potential that unknown archaeology exists on the site and an assessment of the significance of archaeological deposits will be required at the planning application stage, in order to protect and mitigate the impact of mineral extraction in this site.	No effect post extraction
SA6: To protect and enhance Norfolk's biodiversity and geodiversity	Swangey Fen SSSI is adjacent to the site boundary and is part of the Norfolk Valley Fens SAC.	 There is the potential for impacts from dust deposition, although with normal mitigation measures, adverse effects may be avoided. The potential exists for impacts on the hydrology of the SSSI from dewatering. As there are no details of a working scheme impacts on the SSSI and SAC are uncertain.	? As there are no details of a working or restoration scheme impacts on the SSSI and SAC post extraction are uncertain.
	CWS 804 'North of Red Bridge' is adjacent to the site boundary. CWS 639 'Fen	There is the potential for impacts from dust deposition, although with normal mitigation measures, adverse effects may be	Impacts on the CWSs post extraction are uncertain.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	Plantation' is 150m from the site boundary. CWS 645 'Old Gravel Works' is 40m from the site boundary. CWS 809 'Shropham Fen' is 100m from the site boundary.	avoided. The potential exists for impacts on the hydrology of the CWSs from dewatering.	
	The nearest ancient woodland sites is a ASNW (unnamed) within Shropham parish; it is 1.48km from the site boundary.	The potential exists for impacts on the hydrology of the ancient woodland from dewatering. Due to the distance of the ancient woodland from the proposed extraction site there would be no other impacts from	No impacts on the ancient woodland are expected post extraction
	The site consists of the Croxton sand and gravel member, Lowestoft formation – diamicton, overlying chalk formations. There is the potential for vertebrate fossils because the site is close to a prolific find spot.	extraction. There is the potential for the site to contain examples of geodiversity priority features.	No adverse impacts to geodiversity are expected post restoration. It would be useful for restoration to provide opportunities for further geological research of suitable exposures.
SA7: To promote innovative solutions for the restoration and after use of minerals sites	No details on proposed restoration of the site have been provided. The preferred restoration scheme would be agriculture with wide field margins and enhanced woodland planting.	<b>0</b> No effect during extraction phase	? No details of a proposed restoration scheme have been provided.
SA8: To protect and enhance the quality and distinctiveness of the countryside and landscape	Parts of the site are within a Core River Valley. The site is not located within the AONB or any other designated landscape feature.	 It is likely that mineral extraction within the areas covered by the Core River Valley designation would be unacceptable due to landscape impacts. Any working scheme should avoid removal of the woodland areas within the site for the same reason. Extraction on the	- Mineral extraction will result in landscape change which due to the sloping nature of the site would be visible from a variety of viewpoints; however, an appropriate mitigation strategy and restoration scheme would minimise the impact.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
		sloping valley of the River Thet is likely to have wider landscape impacts which would be difficult to effectively mitigate through screening.	
SA9: To contribute to improved health and amenity of local communities in Norfolk	There are no Public Rights of Way within or adjacent to the site. The nearest residential property is 500m from the site boundary.	<b>0</b> There is unlikely to be a significant impact on health or amenity from mineral extraction within the site.	<b>0</b> New public footpaths are unlikely to be provided within the site on restoration.
SA10: To protect and enhance water and soil quality in Norfolk	The site is located partially over a Secondary A aquifer and partially over a Secondary (undifferentiated) aquifer (superficial deposits). The site is also located over a principal aquifer (bedrock). The western part of the site is within groundwater Source Protection Zones 2 and 3. The rest of the site is not within a groundwater SPZ.	-/0 If the site is dewatered as part of the extraction the potential for adverse impacts exists, although appropriate assessment and mitigation measures could ensure that no unacceptable impacts occur.	<b>0</b> No effect on water resources is expected post extraction.
	The site is Grade 4 agricultural land.	No impacts on BMV agricultural soils.	No impacts on BMV agricultural soils.
SA11: To promote sustainable use of minerals resources	The site is 3km from Attleborough and 9.3km from Watton. These are the nearest settlements allocated for significant growth in the adopted Local Plan.	++ Due to distance to nearest settlement allocated for significant growth.	0 No effect post extraction
SA12: To reduce the risk of current and future flooding at new and existing development	The majority (97%) of the site has a low probability of flooding from rivers. The northern boundary of the site with the River Thet has a medium and high risk of flooding from rivers. The site has a low risk of surface water flooding with a few locations of	<b>0</b> The majority of the site is at low risk of being affected by flooding from either rivers, the sea or surface water. Sand and gravel extraction is considered to be a 'water compatible' land use which is suitable in all flood zones.	<b>0</b> No effect post extraction / restoration.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
	surface water pooling in a 1 in 30 and 1 in 100 year rainfall event. In a 1 in 1000 year event a surface water flow path develops between the north of the site and the River Thet.		
SA13: To encourage employment opportunities and promote economic growth	Although employment levels at minerals sites tend to be low, if this site was worked it could offer some local employment opportunities. As with all potential minerals sites, it would contribute to economic growth in Norfolk by providing raw materials for the construction industry	+	0 No effect post restoration
Conclusion	The site scores well in terms of proximity to growth locations and is located in an area of low flood risk. There are potential negative effects on air quality, landscape, hydrology and biodiversity. It is considered that the effects on biodiversity could be difficult to mitigate. Sand and gravel extraction has positive economic impacts as it provides raw materials for the construction industry.		

# MIN 201 – land at Swangey Farm, north of North Road, Snetterton

**Proposal:** Extraction of 590,000 tonnes of sand and gravel

Size of site: 38.19 ha

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
SA1: To adapt to and mitigate the effects of climate change by reducing contributions to climate change	The site is 2.6km from Attleborough and 10.2km from Watton, which are the nearest towns.	++ Mineral extraction requires energy and therefore emits CO <sub>2</sub> . There would also be CO <sub>2</sub> emissions from road transportation to the nearest towns, but Attleborough is less than 5km away.	<b>0</b> No contributions to climate change post extraction. Restoration would not include woodland as a carbon 'sink'.
SA2: To improve air quality in line with the National Air Quality Standards	The site is not within an AQMA. As a proposed new extraction site, it may lead to an increase of 70 HGV movements per day.	- Due to increased HGV movements. However, the increased number of HGV movements due to mineral transport would not be significant compared to overall HGV transport.	0 No effect post restoration
SA3: To minimise noise, vibration and visual intrusion	The nearest residential property is 119m from the site boundary. There are three sensitive receptors within 250m of the site boundary. The settlement of North End is 831m from the site. The effect on visual intrusion is assessed under objective SA8.	- Sand and gravel extraction is not expected to cause vibration. It is considered that noise and dust can be mitigated to acceptable levels within 250m of the source; the greatest impacts will be within 100m, if uncontrolled. Noise and dust assessments, and mitigation measures to appropriately control any amenity impacts, must form part of any planning application for mineral extraction.	0 No effect post restoration
SA4: To improve accessibility to jobs, services and facilities and reduce social exclusion	Mineral extraction sites are unlikely to provide improved accessibility to services and facilities and reduce social exclusion. The effect on employment is assessed under objective SA13.	0 No effects expected during extraction	<b>0</b> It is unlikely that enhanced public access would be provided within the site on restoration.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
SA5: To maintain and enhance the character of the townscape and historic environment	The nearest Listed Building and Scheduled Monument is the 'Wayside Cross at the north end of Whitecross Drift' which is 20m from the site boundary. There are 15 Listed Buildings within 2km of the site. There are 3 Scheduled Monuments within 2km of the site. There are no Conservation Areas or Registered Historic Parks and Gardens within 2km of the site. Historic Environment records of isolated multi-period finds and features exist within the site boundary, including a barrow. The site is in a wider landscape with a very significant number of finds and features from multiple periods.	<ul> <li>A Heritage Statement would be required to support any future planning application. The heritage statement should identify potential impacts to heritage assets and suggest appropriate mitigation, which may include identification of areas where mineral extraction would be inappropriate.</li> <li>No effects expected during extraction</li> <li>There is the potential that unknown archaeology exists on the site and an assessment of the significance of archaeological deposits will be required at the planning application stage, in order to protect and mitigate the impact of mineral extraction in this site.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A mitigation strategy should ensure the historic value of assets is appropriately preserved. Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts on the setting of heritage assets.</li> <li>No effect post extraction</li> <li>No effect post extraction</li> </ul>
SA6: To protect and enhance Norfolk's biodiversity and geodiversity	Swangey Fen SSSI is adjacent to the site boundary and is part of the Norfolk Valley Fens SAC. Old Buckenham Fen SSSI is 2.95km from the site boundary.	 There is the potential for impacts from dust deposition, although with normal mitigation measures, adverse effects may be avoided. The potential exists for impacts on the hydrology of the SSSI and SAC from dewatering. The potential exists for impacts on the hydrology of the SSSI from dewatering.	<b>?</b> As there are no details of a working or restoration scheme impacts on the SSSIs and SAC post extraction are uncertain.
	The nearest CWS is CWS 639 'Fen	There is the potential for impacts from dust	

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	Plantation' which is 150m from the site boundary.	deposition, although with normal mitigation measures, adverse effects may be avoided. The potential exists for impacts on the hydrology of the CWSs from dewatering.	Impacts on the CWS post extraction are uncertain.
	The nearest ancient woodland site is an ASNW (unnamed) in Shropham parish which is 2.45 km from the site boundary.	The potential exists for impacts on the hydrology of the ancient woodland from dewatering. Due to the distance of the ancient woodland from the proposed extraction site there would be no other impacts from extraction.	No impacts to ancient woodland are expected post restoration.
	The site consists of the Croxton sand and gravel member, overlying chalk formations. There is the potential for vertebrate fossils because the site is close to a prolific find spot.	There is the potential for the site to contain examples of geodiversity priority features.	No adverse impacts to geodiversity are expected post restoration. It would be useful for restoration to provide opportunities for further geological research of suitable exposures.
SA7: To promote innovative solutions for the restoration and after use of minerals sites	The site is proposed to be restored to agriculture with some restoration to nature conservation.	<b>0</b> No effect during extraction phase	+ The proposed restoration scheme would provide some biodiversity gains.
SA8: To protect and enhance the quality and distinctiveness of the countryside and landscape	The site is not located within the AONB, a Core River Valley or any other designated landscape feature.	- The site is currently an agricultural field. The site slopes downwards to the north-east towards Swangey Fen, with the south-western corner being on a relatively flat plateau. The surrounding landscape is predominantly rolling arable farmland. The Thet Valley to the north is well wooded. There is the potential that	- Mineral extraction will result in landscape change which due to the sloping nature of parts of the site would be visible from a variety of viewpoints; however, an appropriate mitigation strategy and restoration scheme would minimise the impact.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
		parts of the site could be effectively screened.	
SA9: To contribute to improved health and amenity of local communities in Norfolk	There are no Public Rights of Way within or adjacent to the site. The nearest residential property is 119m from the site boundary. There are three sensitive receptors within 250m of the site boundary.	- Care would be needed to ensure that the impact on nearby dwellings would not be significant; however it is considered that appropriate mitigation measures to ensure no unacceptable impacts could be conditioned.	<b>0</b> New public footpaths are unlikely to be provided within the site on restoration.
SA10: To protect and enhance water and soil quality in Norfolk	The site is located over a Secondary A aquifer (superficial deposits) and a principal aquifer (bedrock). However, there are no Groundwater Source Protection Zones within the proposed site.	-/- If the site is dewatered as part of the extraction the potential for adverse impacts exists, although appropriate assessment and mitigation measures could ensure that no unacceptable impacts occur.	0 No effect on water resources is expected post extraction.
	The northern part of the site is Grade 4 agricultural land. The southern part of the site is Grade 3 agricultural land and could potentially be Grade 3a which is classified within the Best and Most Versatile agricultural land.	Potential for BMV agricultural land to be affected by mineral extraction within the site.	The site is proposed to be restored back to agriculture. Therefore, as long as the topsoil was stored correctly and then replaced, there would be no likely adverse effect on BMV agricultural land.
SA11: To promote sustainable use of minerals resources	The site is 2.6km from Attleborough and 10.2km from Watton. These are the nearest settlements allocated for significant growth in the adopted Local Plan.	++ Due to distance to nearest settlement allocated for significant growth.	0 No effect post extraction
SA12: To reduce the risk of current and future flooding at new and existing development	The site has a low probability of flooding from rivers. The site has a low probability of surface water flooding, with one location of surface water pooling in a 1 in 30 year rainfall event.	++ The site is at low risk of being affected by flooding from either rivers, the sea or surface water. Sand and gravel extraction is considered to be a 'water compatible' land	<b>0</b> No effect post extraction / restoration.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
		use which is suitable in all flood zones.	
SA13: To encourage employment opportunities and promote economic growth	Although employment levels at minerals sites tend to be low, if this site was worked it could offer some local employment opportunities. As with all potential minerals sites, it would contribute to economic growth in Norfolk by providing raw materials for the construction industry	+	0 No effect post restoration
Conclusion	The site scores well in terms of proximity to growth locations and is located in an area of low flood risk. There are potential negative effects on air quality, the historic environment, landscape, biodiversity, hydrology, agricultural land and amenity. It is considered that the effects on the historic environment could not be appropriately mitigated and that it would be difficult to effectively mitigate the effects on biodiversity. Sand and gravel extraction has positive economic impacts as it provides raw materials for the construction industry.		

### **Broadland sites**

### MIN 55 – land at Keepers Cottage, Attlebridge

Proposal: Extraction of 525,000 tonnes of sand and gravel

Size of site: 1.93 ha

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
SA1: To adapt to and mitigate the effects of climate change by reducing contributions to climate change	The site is 1.8 km from the Norwich urban area, but it is outside the Norwich Policy Area.	++ Mineral extraction requires energy and therefore emits CO <sub>2</sub> . There would also be CO <sub>2</sub> emissions from road transportation to the nearest towns, but the Norwich urban area is less than 5km away.	<b>0</b> No contributions to climate change post extraction. Restoration would not include woodland as a carbon 'sink'.
SA2: To improve air quality in line with the National Air Quality Standards	The site is not within an AQMA. As a proposed new extraction site, it may lead to an increase in HGV movements. However, an estimate of the number of HGV movements has not been provided.	- Due to increased HGV movements. However, the increased number of HGV movements due to mineral transport would not be significant compared to overall HGV transport.	0 No effect post restoration
SA3: To minimise noise, vibration and visual intrusion	The nearest residential property is within the site boundary, this is the only sensitive receptor within 250m of the site boundary and would need to be removed if mineral extraction were to take place. The settlement of Attlebridge is 1.3km away. The effect on visual intrusion is assessed under objective SA8.	<b>0</b> Sand and gravel extraction is not expected to cause vibration. It is considered that noise and dust can be mitigated to acceptable levels within 250m of the source. Noise and dust assessments, and mitigation measures to appropriately control any amenity impacts, must form part of any planning application for mineral extraction.	0 No effect post restoration
SA4: To improve accessibility to jobs, services and facilities and reduce social exclusion	Mineral extraction sites are unlikely to provide improved accessibility to services and facilities and reduce social exclusion. The effect on employment is assessed under objective SA13.	0 No effects expected during extraction	<b>0</b> It is unlikely that enhanced public access would be provided within the site on restoration.
SA5: To maintain and enhance the	The nearest Listed Building is the Grade II	0	0

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
character of the townscape and historic environment	'Barn 50m NW of Low Farmhouse' which is 1.1km away. There are 11 Listed Buildings within 2km of the site.	No effects expected during extraction.	No affect post extraction.
	The only Scheduled Monument within 2km of the site is the 'Round barrow north of Sandy Lane' which is 1.54km away.	No effects expected during extraction	No effect post extraction
	There are no Conservation Areas or Registered Historic Parks and Gardens within 2km of the site.	No effects expected during extraction.	No effect post extraction
	There are no Historic Environment records within the site boundary. A number of nearby areas have been investigated previously and no archaeological evidence identified. However, There have been isolated multi- period finds and there is a deserted medieval settlement location in proximity to the site.	There is the potential that unknown archaeology exists on the site and an assessment of the significance of archaeological deposits will be required at the planning application stage, in order to protect and mitigate the impact of mineral extraction in this site.	No effect post extraction
SA6: To protect and enhance Norfolk's biodiversity and geodiversity	The River Wensum SAC is 0.67km from the site boundary and the site is within the Impact Risk Zone for the River Wensum SSSI.	- The proposed extraction site would be worked dry (above the water table). Therefore there would be no adverse effects on the integrity of the SAC.	<b>0</b> No adverse effects to the River Wensum are expected post extraction.
	Alderford Common SSSI is 2.16km from the site boundary. Swannington Upgate Common SSSI is 1.69km from the site boundary.	The proposed extraction site would be worked dry (above the water table) and is in a different hydrological catchment to these SSSIs. Therefore there would be no adverse impacts to SSSIs.	No impacts to SSSIs are expected post extraction.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
	CWS 1344 'Triumph and Foxburrow Plantations' is adjacent to the site boundary. CWS 1343 'Attlebridge Hills' is 140m from the site boundary.	There is the potential for impacts from dust deposition although with normal mitigation measures no adverse effects on these CWSs is expected.	No impacts to CWSs are expected post extraction.
	The nearest ancient woodland site is Mileplain Plantation which is a PAWS; it is 0.28km from the site boundary.	No adverse impacts on ancient woodland are expected due to the distance from the site and because the site would be worked dry.	No impacts are expected to ancient woodland sites post extraction.
	The site consists of the Sheringham Cliffs formation - sand and gravel, overlying chalk formations.	There is the potential for the site to contain examples of geodiversity priority features.	No adverse impacts to geodiversity are expected post restoration. It would be useful for restoration to provide opportunities for further geological research of suitable exposures.
SA7: To promote innovative solutions for the restoration and after use of minerals sites	No details on proposed restoration of the site have been provided. The preferred restoration would be to heathland.	<b>0</b> No effect during extraction phase	<b>?</b> No details of a proposed restoration scheme have been provided.
SA8: To protect and enhance the quality and distinctiveness of the countryside and landscape	The site is not located within the AONB, a Core River Valley or any other designated landscape feature.	<b>0</b> The site comprises a domestic dwelling and its curtilage. The site lies within an area of former mineral workings which have now been restored by landfill. The site is well screened from public view points and is surrounded by shrubs and a few large trees. The site is remote from other properties.	<b>0</b> Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate mitigation strategy and restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts.
SA9: To contribute to improved health and amenity of local communities in Norfolk	There are no Public Rights of Way within or adjacent to the site. There is a PRoW close to the southern	- Care would be needed to ensure that the impact on users of the PRoW and the nearby	<b>0</b> New public footpaths are unlikely to be provided within the site on restoration.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	boundary of the site (Attlebridge RB3 and RB4). The nearest residential property is within the site boundary, this is the only sensitive receptor within 250m of the site boundary.	dwellings would not be significant; however it is considered that appropriate mitigation measures to ensure no unacceptable impacts could be conditioned.	
SA10: To protect and enhance water and soil quality in Norfolk	The site is located over a Secondary A aquifer (superficial deposits) and a principal aquifer (bedrock). However, there are no groundwater Source Protection Zones within the proposed site.	<b>0/-</b> The proposed extraction site would be worked dry (above the water table) and therefore no effect on water resources is expected.	<b>0/-</b> No effect on water resources is expected post extraction.
	Part of the site is classified as non- agricultural land. Part of the site is Grade 3 agricultural land and could potentially be Grade 3a which is classified within the Best and Most Versatile agricultural land.	Potential for BMV agricultural land to be affected by mineral extraction within the site.	If BMV land is identified, any restoration that does not incorporate agriculture would result in adverse impacts. The proposed depth of extraction would make any restoration to agriculture difficult.
SA11: To promote sustainable use of minerals resources	The site is 1.8 km from the Norwich urban area, which is allocated for significant growth in the adopted Local Plan.	++ Due to distance to nearest settlement allocated for significant growth.	0 No effect post extraction
SA12: To reduce the risk of current and future flooding at new and existing development	The site has a low probability of flooding from rivers within the district council SFRA. The site has a medium probability of surface water flooding, with areas of surface water pooling on the site in a 1 in 30 year rainfall event and a 1 in 100 year rainfall event. In a 1 in 1000 year rainfall event there are larger areas of surface water pooling and a surface water flow path within	<b>0</b> The site is at low risk of being affected by flooding from either rivers or the sea. The site is at medium risk of being affected by flooding from surface water. Sand and gravel extraction is considered to be a 'water compatible' land use which is suitable in all flood zones.	<b>0</b> No effect post extraction / restoration

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	the site which covers approximately 25% of the site area.		
SA13: To encourage employment opportunities and promote economic growth	Although employment levels at minerals sites tend to be low, if this site was worked it could offer some local employment opportunities. As with all potential minerals sites, it would contribute to economic growth in Norfolk by providing raw materials for the construction industry	+	0 No effect post restoration
Conclusion	The site scores well in terms of proximity to growth locations. There are potential negative effects on air quality and agricultural land. Sand and gravel extraction has positive economic impacts as it provides raw materials for the construction industry.		

# MIN 202 – land south of Reepham Road, Attlebridge

**Proposal:** Extraction of 545,000 tonnes of sand and gravel

Size of site: 17.36 ha

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
SA1: To adapt to and mitigate the effects of climate change by reducing contributions to climate change	The site is 1.7km from the Norwich urban area, but it is outside the Norwich Policy Area.	Extraction Phase ++ Mineral extraction requires energy and therefore emits CO <sub>2</sub> . There would also be CO <sub>2</sub> emissions from road transportation to the nearest towns, but the Norwich urban area is less than 5km away.	Post Extraction 0 No contributions to climate change post extraction. Restoration would include woodland as a carbon 'sink'.
SA2: To improve air quality in line with the National Air Quality Standards	The site is not within an AQMA. As a proposed new extraction site, it may lead to an increase of 76 HGV movements per day.	- Due to increased HGV movements. However, the increased number of HGV movements due to mineral transport would not be significant compared to overall HGV transport.	<b>0</b> No effect post restoration
SA3: To minimise noise, vibration and visual intrusion	The nearest residential property is 126m from the site boundary. There are five sensitive receptors within 250m of the site boundary. The settlement of Update is 1km away and Attlebridge is 1.3km away. The effect on visual intrusion is assessed under objective SA8.	- Sand and gravel extraction is not expected to cause vibration. It is considered that noise and dust can be mitigated to acceptable levels within 250m of the source; the greatest impacts will be within 100m, if uncontrolled. Noise and dust assessments, and mitigation measures to appropriately control any amenity impacts, must form part of any planning application for mineral extraction.	<b>0</b> No effect post restoration
SA4: To improve accessibility to jobs, services and facilities and reduce social exclusion	Mineral extraction sites are unlikely to provide improved accessibility to services and facilities and reduce social exclusion. The effect on employment is assessed under objective SA13.	<b>0</b> No effects expected during extraction	<b>0</b> It is unlikely that enhanced public access would be provided within the site on restoration.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
SA5: To maintain and enhance the character of the townscape and historic environment	The nearest Listed Buildings are the Grade II* Church of St Andrew and Grade II Church Farmhouse, which are 1.45km away. There are 9 Listed Buildings within 2km of the site. The only Scheduled Monument within 2km of the site is 'Round Barrow North of Sandy Lane' which is 810m away. There are no Conservation Areas or Registered Historic	<ul> <li><b>0</b>         No effects expected to during extraction.     </li> <li>A Heritage Statement would be required to support any future planning application. The heritage statement should identify potential impacts to heritage assets and suggest appropriate mitigation     <li>No effects expected</li> </li></ul>	<b>0</b> A mitigation strategy should ensure the historic value of assets is appropriately preserved. Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts on the setting of heritage assets.
	Parks and Gardens within 2km of the site. There are no Historic Environment records within the site boundary. A number of nearby areas have been investigated previously and no archaeological evidence identified. However, There have been isolated multi- period finds and there is a deserted medieval settlement location in proximity to the site.	There is the potential that unknown archaeology exists on the site and an assessment of the significance of archaeological deposits will be required at the planning application stage, in order to protect and mitigate the impact of mineral extraction in this site.	No effect post extraction
SA6: To protect and enhance Norfolk's biodiversity and geodiversity	The site is 1.15km from the River Wensum SAC and is within the Impact Risk Zone for the River Wensum SSSI.	- The proposed extraction site would be worked dry (above the water table). There would be no adverse effects on the integrity of the SAC.	- No adverse effects to the River Wensum are expected post extraction.
	Swannington Update Common SSSI is 0.96km from the site boundary.	The proposed extraction site would be worked dry (above the water table) and is located up-gradient of these SSSIs.	No impacts to SSSIs are expected post extraction.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
	Alderford Common SSSI is 1.73km from the site boundary.	Extraction Phase Therefore there would be no adverse impacts to SSSIs.	Post Extraction
	CWS 1344 'Triumph and Foxburrow Plantations' is partially within the site. CWS 2176 'Marriott's Way' is 50m from the site boundary.	If the extraction includes parts of CWS 1344 then there will be physical loss. There is the potential for impacts from dust deposition, although with normal mitigation measures no adverse effects on these CWSs from dust are expected.	If the extraction includes parts of CWS 1344 then there will be permanent loss
	The nearest ancient woodland site is Mileplain Plantation, which is a PAWS and is adjacent to the site boundary.	The proposed extraction site would be worked dry (above the water table), therefore there would be no adverse effects on the hydrology of the PAWS. There is the potential for impacts from dust deposition although with normal mitigation measures no	No impacts to the ancient woodland are expected post extraction.
	The site consists of the Sheringham Cliffs formation - sand and gravel, overlying Wroxham Crag. There is significant potential for vertebrate fossils within the Wroxham Crag.	adverse effects on the PAWS are expected. There is the potential for this site to contain examples of geodiversity priority features.	No adverse impacts to geodiversity are expected post restoration. It would be useful for restoration to provide opportunities for further geological research of suitable exposures.
SA7: To promote innovative solutions for the restoration and after use of minerals sites	The site is proposed to be restored to a combination of acid grassland, woodland planting and shallow wetland/pond.	<b>0</b> No effect during extraction phase	+ The proposed restoration scheme would provide some biodiversity gains.
SA8: To protect and enhance the quality and distinctiveness of the countryside and landscape	The site is not located within the AONB, a Core River Valley or any other designated landscape feature.	- The site is a partially extracted mineral site and a woodland plantation. The site is screened from views in all directions by woodland.	<b>0</b> Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate mitigation strategy and restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
SA9: To contribute to improved health and amenity of local communities in Norfolk	There are no Public Rights of Way within or adjacent to the site, although the Marriott's Way is crossed by the access road. The nearest residential property is 126m from the site boundary. There are five sensitive receptors within 250m of the site boundary.	- Care would be needed to ensure that the impact on users of the Marriott's Way and the nearby dwellings would not be significant; however it is considered that appropriate mitigation measures to ensure no unacceptable impacts could be conditioned.	<b>0</b> New public footpaths are unlikely to be provided within the site on restoration.
SA10: To protect and enhance water and soil quality in Norfolk	The site is located over a Secondary A aquifer (superficial deposits) and a principal aquifer (bedrock). However, there are no groundwater Source Protection Zones within the proposed site.	<b>0</b> The site would be worked dry (above the water table) and therefore no effect on water resources is expected.	<b>0</b> No effect on water resources is expected post extraction.
	The site is classified as non-agricultural land.	No impacts on BMV agricultural soils.	No impacts on BMV agricultural soils.
SA11: To promote sustainable use of minerals resources	The site is 1.7km from the Norwich urban area, which is allocated for significant growth in the adopted Local Plan.	++ Due to distance to nearest settlement allocated for significant growth.	<b>0</b> No effect post extraction
SA12: To reduce the risk of current and future flooding at new and existing development	The site has a low probability of flooding from rivers within the district council SFRA. The site has a low probability of surface water flooding, with small areas of surface water pooling in a 1 in 1000 year rainfall event.	++ The site is at low risk of being affected by flooding from either rivers, the sea or surface water. Sand and gravel extraction is considered to be a 'water compatible' land use which is suitable in all flood zones.	<b>0</b> No effect post extraction / restoration.
SA13: To encourage employment opportunities and promote economic growth	Although employment levels at minerals sites tend to be low, if this site was worked it could offer some local employment opportunities. As with all potential minerals sites, it would contribute to economic growth in	+	0 No effect post restoration

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
	Norfolk by providing raw materials for the construction industry		
Conclusion	in an area of low flood ris quality, biodiversity, the h considered that these effect the effect on County Wild biodiversity on restoration	rms of proximity to growth k. There are potential neg- historic environment, lands ects could be appropriately llife Sites. There could pos h. Sand and gravel extrac rovides raw materials for th	ative effects on air cape and amenity. It is / mitigated, except for sitive effects for tion has positive

# MIN 48 – land at Swannington Bottom Plantation, Felthorpe

Proposal: Extraction of 1,900,000 tonnes of sand

Size of site: 51.62 ha

SA Objective	SA Objective Comments Assessment of Assessment			
OR Objective	Comments	Extraction Phase	Post Extraction	
SA1: To adapt to and mitigate the effects of climate change by reducing contributions to climate change	The site is 2.3km from the Norwich urban area, but it is outside the Norwich Policy Area.	++ Mineral extraction requires energy and therefore emits CO <sub>2</sub> . There would also be CO <sub>2</sub> emissions from road transportation to the nearest towns, but the Norwich urban area is less than 5km away.	0 No contributions to climate change post extraction. Restoration would not include woodland as a carbon 'sink'.	
SA2: To improve air quality in line with the National Air Quality Standards	The site is not within an AQMA. As a proposed new extraction site, it may lead to an increase in HGV movements. However, an estimated of the number of HGV movements has not been provided.	- Due to increased HGV movements. However, the increased number of HGV movements due to mineral transport would not be significant compared to overall HGV transport.	0 No effect post restoration	
SA3: To minimise noise, vibration and visual intrusion	The nearest residential property is 198m from the site boundary. There are seven sensitive receptors within 250m of the site boundary. The settlement of Felthorpe is 198m away. The effect on visual intrusion is assessed under objective SA8.	- Sand and gravel extraction is not expected to cause vibration. It is considered that noise and dust can be mitigated to acceptable levels within 250m of the source; the greatest impacts will be within 100m, if uncontrolled. Noise and dust assessments, and mitigation measures to appropriately control any amenity impacts, must form part of any planning application for mineral extraction.	0 No effect post restoration	
SA4: To improve accessibility to jobs, services and facilities and reduce social exclusion	Mineral extraction sites are unlikely to provide improved accessibility to services and facilities and reduce social exclusion. The effect on employment is assessed under objective SA13.	<b>0</b> No effects expected during extraction	<b>0</b> It is unlikely that enhanced public access would be provided within the site on restoration.	

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
SA5: To maintain and enhance the character of the townscape and historic environment	The nearest Listed Building is the Grade II Felthorpe Hall which is 760m away. There are 7 Listed Buildings within 2km of the site. There is a Scheduled Monument within the site, which is the 'Round barrow north of Sandy Lane'. It is the only Scheduled Monument within 2km of the site.	 A Heritage Statement would be required to support any future planning application. The heritage statement should identify potential impacts to heritage assets and suggest appropriate mitigation, which may include identification of areas where mineral extraction would be inappropriate.	- A mitigation strategy should ensure the historic value of assets is appropriately preserved. Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts on the setting of heritage assets.
	There are no Conservation Areas or Registered Historic Parks and Gardens within 2km of the site.	No effects expected during extraction	No effect post extraction
	There are Historic Environment records of a feature comprising a bronze age barrow, within the site boundary. The site is in a wider landscape with a significant number of finds and features from multiple periods.	There is the potential that unknown archaeology exists on the site and an assessment of the significance of archaeological deposits will be required at the planning application stage, in order to protect and mitigate the impact of mineral extraction in this site.	No effect post extraction
SA6: To protect and enhance Norfolk's biodiversity and geodiversity	The site is 2.22km from the River Wensum SAC and is within the Impact Risk Zone for the River Wensum SSSI.	- Due to the elevated position of the site, if the proposed extraction site is worked dry (above the water table) then no adverse effects on the integrity of the SAC are expected.	<b>0</b> No impacts to the SAC are expected post extraction.
	The site is 3.46km from Buxton Heath SSSI which is part of the Norfolk Valley Fens SAC. It is outside the Impact Risk Zone for this SSSI.	No adverse impacts on the SSSI are expected due to the distance from the site.	No impacts to the SSSI are expected post extraction.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	Swannington Upgate Common SSSI is adjacent to the site boundary. Alderford Common SSSI is 1.82km from the site boundary.	There is the potential for impacts from dust deposition although with normal mitigation measures no adverse effects on these SSSIs are expected. If the site is worked above the water table, with normal mitigation measures, no adverse effects on SSSIs are expected.	No impacts to the SSSI are expected post extraction.
	CWS 1348 'Land adjoining Swannington Bottom Plantation' is adjacent to the site boundary.	There is the potential for impacts from dust deposition although with normal mitigation measures no adverse effect on this CWS is expected. If the site is worked above the water table, with normal mitigation measures, no adverse effect on the CWS is expected.	No impacts to the CWS are expected post extraction.
	The nearest ancient woodland site is Mileplain Plantation which is a PAWS and is 0.75km from the site boundary.	If the site is worked above the water table, with normal mitigation measures, no adverse effects on this ancient woodland site are expected.	No impacts to the ancient woodland are expected post extraction.
	The site consists of Head deposits - clay, silt and gravel, which are priority features due to their method of formation; Sheringham Cliffs formation-sand and gravel, and Britons Lane sand and gravel member, overlying chalk formations in the west and Wroxham Crag in the east. There is significant potential for vertebrate fossils	The site contains examples of geodiversity priority features.	No adverse impacts to geodiversity are expected post restoration. It would be useful for restoration to provide opportunities for further geological research of suitable exposures.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	within the Wroxham Crag. The Britons Lane sands and gravels are known to contain priority features such as palaesols and erratics in other locations, and therefore they may occur on this site.		
SA7: To promote innovative solutions for the restoration and after use of minerals sites	The site is proposed to be restored to heathland habitat.	<b>0</b> No effect during extraction phase	+ The proposed restoration scheme would provide some biodiversity gains.
SA8: To protect and enhance the quality and distinctiveness of the countryside and landscape	The site is not located within the AONB, a Core River Valley or any other designated landscape feature.	- The site is a coniferous plantation with a broadleaf edge and some regenerating broadleaf understorey. The eastern edge of the site is visible from Felthorpe Road and the southern edge from a public right of way. Intermittent views of the northern edge of the plantation can be seen from Mill Lane. If the working retained a woodland screen it would have a relatively low impact in landscape terms.	<b>0</b> Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate mitigation strategy and restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts.
SA9: To contribute to improved health and amenity of local communities in Norfolk	There is a Public Right of Way along the southern boundary of the site (Felthorpe RB7). The nearest residential property is 198m from the site boundary. There are seven sensitive receptors within 250m of the site boundary.	- Care would be needed to ensure that the impact on users of the PRoW and the nearby dwellings would not be significant; however it is considered that appropriate mitigation measures to ensure no unacceptable impacts could be conditioned.	<b>0</b> New public footpaths are unlikely to be provided within the site on restoration.
SA10: To protect and enhance water and soil quality in Norfolk	The site is located over both Secondary A and B aquifers (superficial deposits) and a principal aquifer	<b>0</b> If the site is worked above the water table, with normal mitigation measures, no adverse	<b>0</b> No effect on water resources is expected post extraction.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
	(bedrock). However, there are no groundwater Source Protection Zones within the proposed site.	effects on water resources are expected	
	The site is classified as non-agricultural land.	No impacts on BMV agricultural soils.	No impacts on BMV agricultural soils.
SA11: To promote sustainable use of minerals resources	The site is 2.3km from the Norwich urban area, which is allocated for significant growth in the adopted Local Plan.	++ Due to distance to nearest settlement allocated for significant growth.	<b>0</b> No effect post extraction
SA12: To reduce the risk of current and future flooding at new and existing development	The site has a low probability of flooding from rivers within the district council SFRA. The site has a low probability of surface water flooding, with one location of surface water pooling in a 1 in 1000 year rainfall event.	++ The site is at low risk of being affected by flooding from either rivers, the sea or surface water. Sand and gravel extraction is considered to be a 'water compatible' land use which is suitable in all flood zones.	<b>0</b> No effect post extraction / restoration.
SA13: To encourage employment opportunities and promote economic growth	Although employment levels at minerals sites tend to be low, if this site was worked it could offer some local employment opportunities. As with all potential minerals sites, it would contribute to economic growth in Norfolk by providing raw materials for the construction industry	+	0 No effect post restoration
Conclusion	The site scores well in terms of proximity to growth locations and is located in an area of low flood risk. There are potential negative effects on air quality, biodiversity, landscape and amenity; however, it is considered that these effects could be appropriately mitigated. There could positive effects for biodiversity on restoration. Sand and gravel extraction has positive economic impacts as it provides raw materials for the construction industry.		

# MIN 37 – land at Mayton Wood, Coltishall Road, Buxton

**Proposal:** Extraction of 1,450,000 tonnes of sand and gravel

Size of site: 23.5 ha

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
SA1: To adapt to and mitigate the effects of climate change by reducing contributions to climate change	The site is 6.2km from Aylsham and 8.9km from North Walsham, which are the nearest towns. The site is 7.2km from the Norwich urban area and it is outside the Norwich Policy Area.	<ul> <li>Mineral extraction requires energy and therefore emits CO<sub>2</sub>.</li> <li>There would also be CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from road transportation to the nearest towns, but Norwich is less than 10km away.</li> </ul>	<b>0</b> No contributions to climate change post extraction. Restoration would not include woodland as a carbon 'sink'.
SA2: To improve air quality in line with the National Air Quality Standards	The site is not within an AQMA. As a proposed extension to an existing site, the number of vehicle movements is expected to remain the same but continue for a longer period.	<b>0</b> Vehicle movements are not proposed to increase during the extraction phase, so would be unlikely to affect air quality due to vehicle emissions.	0 No effect post restoration
SA3: To minimise noise, vibration and visual intrusion	The nearest residential property is 15m from the site boundary. There are 18 sensitive receptors within 250m of the site boundary. The settlement of Buxton is 1.1km away. However, the proposed extraction area is set back from Coltishall Road and the nearest residential property is 96m from the extraction area. There are 12 sensitive receptors within 250m of the proposed extraction area. The effect on visual intrusion is assessed under objective SA8.	 Sand and gravel extraction is not expected to cause vibration. It is considered that noise and dust can be mitigated to acceptable levels within 250m of the source; the greatest impacts will be within 100m, if uncontrolled. Noise and dust assessments, and mitigation measures to appropriately control any amenity impacts, must form part of any planning application for mineral extraction.	<b>0</b> No effect post restoration
SA4: To improve accessibility to jobs, services and facilities and reduce social exclusion	Mineral extraction sites are unlikely to provide improved accessibility to services and facilities and reduce social exclusion. The effect on employment is assessed under objective SA13.	0 No effects expected during extraction	<b>0</b> It is unlikely that enhanced public access would be provided within the site on restoration.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
SA5: To maintain and enhance the character of the townscape and historic environment	The nearest Listed Building is the Grade II Mayton Hall which is 870m away. There are 35 Listed Buildings within 2km of the site. There are 2 Scheduled Monuments within 2km of the site. Great Hautbois old Church is 1.63km away and the 'Roman camp and Settlement site West of Horstead' is 1.71km away.	<ul> <li><b>0</b> <ul> <li>A Heritage Statement would be required to support any future planning application.</li> <li>The heritage statement should identify potential impacts to heritage assets and suggest appropriate mitigation.</li> <li>No effects expected during extraction.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<b>0</b> A mitigation strategy should ensure, the historic value of, assets is appropriately preserved. Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts on the setting of heritage assets.
	RAF Coltishall Conservation Area is 1.67km from the site.	No effects expected during extraction.	No effect post restoration.
	There are no Registered Historic Parks and Gardens within 2km of the site.	No effects expected during extraction	No effect post extraction
	There are no Historic Environment records within the site boundary. The site is in a wider landscape with a significant number of finds and features from multiple periods.	There is the potential that unknown archaeology exists on the site and an assessment of the significance of archaeological deposits will be required at the planning application stage, in order to protect and mitigate the impact of mineral extraction in this site.	No effect post extraction.
SA6: To protect and enhance Norfolk's biodiversity and geodiversity	The site is 4.23km from Crostwick Marsh SSSI, which is part of the Broads SAC, Broadland SPA and Ramsar site. It is outside the Impact Risk Zone for this SSSI.	- Due to distance, no impacts on SPAs, SACs or Ramsar sites are expected.	<b>0</b> No impacts on SPAs, SACs, or Ramsar sites are expected.
	There are no SSSIs within 4km of the site boundary and the site is not within the Impact Risk Zone for any SSSI.	Due to distance, no impacts on SSSIs are expected.	No impacts on SSSIs are expected.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	The nearest CWS is CWS 1411 'Disused Gravel Pit' which is 90m from the site boundary.	There is the potential for impacts from dust deposition although with normal mitigation measures no adverse effect on this CWS is expected. The site would be worked dry (above the water table), therefore no adverse effects on the CWS are expected.	No impacts to the CWS is expected post extraction.
	The nearest ancient woodland site is Clamp Wood which is a PAWS and ASWN; it is 2.25km from the site boundary.	No adverse impacts on the ancient woodland are expected due to the distance from the site and because the site would be worked dry.	No impacts to ancient woodland sites are expected post extraction.
	The site consists of the Happisburgh glacigenic formation-sand and gravel, overlying Wroxham Crag-sand and gravel. There is significant potential for vertebrate fossils within the Wroxham Crag.	There is the potential for the site to contain examples of geodiversity priority features.	No adverse impacts to geodiversity are expected post restoration. It would be useful for restoration to provide opportunities for further geological research of suitable exposures.
SA7: To promote innovative solutions for the restoration and after use of minerals sites	The site would be restored to a mix of agricultural land, grassland, and some woodland. There may also be some enhanced public access to the site following restoration.	0 No effect during extraction phase	+ The proposed restoration scheme would provide some biodiversity and amenity gains.
SA8: To protect and enhance the quality and distinctiveness of the countryside and landscape	The site is not located within the AONB, a Core River Valley or any other designated landscape feature.	- The site comprises gently undulating arable land. The site is immediately opposite five isolated properties which lie along Buxton Road. The site would be difficult to screen from upstairs views from these properties, without a suitable standoff area incorporating advanced planting. Screening	<b>0</b> Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate mitigation strategy and restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts and if this includes woodland planting has the potential to reduce the landscape impact of the adjacent restored landfill site.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
		should take the form of tree belts with hedgerows closest to the boundaries of the site using native species.	
SA9: To contribute to improved health and amenity of local communities in Norfolk	There is a Public Right of Way crossing the site (Frettenham FP2). The nearest residential property is 15m from the site boundary. There are 18 sensitive receptors within 250m of the site boundary. However, the proposed extraction area is set back from Coltishall Road and the nearest residential property is 96m from the extraction area. There are 12 sensitive receptors within 250m of the proposed extraction area.	- Care would be needed to ensure that the impact on users of the PRoW and the nearby dwellings would not be significant. However, it is considered that appropriate mitigation measures to ensure no unacceptable impacts could be conditioned, such as temporary PRoW diversions.	+ If improved public access formed part of the restoration of the site this could contribute to improved health and amenity of local communities.
SA10: To protect and enhance water and soil quality in Norfolk	The site is partially located over a Secondary B aquifer (superficial deposits) and a principal aquifer (bedrock). The southern part of the site is within groundwater Source Protection Zone 3. The northern part of the site is not within a groundwater SPZ.	<b>0/-</b> The proposed extraction site would be worked dry (above the water table) and therefore no effect on water resources is expected.	<b>0</b> No effect on water resources is expected post extraction.
	The site is Grade 3 agricultural land and could potentially be Grade 3a which is classified within the Best and Most Versatile agricultural land.	Potential for BMV agricultural land to be affected by mineral extraction within the site.	The site is proposed to be restored back to agriculture. Therefore, as long as the topsoil was stored correctly and then replaced, there would be no likely adverse effect on BMV agricultural land.
SA11: To promote sustainable use of minerals resources	The site is 6.2km from Aylsham, 8.9km from North Walsham and 7.2km from the Norwich	+ Due to distance to nearest settlement	0 No effect post extraction

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
	urban area. These are the nearest settlements allocated for significant growth in the adopted Local Plan.	allocated for significant growth.	
SA12: To reduce the risk of current and future flooding at new and existing development	The site has a low probability of flooding from rivers within the district council SFRA. The site has a low risk of surface water flooding, with a two locations of surface water pooling in a 1 in 30 rainfall event. In a 1 in 1000 year rainfall event there is a surface water flow path across the widest part of the site west-east.	++ The site is at low risk of being affected by flooding from either rivers, the sea or surface water. Sand and gravel extraction is considered to be a 'water compatible' land use which is suitable in all flood zones.	<b>0</b> No effect post extraction / restoration.
SA13: To encourage employment opportunities and promote economic growth	Although employment levels at minerals sites tend to be low, if this site was worked it could offer continuing local employment opportunities. As with all potential minerals sites, it would contribute to economic growth in Norfolk by providing raw materials for the construction industry	+	0 No effect post restoration
Conclusion	The site scores well in terms of proximity to growth locations and is located in an area of low flood risk. There are potential negative effects on biodiversity, landscape, amenity and agricultural land; however, it is considered that these effects could be appropriately mitigated. There could positive effects for biodiversity and landscape on restoration. Sand and gravel extraction has positive economic impacts as it provides raw materials for the construction industry.		

# MIN 64 – land at Grange Farm, Buxton Road, Horstead

**Proposal:** Extraction of 650,000 tonnes of sand and gravel

Size of site: 16.76 ha

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
•		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
SA1: To adapt to and mitigate the effects of climate change by reducing contributions to climate change	The site is 7.9km from Aylsham and 9.3km from North Walsham, which are the nearest towns. The site is 6.9km from the Norwich urban area and it is outside the Norwich Policy Area.	+ Mineral extraction requires energy and therefore emits CO <sub>2</sub> . There would also be CO <sub>2</sub> emissions from road transportation to the nearest towns, but Norwich is less than 10km away.	<b>0</b> No contributions to climate change post extraction. Restoration would include woodland as a carbon 'sink'.
SA2: To improve air quality in line with the National Air Quality Standards	The site is not within an AQMA. As a proposed extension to an existing site, the number of vehicle movements is expected to remain the same but continue for a longer period.	<b>0</b> Vehicle movements are not proposed to increase during the extraction phase, so would be unlikely to affect air quality due to vehicle emissions.	<b>0</b> No effect post restoration
SA3: To minimise noise, vibration and visual intrusion	The nearest residential property is 61m from the site boundary. There are four sensitive receptors within 250m of the site boundary. The settlement of Horstead is 453m away. The effect on visual intrusion is assessed under objective SA8.	 Sand and gravel extraction is not expected to cause vibration. It is considered that noise and dust can be mitigated to acceptable levels within 250m of the source; the greatest impacts will be within 100m, if uncontrolled. Noise and dust assessments, and mitigation measures to appropriately control any amenity impacts, must form part of any planning application for mineral extraction.	0 No effect post restoration
SA4: To improve accessibility to jobs, services and facilities and reduce social exclusion	Mineral extraction sites are unlikely to provide improved accessibility to services and facilities and reduce social exclusion. The effect on employment is assessed under objective SA13.	0 No effects expected during extraction	<b>0</b> It is unlikely that enhanced public access would be provided within the site on restoration.
SA5: To maintain and enhance the	The nearest Listed Buildings is the Grade	-	0

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
character of the townscape and historic environment	II* Church of St Theobald (which is also a Scheduled Monument) and is 580m away. There are 46 Listed Buildings within 2km of the site. 22 of these are within Coltishall and Horstead Conservation Area which is 850m from the site. RAF Coltishall Conservation Area is 1.29km from the site.	A Heritage Statement would be required to support any future planning application. The heritage statement should identify potential impacts to heritage assets and suggest appropriate mitigation.	A mitigation strategy should ensure the historic value of assets is appropriately preserved. Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts on the setting of heritage assets.
	The nearest Scheduled Monument is the 'Roman camp and settlement site west of Horstead' which is 460m away. There are 3 Scheduled Monuments within 2km of the site.	No effects expected during extraction.	No effect post extraction
	There are no Registered Historic Parks and Gardens within 2km of the site.	No effects expected during extraction	No effect post extraction
	There are Historic Environment records of isolated multi period finds and features including a probable bronze age barrow, within the site boundary. The site is close to the boundary of the historic parkland associated with Horstead Hall and is in a wider landscape with a significant number of finds and features from multiple periods.	There is the potential that unknown archaeology exists on the site and an assessment of the significance of archaeological deposits will be required at the planning application stage, in order to protect and mitigate the impact of mineral extraction in this site.	No effect post extraction
SA6: To protect and enhance Norfolk's biodiversity and geodiversity	The site is 3.39km from Croswick Marsh SSSI, which is part of The Broads SAC, Broadland SPA and Ramsar site. It	<b>0</b> Due to distance, no impacts on SPAs, SACs or Ramsar sites are expected.	<b>0</b> No impacts on SPAs, SACs or Ramsar sites are expected.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	is outside the Impact Risk Zone for this SSSI.		
	There are no SSSIs within 3km of the site boundary and the site is not within the Impact Risk Zone for any SSSI.	Due to distance, no impacts on SSSIs are expected.	No impacts on SSSIs are expected.
	CWS 1409 'Land adj. All Saint's Church' is 270m from the site boundary. CWS 1411 'Disused Gravel Pit' is 400m from the site boundary.	No adverse impacts on the CWSs are expected due to the distance from the site.	No impacts to CWSs are expected post extraction.
	The nearest ancient woodland site is Clamp Wood which is an ASNW and PAWS; it is 1.6km from the site boundary.	The proposed extraction site would be worked dry (above the water table). No adverse impacts on the ancient woodland site is expected due to the distance from the site.	No impacts to the ancient woodland are expected post extraction.
	The site consists of Head deposits - clay, silt and gravel, which are priority features due to their method of formation; Happisburgh glacigenic formation - sand and gravel, overlying Wroxham Crag - sand and gravel. There is significant potential for vertebrate fossils within the Wroxham Crag.	This site contains examples of geodiversity priority features.	No adverse impacts to geodiversity are expected post restoration. It would be useful for restoration to provide opportunities for further geological research of suitable exposures.
SA7: To promote innovative solutions for the restoration and after use of minerals sites	The proposed restoration scheme is to agriculture, with wide field margins, hedgerow formation and tree planting.	<b>0</b> No effect during extraction phase	+ The proposed restoration scheme would provide some biodiversity gains.
SA8: To protect and enhance the quality and distinctiveness of the countryside and landscape	The site is not located within the AONB, a Core River Valley or any other designated landscape feature.	- The site is a large flat arable field. It adjoins an area of mineral working and lies within a wider area of arable	<b>0</b> Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate mitigation strategy and restoration

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
		farmland. Whilst it should be possible to design a scheme of working to reduce the landscape impact of working this land, the removal of field boundary hedgerows and trees would have a high landscape impact and should be avoided.	scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts provided that hedgerow reinforcement and tree planting are included.
SA9: To contribute to improved health and amenity of local communities in Norfolk	There are no Public Rights of Way within the site. There is a PRoW (Horstead with Stanninghall BR3) close to the eastern boundary of the site. The nearest residential property is 61m from the site boundary. There are four sensitive receptors within 250m of the site boundary.	- Care would be needed to ensure that the impact on users of the PRoW and the nearby dwellings would not be significant. However, it is considered that appropriate mitigation measures to ensure no unacceptable impacts could be conditioned.	<b>0</b> New public footpaths are unlikely to be provided within the site on restoration.
SA10: To protect and enhance water and soil quality in Norfolk	The site is partially located over a Secondary A aquifer (superficial deposits) and a principal aquifer (bedrock). The site is within groundwater Source Protection Zone 3. The site is Grade 3 agricultural land and could potentially be Grade 3a which is classified within the Best and Most Versatile agricultural land.	<b>0/-</b> If the site is worked above the water table, with normal mitigation measures, no adverse effects on water resources are expected. Potential for BMV agricultural land to be affected by mineral extraction within the site.	<ul> <li><b>0</b>         No effect on water resources is expected post extraction.     </li> <li>The site is proposed to be restored back to agriculture. Therefore, as long as the topsoil was stored correctly and then replaced, there would be no likely adverse effect on BMV agricultural land.     </li> </ul>
SA11: To promote sustainable use of minerals resources	The site is 7.9km from Aylsham, 9.3km from North Walsham and 6.9km from the Norwich urban area. These are the nearest settlements allocated for significant	+ Due to distance to nearest settlement allocated for significant growth.	0 No effect post extraction

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
	growth in the adopted Local Plan.		
SA12: To reduce the risk of current and future flooding at new and existing development	The site has a low probability of flooding from rivers within the district council SFRA. The site has a low risk of surface water flooding, with one location of surface water pooling in a 1 in 30 year rainfall event and which extends in both the 1 in 100 and 1 in 1000 year rainfall event. There are two additional locations in a 1 in 1000 year rainfall event.	++ The site is at low risk of being affected by flooding from either rivers, the sea or surface water. Sand and gravel extraction is considered to be a 'water compatible' land use which is suitable in all flood zones.	<b>0</b> No effect post extraction / restoration.
SA13: To encourage employment opportunities and promote economic growth	Although employment levels at minerals sites tend to be low, if this site was worked it could offer continuing local employment opportunities. As with all potential minerals sites, it would contribute to economic growth in Norfolk by providing raw materials for the construction industry	+	0 No effect post restoration
Conclusion	The site scores well in terms of proximity to growth locations and is located in an area of low flood risk. There are potential negative effects on landscape, agricultural land and amenity; however, it is considered that these effects could be appropriately mitigated. There could be positive effects for biodiversity on restoration. Sand and gravel extraction has positive economic impacts as it provides raw materials for the construction industry.		
### MIN 65 – land north of Stanninghall Quarry

**Proposal:** Extraction of 4,500,000 tonnes of sand and gravel

Size of site: 53.12 ha

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
SA1: To adapt to and mitigate the effects of climate change by reducing contributions to climate change	The site is 9.1km from Aylsham, which is the nearest town. The site is 5.5km from the Norwich urban area and it is outside the Norwich Policy Area.	<ul> <li>Mineral extraction requires energy and therefore emits CO<sub>2</sub>.</li> <li>There would also be CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from road transportation to the nearest towns, but Norwich is less than 10km away.</li> </ul>	0 No contributions to climate change post extraction. Restoration would include woodland as a carbon 'sink'.
SA2: To improve air quality in line with the National Air Quality Standards	The site is not within an AQMA. As a proposed extension to an existing site, the number of vehicle movements is expected to remain the same but continue for a longer period.	<b>0</b> Vehicle movements are not proposed to increase during the extraction phase, so would be unlikely to affect air quality due to vehicle emissions.	0 No effect post restoration
SA3: To minimise noise, vibration and visual intrusion	The nearest residential property is 13m from the site boundary. There are 13 sensitive receptors within 250m of the site boundary. The settlement of Horstead is 239m away. The effect on visual intrusion is assessed under objective SA8.	 Sand and gravel extraction is not expected to cause vibration. It is considered that noise and dust can be mitigated to acceptable levels within 250m of the source; the greatest impacts will be within 100m, if uncontrolled. Noise and dust assessments, and mitigation measures to appropriately control any amenity impacts, must form part of any planning application for mineral extraction.	0 No effect post restoration
SA4: To improve accessibility to jobs, services and facilities and reduce social exclusion	Mineral extraction sites are unlikely to provide improved accessibility to services and facilities and reduce social exclusion. The effect on employment is assessed under objective SA13.	0 No effects expected during extraction	<b>0</b> It is unlikely that enhanced public access would be provided within the site on restoration.
SA5: To maintain and enhance the	The nearest Listed Building is Grade II		-

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
character of the townscape and historic environment	Horstead Lodge which is 310m away. There are 50 Listed Buildings within 2km of the site. 24 of these are within Coltishall and Horstead Conservation Area which is 380m from the site. The nearest Scheduled Monument is the 'Roman camp and settlement site west of Horstead, which is 140m away. There are 2 Scheduled Monuments within 2km of the site.	Extraction Phase A Heritage Statement would be required to support any future planning application. The heritage statement should identify potential impacts to heritage assets and suggest appropriate mitigation, which may include identification of areas where mineral extraction would be inappropriate.	Post Extraction A mitigation strategy should ensure, the historic value of assets is appropriately preserved. Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts on the setting of heritage assets.
	There are no Registered Historic Parks and Gardens within 2km of the site.	No effects expected during extraction	No effect post extraction
	There are Historic Environment records of multi-period features in the northern part of the site including a probable WW2 military site and a WW2 Royal Observers Corp post. The site is in a wider landscape with a significant number of finds and features from multiple periods.	There is the potential that unknown archaeology exists on the site and an assessment of the significance of archaeological deposits will be required at the planning application stage, in order to protect and mitigate the impact of mineral extraction in this site.	No effect post extraction.
SA6: To protect and enhance Norfolk's biodiversity and geodiversity	The site is 1.4km from Crostwick Marsh SSSI, which is part of The Broads SAC, Broadland SPA and Ramsar site.	- The proposed extraction site is in a different hydrological catchment to the SSSI and would not affect the hydrology of the SSSI. In addition, due to the distance from the site no adverse effects are expected on the SSSI, SPA, SAC or Ramsar site.	<b>0</b> No impacts on the SSSI, SPA, SAC, or Ramsar site are expected post extraction.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	The nearest County Wildlife Site is CWS 1409 'Land adj. All Saint's Church' which is 900m from the site boundary.	Due to distance, no impacts on CWS are expected.	No impacts on CWS are expected.
	The nearest ancient woodland sites are: Clamp Wood, which is an ASNW and PAWS and is 0.27km from the site, and Stanninghall Wood which is a PAWS and is 0.89km from the site boundary.	As the site would be worked dry (above the water table); with normal mitigation measures; no adverse effects on these ancient woodland sites are expected.	No impacts to the ancient woodland sites are expected post extraction.
	This site consists of the Britons Lane sand and gravel member, Happisburgh glacigenic formation - sand and gravel, overlying Wroxham Crag formation - sand and gravel on the west of the site, Wroxham Crag Formation at the surface in the east of the site. There is significant potential for vertebrate fossils within the Wroxham Crag. The Britons Lane sands and gravels are known to contain priority features such as palaesols and erratics in other locations, and therefore they may occur on this site.	There is the potential for this site to contain examples of geodiversity priority features.	No adverse impacts to geodiversity are expected post restoration. It would be useful for restoration to provide opportunities for further geological research of suitable exposures.
SA7: To promote innovative solutions for the restoration and after use of minerals sites	The site is proposed to be restored to a combination of arable agriculture, wildlife enhanced grassland and woodland.	<b>0</b> No effect during extraction phase	+ The proposed restoration scheme would provide some biodiversity gains.
SA8: To protect and enhance the quality and distinctiveness of the countryside and landscape	The site is not located within the AONB, a Core River Valley or any other designated landscape feature.	- The site comprises open arable plateau farmland divided by hedgerows with some boundary trees.	<b>0</b> Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate mitigation strategy and restoration

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
		Glimpses of the land can be seen from Frettenham Road to the west through gaps in boundary hedges. Views could also be seen from two properties which lie to the west and east respectively. The site is fairly level and it should be possible to design a scheme of working, incorporating screening, which would have an acceptable impact on the wider landscape.	scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts.
SA9: To contribute to improved health and amenity of local communities in Norfolk	There are no Public Rights of Way within the site. There is a PRoW (Frettenham BR4) close to the western site boundary at one point. The nearest residential property is 13m from the site boundary. There are 13 sensitive receptors within 250m of the site boundary.	- Care would be needed to ensure that the impact on users of the PRoW and the nearby dwellings would not be significant. However, it is considered that appropriate mitigation measures to ensure no unacceptable impacts could be conditioned.	<b>0</b> New public footpaths are unlikely to be provided within the site on restoration.
SA10: To protect and enhance water and soil quality in Norfolk	The site is partially located over a Secondary B aquifer and a Secondary A aquifer (superficial deposits) and a principal aquifer (bedrock). The majority of the site is within groundwater Source Protection Zone 3. The most northern part of the site is within groundwater SPZ2. A southern part of the site is not within a groundwater SPZ.	<b>0/-</b> As the site would be worked above the watertable no adverse impacts on hydrology are expected, although a Hydrological Risk Assessment would be required to confirm this.	0 No effect on water resources is expected post extraction.
	The site is classified as a mixture of grades 2,	The site contains BMV land, however if a	The site is proposed to be restored back to agriculture. Therefore,

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
	3a and 3b agricultural land. Grades 2 and 3a are within the Best and Most Versatile agricultural land.	suitable soil storage strategy was adopted no adverse impacts from the extraction phase are expected.	as long as the topsoil was stored correctly and then replaced, there would be no likely adverse effect on BMV agricultural land.
SA11: To promote sustainable use of minerals resources	The site is 9.1km from Aylsham and 5.5km from the Norwich urban area. These are the nearest settlements allocated for significant growth in the adopted Local Plan.	+ Due to distance to nearest settlement allocated for significant growth.	0 No effect post extraction
SA12: To reduce the risk of current and future flooding at new and existing development	The site has a low probability of flooding from rivers within the district council SFRA. The site has a low probability of surface water flooding, with a few locations of surface water pooling in a 1 in 1000 year rainfall event.	++ The site is at a low risk of flooding from either rivers, the sea or surface water. Sand and gravel extraction is considered to be a 'water compatible' land use which is suitable in all flood zones.	<b>0</b> No effect post extraction / restoration.
SA13: To encourage employment opportunities and promote economic growth	Although employment levels at minerals sites tend to be low, if this site was worked it could offer continuing local employment opportunities. As with all potential minerals sites, it would contribute to economic growth in Norfolk by providing raw materials for the construction industry	+	0 No effect post restoration
Conclusion	construction industryThe site scores well in terms of proximity to growth locations and is locatedin an area of low flood risk. There are potential negative effects on thehistoric environment, landscape, agricultural land, geodiversity and amenity,however; it is considered that these effects could be appropriately mitigated.There could positive effects for biodiversity on restoration. Sand and gravelextraction has positive economic impacts as it provides raw materials for theconstruction industry.		

# MIN 96 – land at Grange Farm (between Spixworth Road and Coltishall Lane), Spixworth

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
SA1: To adapt to and mitigate the effects of climate change by reducing contributions to climate change	The site is 2km from the Norwich urban area and is within the Norwich Policy Area.	Extraction Phase ++ Mineral extraction requires energy and therefore emits CO <sub>2</sub> . There would also be CO <sub>2</sub> emissions from road transportation to the nearest towns, but Norwich is less than 5km away.	Post Extraction <b>0</b> No contributions to climate change post extraction.
SA2: To improve air quality in line with the National Air Quality Standards	The site is not within an AQMA. As a proposed extension to an existing site, the number of vehicle movements is expected to remain the same but continue for a longer period.	<b>0</b> Vehicle movements are not proposed to increase during the extraction phase, so would be unlikely to affect air quality due to vehicle emissions.	0 No effect post restoration
SA3: To minimise noise, vibration and visual intrusion	The nearest residential property is 21m from the site boundary. There are five sensitive receptors within 250m of the site boundary. The settlement of Horsham St Faith is 352m away. The effect on visual intrusion is assessed under objective SA8.	 Sand and gravel extraction is not expected to cause vibration. It is considered that noise and dust can be mitigated to acceptable levels within 250m of the source; the greatest impacts will be within 100m, if uncontrolled. Noise and dust assessments, and mitigation measures to appropriately control any amenity impacts, must form part of any planning application for mineral extraction.	0 No effect post restoration
SA4: To improve accessibility to jobs, services and facilities and reduce social exclusion	Mineral extraction sites are unlikely to provide improved accessibility to services and facilities and reduce social exclusion. The effect on employment is assessed under objective SA13.	0 No effects expected during extraction	<b>0</b> It is unlikely that enhanced public access would be provided within the site on restoration.

Proposal: Extraction of 1,600,000 tonnes of sand and gravel Size of site: 39.03 ha

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
SA5: To maintain and enhance the character of the townscape and historic environment	The nearest Listed Buildings are Grade II Meadow Farmhouse (210m away), Grade II Barn at Grange Farm (240m away), Grade II Grange Farmhouse (260m away) and Grade I Church of St Peter (300m away). There are 29 Listed Buildings within 2km of the site. 11 of these are within Horsham St Faiths Conservation Area, which is 650m from the site.	 A Heritage Statement would be required to support any future planning application. The heritage statement should identify potential impacts to heritage assets and suggest appropriate mitigation, which may include identification of areas where mineral extraction would be inappropriate.	- A mitigation strategy should ensure the historic value of assets is appropriately preserved. Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts on the setting of heritage assets.
	The only Scheduled Monument within 2km of the site is 'St Faith Priory', which is 1.08km away. There are no Registered Historic Parks and Gardens within 2km of the site.	No effects expected during extraction. No effects expected during extraction	No effects post extraction. No effects post extraction
	There are Historic Environment records of multi period finds within the site boundary, and a possible medieval trackway crossing the site. The site is close to the boundary of the historic parkland associated with Spixworth Hall, and is in a wider landscape with a very significant number of finds and features from multiple periods.	There is the potential that unknown archaeology exists on the site and an assessment of the significance of archaeological deposits will be required at the planning application stage, in order to protect and mitigate the impact of mineral extraction in this site.	No effect post extraction
SA6: To protect and enhance Norfolk's biodiversity and geodiversity	The site is 2.22km from Crostwick Marsh SSSI, which is part of The Broads SAC, Broadland SPA and Ramsar site.	- The proposed extraction site is located up-gradient of the SSSI and would not affect the hydrology. In addition, due to the	<b>0</b> No impacts on SPAs, SACs, Ramsar sites or SSSIs are expected post extraction.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
		distance from the site, there would be no adverse impacts to the SSSI, SAC, SPA or Ramsar site.	
	CWS 2205 'Spixworth Bridge Meadows' is 90m from the site boundary. CWS 1396 'Spixworth Meadows' is 480m from the site boundary.	There is the potential for impacts from dust deposition, although with normal mitigation measures no adverse effects on these CWSs are expected. As the site is expected to be worked dry (above the water table) no adverse effects on the CWSs are expected.	No impacts on the CWSs are expected post extraction
	The nearest ancient woodland site is The Wilderness, which is a PAWS and is 1.47km from the site boundary.	As the site is expected to be worked dry (above the water table) and due to the distance, no adverse effects on the PAWS are expected.	No impacts on the ancient woodland site are expected post extraction
	The site consists of the Sheringham Cliffs formation - sand and gravel, and Happisburgh glacigenic formation - sand and gravel.	There is the potential for this site to contain examples of geodiversity priority features.	No adverse impacts to geodiversity are expected post restoration. It would be useful for restoration to provide opportunities for further geological research of suitable exposures.
SA7: To promote innovative solutions for the restoration and after use of minerals sites	No details on proposed restoration of the site have been provided. The preferred restoration would be to agriculture with wide field margins, hedgerow formation and some woodland planting.	<b>0</b> No effect during extraction phase	<b>?</b> No details of a proposed restoration scheme have been provided.
SA8: To protect and enhance the quality and distinctiveness of the countryside and landscape	The site is not located within the AONB, a Core River Valley or any other designated landscape feature.	- The site comprises gently undulating arable land above the valley of Crostwick Beck. There are a few residential dwellings	<b>0</b> Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate mitigation strategy and restoration scheme should ensure

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
		close to the site boundary. The site is a large area, and it may be possible to work parts of the site, with suitable screening without an unacceptable impact on either the wider landscape or views from property.	no unacceptable impacts.
SA9: To contribute to improved health and amenity of local communities in Norfolk	There are no Public Rights of Way within or adjacent to the site. The nearest residential property is 21m from the site boundary. There are five sensitive receptors within 250m of the site boundary.	- Care would be needed to ensure that the impact on nearby dwellings would not be significant; however it is considered that appropriate mitigation measures to ensure no unacceptable impacts could be conditioned.	<b>0</b> New public footpaths are unlikely to be provided within the site on restoration.
SA10: To protect and enhance water and soil quality in Norfolk	The site is not located over any superficial deposit aquifers. The site is located over a principal aquifer (bedrock). There are no groundwater Source Protection Zones within the proposed site.	<b>0/-</b> The site is expected to be worked dry (above the water table), therefore adverse impacts to hydrology are not expected.	<b>0/-</b> No effect on water resources is expected post extraction.
	The site is Grade 3 agricultural land and could potentially be Grade 3a which is classified within the Best and Most Versatile agricultural land.	Potential for BMV agricultural land to be affected by mineral extraction within the site.	If BMV land is identified, any restoration that does not incorporate agriculture would result in adverse impacts
SA11: To promote sustainable use of minerals resources	The site is 2km from the Norwich urban area and is within the Norwich Policy Area. This is the nearest settlement allocated for significant growth in the adopted Local Plan.	++ Due to distance to nearest settlement allocated for significant growth.	0 No effect post extraction
SA12: To reduce the risk of current and future flooding at new and existing development	The site has a low probability of flooding from rivers within the district council SFRA. The site has a low	++ The site is at low risk of being affected by flooding from either rivers, the sea or	<b>0</b> No effect post extraction / restoration.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
	probability of surface	surface water. Sand	
	water flooding, with two	and gravel extraction is	
	very small locations of	considered to be a	
	surface water pooling in	'water compatible' land	
	a 1 in 1000 year rainfall event.	use which is suitable in all flood zones.	
SA13: To			0
	Although employment levels at minerals sites	+	•
encourage employment	tend to be low, if this		No effect post restoration
opportunities and	site was worked it could		restoration
promote economic	offer continuing local		
growth	employment		
giowai	opportunities. As with		
	all potential minerals		
	sites, it would contribute		
	to economic growth in		
	Norfolk by providing		
	raw materials for the		
	construction industry		
Conclusion	The site scores well in terms of proximity to growth locations and is located		
	in an area of low flood risk. There are potential negative effects on the		
	historic environment, landscape, agricultural land, biodiversity, geodiversity		
		is considered that these el	
		Sand and gravel extractior	•
	impacts as it provides rav	v materials for the construe	ction industry.

## Great Yarmouth sites

#### MIN 203 – land north of Welcome Pit, Burgh Castle

Floposal. Extraction of 200,000 tonnes of sand and graver	Proposal: Extraction of 280,000 tonnes of sand and gravel	
---	---	--

Size of site: 4.38 ha

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
SA1: To adapt to and mitigate the effects of climate change by reducing contributions to climate change	The site is 3.3km from Great Yarmouth and 3.9km from Gorleston- on-Sea, which are the nearest towns.	++ Mineral extraction requires energy and therefore emits CO <sub>2</sub> . There would also be CO <sub>2</sub> emissions from road transportation to the nearest towns, but Great Yarmouth and Gorleston-on-Sea are less than 5km away.	0 No contributions to climate change post extraction. Restoration would not include woodland as a carbon 'sink'.
SA2: To improve air quality in line with the National Air Quality Standards	The site is not within an AQMA. As a proposed extension to an existing site, the number of vehicle movements is expected to remain the same but continue for a longer period.	<b>0</b> Vehicle movements are not proposed to increase during the extraction phase, so would be unlikely to affect air quality due to vehicle emissions.	0 No effect post restoration
SA3: To minimise noise, vibration and visual intrusion	The nearest residential property is 146m from the site boundary. There are five sensitive receptors within 250m of the site boundary. A caravan holiday park is adjacent to the site boundary. The settlement of Burgh Castle is 870m away and Belton is 950m away. The effect on visual intrusion is assessed under objective SA8.	- Sand and gravel extraction is not expected to cause vibration. It is considered that noise and dust can be mitigated to acceptable levels within 250m of the source; the greatest impacts will be within 100m, if uncontrolled. Noise and dust assessments, and mitigation measures to appropriately control any amenity impacts, must form part of any planning application for mineral extraction.	<b>0</b> No effect post restoration
SA4: To improve accessibility to jobs, services and facilities and reduce social exclusion	Mineral extraction sites are unlikely to provide improved accessibility to services and facilities and reduce social exclusion. The effect on employment is	0 No effects expected during extraction	<b>0</b> It is unlikely that enhanced public access would be provided within the site on restoration.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	assessed under objective SA13.		
SA5: To maintain and enhance the character of the townscape and historic environment	The nearest Listed Building is Grade II Old Hall Farmhouse which is 880m away. There are 19 Listed Buildings within 2km of the site. The nearest Scheduled Monument is 'Burgh Castle Roman fort, vicus, pre-conquest monastery, Norman motte and Bailey castle' which is 650m away. There are 2 Scheduled Monuments within 2km of the site.	<ul> <li><b>0</b> <ul> <li>A Heritage Statement would be required to support any future planning application.</li> <li>The heritage statement should identify potential impacts to heritage assets and suggest appropriate mitigation.</li> </ul> </li> <li>No effects expected during extraction.</li> </ul>	<b>0</b> A mitigation strategy should ensure the historic value of assets is appropriately preserved. Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts on the setting of heritage assets.
	Halvergate Marshes Conservation Area is 1.13km from the site and Haddiscoe Conservation Area is 1.01km from the site. There are no Registered Historic Parks and Gardens within 2km of the site.	No effects expected during extraction	No effect post extraction. No effect post extraction
	The Historic Environment Record contains records of isolated multi-period finds within the site; however the majority of the site is identified as an area with no archaeological finds or features.	There is the potential that unknown archaeology exists on the site and it would be prudent to adopt a watching brief for archaeological finds within the site.	No effect post extraction
SA6: To protect and enhance Norfolk's biodiversity and geodiversity	The site is 1km from Breydon Water SSSI, which is part of the Breydon Water SPA and Ramsar site.	- The proposed extraction site is in a different hydrological catchment to the SSSI and would not affect the hydrology of the SSSI. In addition, due to the distance from the site no adverse effects are expected to the	<b>0</b> No impacts on Breydon Water SSSI, SPA and Ramsar are expected post extraction.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
		SSSI, SPA and Ramsar.	
	The site is 3.63km from The Broads SAC, Broadland SPA and Ramsar site	The proposed extraction site is in a different hydrological catchment to the SAC, SPA and Ramsar site and would not affect their hydrology. In addition, due to the distance from the site, no adverse effects are expected to the SAC, SPA or Ramsar site.	No impacts on the SAC, SPA and Ramsar are expected post extraction.
	Halvergate Marshes SSSI is 1.72km from the site boundary.	The proposed extraction site is in a different hydrological catchment to the SSSI and would not affect its hydrology. Therefore there would be no adverse effects on the SSSI.	No impacts on the SSSI are expected post extraction.
	The nearest CWS is CWS 2184 'Bremar Pony Stud' which is 570m from the site boundary.	No adverse impacts on the CWS is expected due to the distance from the site.	No impacts to CWSs are expected post extraction.
	There are no ancient woodland sites within 3km of the site.	No impacts on ancient woodland are expected.	No impacts on ancient woodland are expected.
	The site consists of the Happisburgh Glacigenic formation, Lowestoft Formation diamicton, overlying Crag Group- sand and gravel. There is significant potential for vertebrate fossils within the Crag Group.	There is the potential for this site to contain examples of geodiversity priority features.	No adverse impacts to geodiversity are expected post restoration. It would be useful for restoration to provide opportunities for further geological research of suitable exposures.
SA7: To promote innovative solutions for the restoration and after use of minerals sites	The site is proposed to be restored to open water fringed with reedbeds and gently sloping margins sown with species-rich grassland.	<b>0</b> No effect during extraction phase	+ The proposed restoration scheme would provide some biodiversity gains.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
SA8: To protect and enhance the quality and distinctiveness of the countryside and landscape	The site is not located within the AONB, a Core River Valley or any other designated landscape feature.	<b>0</b> Rectangular in shape, the site runs parallel to the northern boundary of the existing quarry and adjoins a Holiday Park to the east. The site is currently bounded by a bund to the northern edge, with hedgerow and trees to the eastern boundary The proposed extension to the existing mineral working is unlikely to have any discernible impact on the surrounding landscape.	<b>0</b> Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate mitigation strategy and restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts.
SA9: To contribute to improved health and amenity of local communities in Norfolk	There are no Public Rights of Way within or adjacent to the site. The nearest residential property is 146m from the site boundary. There are five sensitive receptors within 250m of the site boundary. A caravan holiday park is adjacent to the site boundary.	- Care would be needed to ensure that the impact on nearby dwellings and the caravan park would not be significant. However, it is considered that appropriate mitigation measures to ensure no unacceptable impacts could be conditioned.	<b>0</b> New public footpaths are unlikely to be provided within the site on restoration.
SA10: To protect and enhance water and soil quality in Norfolk	The site is located over a Secondary A aquifer (superficial deposits) and a principal aquifer (bedrock). However, there are no groundwater Source Protection Zones within the proposed site.	-/- If the site is dewatered as part of the extraction the potential for adverse impacts exists, although appropriate assessment and mitigation measures could ensure that no unacceptable impacts occur.	<b>0/-</b> No effect on water resources is expected post extraction.
	The site is Grade 3 agricultural land and could potentially be Grade 3a which is classified within the Best and Most Versatile agricultural land.	Potential for BMV agricultural land to be affected by mineral extraction within the site.	The site is not proposed to be restored to agriculture, therefore there could be a permanent loss of BMV agricultural land.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
SA11: To promote sustainable use of minerals resources	The site is 3.3km from Great Yarmouth and 3.9km from Gorleston- on-Sea. These are the nearest settlements allocated for significant growth in the adopted Local Plan.	++ Due to distance to nearest settlement allocated for significant growth.	0 No effect post extraction
SA12: To reduce the risk of current and future flooding at new and existing development	The site has a low probability of flooding from rivers within the borough council SFRA. The site has a low risk of surface water flooding with one area of surface water pooling in a 1 in 100 year rainfall event.	++ The site is at low risk of being affected by flooding from either rivers, the sea or surface water. Sand and gravel extraction is considered to be a 'water compatible' land use which is suitable in all flood zones.	+ The proposed restoration would involve the creation of open water bodies which could provide some flood storage capacity.
SA13: To encourage employment opportunities and promote economic growth	Although employment levels at minerals sites tend to be low, if this site was worked it could offer continuing local employment opportunities. As with all potential minerals sites, it would contribute to economic growth in Norfolk by providing raw materials for the construction industry	+	0 No effect post restoration
Conclusion	The site scores well in terms of proximity to growth locations and is located in an area of low flood risk. There are potential negative effects on water resources, agricultural land and amenity. It is considered that the impact on agricultural land could be appropriately mitigated. There could positive effects for biodiversity on restoration. Sand and gravel extraction has positive economic impacts as it provides raw materials for the construction industry.		

### MIN 38 – land at Waveney Forest, Fritton

Proposal: Extraction of 1,870,000 tonnes of sand and gravel

Size of site: 96.35 ha

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
SA1: To adapt to and mitigate the effects of climate change by reducing contributions to climate change	The site is 6.5km from Gorleston-on-Sea and 6.9km from Great Yarmouth, which are the nearest towns.	Extraction Phase + Mineral extraction requires energy and therefore emits CO <sub>2</sub> . There would also be CO <sub>2</sub> emissions from road transportation to the nearest towns, but Great Yarmouth and Gorleston-on-Sea are	Post Extraction 0 No contributions to climate change post extraction. Restoration would include woodland as a carbon 'sink'.
SA2: To improve air quality in line with the National Air Quality Standards	The site is not within an AQMA. As a proposed new extraction site, it may lead to an increase of 50 HGV movements per day.	- Due to increased HGV movements. However, the increased number of HGV movements due to mineral transport would not be significant compared to overall HGV transport.	<b>0</b> No effect post restoration
SA3: To minimise noise, vibration and visual intrusion	The nearest residential property is 26m from the site boundary. There are 77 sensitive receptor within 250m of the site boundary. The settlement of Fritton is 26m away and St Olaves is 368m away. However, the land adjacent to New Road is not proposed to be extracted. Therefore the nearest residential property is 120m from the extraction area and there are 31 sensitive receptors within 250m of the proposed extraction area. The effect on visual intrusion is assessed under objective SA8.	- Sand and gravel extraction is not expected to cause vibration. It is considered that noise and dust can be mitigated to acceptable levels within 250m of the source; the greatest impacts will be within 100m, if uncontrolled. Noise and dust assessments, and mitigation measures to appropriately control any amenity impacts, must form part of any planning application for mineral extraction.	<b>0</b> No effect post restoration
SA4: To improve accessibility to jobs, services and facilities and reduce social exclusion	Mineral extraction sites are unlikely to provide improved accessibility to services and facilities and reduce social exclusion. The effect	0 No effects expected during extraction	<b>0</b> It is unlikely that enhanced public access would be provided within the site on restoration.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	on employment is assessed under objective SA13.		
SA5: To maintain and enhance the character of the townscape and historic environment	The nearest Listed Building is the Grade II* Drainage Pump which is 260m away. There are 20 Listed Buildings within 2km of the site. The nearest Scheduled Monument is St Olave's Priory, which is 390m away. There are 2 Scheduled Monuments within 2km of the site. Halvergate Marshes Conservation Area is adjacent to the site	 A Heritage Statement would be required to support any future planning application. The heritage statement should identify potential impacts to heritage assets and suggest appropriate mitigation, which may include identification of areas where mineral extraction would be inappropriate.	 A mitigation strategy should ensure the historic value of assets is appropriately preserved. Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts on the setting of heritage assets.
	boundary and Haddiscoe Conservation Area is 330m from the site.	No effect expected during extraction	No effect post extraction
	There are no Registered Historic Parks and Gardens within 2km of the site.	No effects expected during extraction	No effect post extraction
	There are Historic Environment records of features in the site most of which are linked to a WW2 military site. Neither of the local listed features (remains of a WW2 firing range, and a former railway bridge) are within the proposed extraction areas.	There is a significant likelihood that unknown military archaeology exists on the site and that the significance of archaeological deposits is likely to be such that in-situ preservation would be required, which would be incompatible with mineral extraction.	The removal of the military archaeology as part of any mineral extraction is likely to constitute significant harm.
SA6: To protect and enhance Norfolk's biodiversity and geodiversity	The site is 2.17km from Breydon Water SPA and Ramsar site.	- The proposed extraction site is in a different hydrological catchment and would not affect the hydrology of Breydon Water. In addition, due to the distance from the site, there would be no	<b>0</b> No impacts on the SPA or Ramsar site are expected post extraction.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
		adverse effects on the SPA and Ramsar site.	
	The site is 2.69km from The Broads SAC, Broadland SPA and Ramsar site.	The proposed extraction site is in a different hydrological catchment and would not affect the hydrology of these sites. In addition, due to the distance to the site, there would be no adverse effects on the SAC, SPA and Ramsar site.	No impacts on the SAC, SPA or Ramsar site are expected post extraction.
	Halvergate Marshes SSSIs is 2.22km from the site boundary.	The proposed extraction site in in a different hydrological catchment to the SSSI. In addition, due to distance, there would be no adverse effects on the SSSI.	No impacts on the SSSI are expected post extraction.
	CWS 1427 'Waveney Forest' is partially within the site but outside the proposed extraction area. CWS 1426 'Fritton Warren South' is adjacent to the site boundary and a proposed extraction area.	There is the potential for impacts from dust deposition although with normal mitigation measures no adverse effects on these CWSs are expected. The potential exists for impacts on the hydrology of the CWSs from dewatering, this would need to be assessed and mitigation measures identified as part of any future planning application.	No impacts to CWSs are expected post extraction.
	There are no ancient woodland sites within 3km of the site.	No impacts on ancient woodland are expected.	No impacts on ancient woodland are expected.
	The site consists of the Happisburgh Glacigenic formation, Corton Woods sand and gravel member, overlying Crag Group - sand and	There is the potential for this site to contain examples of geodiversity priority features.	No adverse impacts to geodiversity are expected post restoration. It would be useful for restoration to provide opportunities

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	gravel. There is significant potential for vertebrate fossils within the Crag Group.		for further geological research of suitable exposures.
SA7: To promote innovative solutions for the restoration and after use of minerals sites	The site is proposed to be restored to a mixture of commercial forestry, acid grassland, area of broadleaf woodland, and wetland habitats with wet grassland margins.	<b>0</b> No effect during extraction phase	+ The proposed restoration scheme would provide some biodiversity gains.
SA8: To protect and enhance the quality and distinctiveness of the countryside and landscape	The site is not located within the AONB or a Core River Valley. 43 hectares of the site are within the Broads Authority Executive Area. However, this area does not form part of the Broads Character Area, in The Broads Authority Landscape Sensitivity Study. The site is adjacent to the Halvergate Marshes Conservation Area.	- The majority of the site comprises woodland, split between a larger area of conifer plantation, with remnant areas of heath, on the higher land and broadleaf woodland on the valley floor. Expansive views of the afforested margins of the site can be seen across the marshes from the railway, the A149 and from the public rights of way along the Rivers Waveney and Yare and the New Cut. Views of the edge of the conifer plantation can also be seen from the edge of Fritton and New Road. The higher areas of the site within the coniferous plantations, generally the land to the south and east, would be screened by the retention of a screen of significant blocks of coniferous woodland with additional woodland planting.	<b>0</b> Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate mitigation strategy and restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts.
SA9: To contribute to improved health and amenity of	There is a Public Right of Way adjacent to part of the western boundary of the site	- Care would be needed to ensure that the impact on users of the	<b>0</b> New public footpaths are unlikely to be

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
local communities in Norfolk	(Old Parish of Herringfleet FP1). There is a PRoW adjacent to the northern boundary and the north eastern boundary of the site (Fritton and St Olaves BR4 and Fritton and St Olaves FP4a). The nearest residential property is 26m from the site boundary. There are 77 sensitive receptor within 250m of the site boundary. However, the land adjacent to New Road is not proposed to be extracted. Therefore the nearest residential property is 120m from the extraction area and there are 31 sensitive receptors within 250m of the proposed extraction area.	PRoW and the nearby dwellings would not be significant. However, it is considered that appropriate mitigation measures to ensure no unacceptable impacts could be conditioned.	provided within the site on restoration.
SA10: To protect and enhance water and soil quality in Norfolk	The site is located over a Secondary A aquifer (superficial deposits) and a principal aquifer (bedrock). However, there are no groundwater Source Protection Zones within the proposed site. The majority of the site is classified as non- agricultural land. The western part of the site is Grade 3 agricultural land and could potentially be Grade 3a which is classified within the Best and Most Versatile agricultural land.	<ul> <li>-/- If the site is dewatered as part of the extraction the potential for adverse impacts exists, although appropriate assessment and mitigation measures could ensure that no unacceptable impacts occur.</li> <li>Potential for BMV agricultural land to be affected by mineral extraction within the site.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>0/- No effect on water resources is expected post extraction.</li> <li>If BMV land is identified, any restoration that does not incorporate agriculture would result in adverse impacts</li> </ul>
SA11: To promote sustainable use of minerals resources	The site is 6.5km from Gorleston-on-Sea and 6.9km from Great	+ Due to distance to nearest settlement	<b>0</b> No effect post extraction

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
	Yarmouth, which are the nearest settlements allocated for significant growth in the adopted	Extraction Phase allocated for significant growth.	Post Extraction
0440 T	Local Plan.		•
SA12: To reduce the risk of current and future flooding at new and existing development	The majority (96%) of the site is in Flood Zone 1 (lowest risk) for flooding from rivers. The western boundary of the site is within Flood Zone 2 (medium risk) and Flood Zone 3 (high risk) for flooding from rivers, however this part of the site is not within the proposed mineral extraction area. The site has a low risk of surface water flooding with three locations of surface water pooling in a 1 in 30 year rainfall event. There are additional areas of surface water pooling at a 1 in 100 and a 1 in 1000 year rainfall event.	++ The site is at low risk of being affected by flooding from either rivers, the sea or surface water. Sand and gravel extraction is considered to be a 'water compatible' land use which is suitable in all flood zones.	0 No effect post extraction / restoration.
SA13: To encourage employment opportunities and promote economic growth	Although employment levels at minerals sites tend to be low, if this site was worked it could offer some local employment opportunities. As with all potential minerals sites, it would contribute to economic growth in Norfolk by providing raw materials for the construction industry	+	0 No effect post restoration
Conclusion	The site scores well in terms of proximity to growth locations and is located in an area of low flood risk. There are potential negative effects on air quality, the historic environment, landscape, hydrology, agricultural land and amenity. It is considered that not all the effects on the historic environment could not be appropriately mitigated. There could positive effects for biodiversity on restoration. Sand and gravel extraction has positive economic impacts as it provides raw materials for the construction industry.		

## King's Lynn and West Norfolk sites

## MIN 6 – land off East Winch Road, Mill Drove, Middleton

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
SA1: To adapt to and mitigate the effects of climate change by reducing contributions to climate change	The site is 4.8km from King's Lynn, which is the nearest town.	++ Mineral extraction requires energy and therefore emits CO <sub>2</sub> . There would also be CO <sub>2</sub> emissions from road transportation to the nearest towns, but King's Lynn is less than 5km away.	0 No contributions to climate change post extraction. Restoration would not include woodland as a carbon 'sink'.
SA2: To improve air quality in line with the National Air Quality Standards	The site is not within an AQMA. As a proposed extension to an existing site, the number of vehicle movements is expected to remain the same but continue for a longer period.	<b>0</b> Vehicle movements are not proposed to increase during the extraction phase, so would be unlikely to affect air quality due to vehicle emissions.	0 No effect post restoration
SA3: To minimise noise, vibration and visual intrusion	The only sensitive receptor within 250m of the site boundary is 155m away. The settlement of Blackborough End is 481m away. The effect on visual intrusion is assessed under objective SA8.	- Carstone extraction is not expected to cause vibration. It is considered that noise and dust can be mitigated to acceptable levels within 250m of the source; the greatest impacts will be within 100m, if uncontrolled. Noise and dust assessments, and mitigation measures to appropriately control any amenity impacts, must form part of any planning application for mineral extraction.	0 No effect post restoration
SA4: To improve accessibility to jobs, services and facilities and reduce social exclusion	Mineral extraction sites are unlikely to provide improved accessibility to services and facilities and reduce social exclusion. The effect on employment is assessed under objective SA13.	0 No effects expected during extraction	<b>0</b> It is unlikely that enhanced public access would be provided within the site on restoration.

Proposal: Extraction of 1,416,000 tonnes of carstone Size of site: 10.25 ha

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
SA5: To maintain and enhance the character of the townscape and historic environment	The nearest Listed Building is Grade II 'Mitre Farm Cottage and attached Oak Cottage', which are 910m away. There are 20 Listed Buildings within 2km of the site. The nearest Scheduled Monument is the Remains of Blackborough End Priory, which is 1.01km away. There are four Scheduled Monuments within 2km of the site.	<ul> <li><b>0</b> <ul> <li>A Heritage Statement would be required to support any future planning application.</li> <li>The heritage statement should identify potential impacts to heritage assets and suggest appropriate mitigation.</li> </ul> </li> <li>No effected expected during extraction.</li> </ul>	<b>0</b> A mitigation strategy should ensure the historic value of assets is appropriately preserved. Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts on the setting of heritage assets. No effect post extraction
	There are no Conservation Areas or Registered Historic Parks and Gardens within 2km of the site.	No effect during extraction	No effect post extraction
	There are Historic Environment records of isolated multi period finds, within the site boundary. The site is in a wider landscape with a significant number of finds and features from multiple periods.	There is the potential that unknown archaeology exists on the site and an assessment of the significance of archaeological deposits will be required at the planning application stage, in order to protect and mitigate the impact of mineral extraction in this site.	No effect post extraction
SA6: To protect and enhance Norfolk's biodiversity and geodiversity	The site is more than 5km from any SPA, SAC or Ramsar site.	<b>0</b> No impacts on SPAs, SACs or Ramsar sites are expected.	<b>0</b> No impacts on SPAs, SACs or Ramsar sites are expected.
	East Winch Common SSSI is 2.23km from the site boundary. River Nar SSSI is 1.57km from the site boundary.	Due to the distance from the proposed mineral extraction site, no adverse impacts are expected to the SSSI.	No impacts on the SSSIs are expected post extraction
	The nearest CWS is CWS 434 'Disused Pit which is 860m from the site.	No adverse impacts on the CWS are expected due to the distance from the site.	No impacts to the CWS are expected post extraction.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	There are no ancient woodland sites within 3km of the site.	No impacts on ancient woodland are expected.	No impacts on ancient woodland are expected.
	The site consists of Lowestoft Formation- diamicton, overlying Carstone formation- sandstone and Gault Formation mudstone.	The site is unlikely to contain geodiversity priority features.	No adverse impacts to geodiversity are expected post restoration.
SA7: To promote innovative solutions for the restoration and after use of minerals sites	The site is proposed to be restored to heathland habitat.	0 No effect during extraction phase	+ The proposed restoration scheme would provide some biodiversity gains.
SA8: To protect and enhance the quality and distinctiveness of the countryside and landscape	The site is not located within the AONB, a Core River Valley or any other designated landscape feature.	<b>0</b> The site is located on plateau land above the River Nar and is a fairly flat agricultural field with a tree belt along its northern edge and some hedgerow trees along its southern edge, and any workings would be screened from public view. The site is in an area with mineral workings to the east and west and a mothballed landfill site to the south. Farmland lies north of East Winch Road.	<b>0</b> Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate mitigation strategy and restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts.
SA9: To contribute to improved health and amenity of local communities in Norfolk	There is a Public Right of Way adjacent to the western boundary of the site (Middleton RB4). The only sensitive receptor within 250m of the site boundary is 155m away.	- Care would be needed to ensure that the impact on users of the PRoW and the nearby dwelling would not be significant. However, it is considered that appropriate mitigation measures to ensure no unacceptable impacts could be conditioned.	<b>0</b> New public footpaths are unlikely to be provided within the site on restoration.
SA10: To protect and enhance water and soil quality in Norfolk	The site is partially located over a principal aquifer (bedrock) and partially over a	-/0 If the site is dewatered as part of the extraction the potential for	<b>0</b> No effect on water resources is expected post extraction.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
	Secondary (undifferentiated) aquifer (superficial deposits). However, there are no groundwater Source Protection Zones within the proposed site.	Extraction Phase adverse impacts exists, although appropriate assessment and mitigation measures could ensure that no unacceptable impacts occur.	Post Extraction
	The site is Grade 4 agricultural land.	No impacts on BMV agricultural soils.	No impacts on BMV agricultural soils.
SA11: To promote sustainable use of minerals resources	The site is 4.8km from King's Lynn. This is the nearest settlement allocated for significant growth in the adopted Local Plan.	++ Due to distance to nearest settlement allocated for significant growth.	0 No effect post extraction
SA12: To reduce the risk of current and future flooding at new and existing development	The site has a low probability of flooding from rivers. The site has a low risk of surface water flooding with three locations of surface water pooling in a 1 in 30 and 1 in 100 year rainfall event.	++ The site is at low risk of being affected by flooding from either rivers, the sea or surface water. Sand and gravel extraction is considered to be a 'water compatible' land use which is suitable in all flood zones.	<b>0</b> No effect post extraction / restoration.
SA13: To encourage employment opportunities and promote economic growth	Although employment levels at minerals sites tend to be low, if this site was worked it could offer continuing local employment opportunities. As with all potential minerals sites, it would contribute to economic growth in Norfolk by providing a raw material for the construction industry	+	0 No effect post restoration
Conclusion	The site scores well in terms of proximity to growth locations and is located in an area of low flood risk. There are potential negative effects on the historic environment, water resources and amenity; however, it is considered that these effects could be appropriately mitigated. There could positive effects for biodiversity on restoration. Carstone extraction has positive economic impacts as it provides a raw material for the construction industry.		

## MIN 45 – land north of Coxford Abbey Quarry (south of Fakenham Road), East Rudham

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
SA1: To adapt to and mitigate the effects of climate change by reducing contributions to climate change	The site is 8.4km from Fakenham which is the nearest town.	+ Mineral extraction requires energy and therefore emits CO <sub>2</sub> . There would also be CO <sub>2</sub> emissions from road transportation to the nearest town, but Fakenham is less than 10km away.	+ No contributions to climate change post extraction. Restoration would include woodland as a carbon 'sink'.
SA2: To improve air quality in line with the National Air Quality	The site is not within an AQMA. As a proposed extension to an existing site, the number of	<b>0</b> Vehicle movements are not proposed to increase during the	0 No effect post restoration

**Proposal:** Extraction of 700,000 tonnes of sand and gravel

Size of site: 22.7 ha

SA2: To improve air quality in line with the National Air Quality	The site is not within an AQMA. As a proposed extension to an existing site, the number of	the nearest town, but Fakenham is less than 10km away. 0 Vehicle movements are not proposed to increase during the	<b>0</b> No effect post restoration
Standards	vehicle movements is expected to remain the same but continue for a longer period.	extraction phase, so would be unlikely to affect air quality due to vehicle emissions.	
SA3: To minimise noise, vibration and visual intrusion	The nearest residential property is 273m from the site boundary. The settlement of Syderstone is 848m away. The effect on visual intrusion is assessed under objective SA8.	<b>0</b> Sand and gravel extraction is not expected to cause vibration. It is considered that noise and dust can be mitigated to acceptable levels within 250m of the source. Noise and dust assessments, and mitigation measures to appropriately control any amenity impacts, must form part of any planning application for mineral extraction.	0 No effect post restoration
SA4: To improve accessibility to jobs, services and facilities and reduce social exclusion	Mineral extraction sites are unlikely to provide improved accessibility to services and facilities and reduce social exclusion. The effect on employment is assessed under objective SA13.	0 No effects expected during extraction	<b>0</b> It is unlikely that enhanced public access would be provided within the site on restoration.
SA5: To maintain and enhance the character of the townscape and	The nearest Listed Building is Grade II Thurnby House, which is 940m away. There	<b>0</b> A Heritage Statement would be required to support any future	<b>0</b> A mitigation strategy should ensure, the historic value of, assets

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
historic environment	are 6 Listed Buildings within 2km of the site. The nearest Scheduled Monument is the 'Saucer Barrow at Coxford Heath' which is 820m away. There are 3 Scheduled Monuments within 2km of the site boundary.	planning application. The heritage statement should identify potential impacts to heritage assets and suggest appropriate mitigation.	is appropriately preserved. Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts on the setting of heritage assets.
	Tattersett Conservation Area is 1.34km from the site. There are no Registered Historic Parks and Gardens within 2km of the site.	No effects expected during extraction	No effect post extraction
	There are no Historic Environment records within the site boundary. There have been isolated multi- period finds in the wider landscape.	There is the potential that unknown archaeology exists on the site and an assessment of the significance of archaeological deposits will be required at the planning application stage, in order to protect and mitigate the impact of mineral extraction in this site.	No effect post extraction.
SA6: To protect and enhance Norfolk's biodiversity and geodiversity	The site is 3.14km from the River Wensum SAC and is outside the Impact Risk Zone for the River Wensum SSSI.	 Due to distance, no impacts on SPAs, SACs, or Ramsar sites are expected.	 No impacts on SPAs, SACs or Ramsar sites are expected.
	Syderstone Common SSSI is 0.15km from the site boundary.	There is the potential for impacts from dust deposition although with normal mitigation measures no adverse effects on the SSSI are expected. As the site would be worked above the water table, no adverse hydrological impact on the SSSI is expected.	No impacts on the SSSI are expected post extraction

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	The nearest CWS is CWS 589 'Coxford Meadows' which is 500m from the site.	No adverse impacts on the CWS are expected due to the distance from the site and because the site would be worked dry.	No impacts to County Wildlife Sites are expected post extraction.
	The site is on an ancient woodland site, Coxford Wood, which is a PAWS.	Extraction on the ancient woodland would constitute a permanent loss of an irreplaceable habitat.	Storage of the ancient woodland soils and reapplication has been proposed. However, guidance indicates this is considered a last resort and is unlikely to be completely successful so is likely to result in some permanent loss of habitat
	The site consists of the Britons Lane Sand and Gravel member, overlying chalk formations. The Britons Lane sands and gravels are known to contain priority features such as palaesols and erratics in other locations, and therefore they may occur on this site.	There is the potential for this site to contain examples of geodiversity priority features.	No adverse impacts to geodiversity are expected post restoration. It would be useful for restoration to provide opportunities for further geological research of suitable exposures.
SA7: To promote innovative solutions for the restoration and after use of minerals sites	The site is proposed to be restored to a parkland with trees and include reapplication of the soils from the existing PAWS.	0 No effect during extraction phase	 It is not considered that the proposed restoration would provide a biodiversity gain in comparison to the existing PAWS
SA8: To protect and enhance the quality and distinctiveness of the countryside and landscape	The site is not located within the AONB, a Core River Valley or any other designated landscape feature.	- The site consists of an arable field in the north- west, whilst the rest of the site is primarily coniferous woodland. The western boundary of the site is adjacent to Bagthorpe Road and would require screening. The northern and eastern boundaries are set	<b>0</b> Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate mitigation strategy and restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
		back from the B1454 and are screened by a treebelt.	
SA9: To contribute to improved health and amenity of local communities in Norfolk	There is a Public Right of Way adjacent to the southern boundary of the site (East Rudham RB11). There is a PRoW adjacent to part of the site boundary with the Fakenham Road (Syderstone RB9). The nearest residential property is 273m from the site boundary.	- Care would be needed to ensure that the impact on users of the PRoW would not be significant. However, it is considered that appropriate mitigation measures to ensure no unacceptable impacts could be conditioned.	<b>0</b> New public footpaths are unlikely to be provided within the site on restoration.
SA10: To protect and enhance water and soil quality in Norfolk	The site is located over a principal aquifer (bedrock) and a secondary A aquifer (superficial deposits). A small part of the site is within groundwater Source Protection Zone 2.	<b>0/-</b> The site would be worked dry (above the water table) and therefore no effect on water resources is expected.	<b>0/-</b> No effect on water resources is expected post extraction.
	The site is partly classified as non- agricultural land and partly Grade 3 agricultural land. The Grade 3 land could potentially be Grade 3a which is classified within the Best and Most Versatile agricultural land.	Potential for BMV agricultural land to be affected by mineral extraction within the site.	If BMV land is identified, any restoration that does not incorporate agriculture would result in adverse impacts as a result of the permanent loss of BMV agricultural land.
SA11: To promote sustainable use of minerals resources	The site is 8.4km from Fakenham. This is the nearest settlement allocated for significant growth in the adopted Local Plan.	+ Due to distance to nearest settlement allocated for significant growth.	0 No effect post extraction
SA12: To reduce the risk of current and future flooding at new and existing development	The site has a low probability of flooding from rivers. The site has a low probability of surface water flooding with a minor surface water flow path along the southern boundary	++ The site is at low risk of being affected by flooding from either rivers, the sea or surface water. Sand and gravel extraction is considered to be a 'water compatible' land	<b>0</b> No effect post extraction / restoration.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment	
		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction	
	of the site in a 1 in 1000	use which is suitable in		
	year rainfall event.	all flood zones.		
SA13: To	Although employment	+	0	
encourage	levels at minerals sites		No effect post	
employment	tend to be low, if this		restoration	
opportunities and	site was worked it could			
promote economic	offer continuing local			
growth	employment			
	opportunities. As with			
	all potential minerals			
	sites, it would contribute			
	to economic growth in			
	Norfolk by providing			
	raw materials for the			
	construction industry			
Conclusion		rms of proximity to growth		
	in an area of low flood risk. There are potential negative effects on			
	biodiversity, landscape, agricultural land and amenity. It is considered that			
	the effects on the ancient woodland could not be appropriately mitigated.			
	Sand and gravel extraction has positive economic impacts as it provides raw			
	materials for the construct	tion industry.		

### MIN 204 – land north of Lodge Road, Feltwell

Proposal: Extraction of 580,000 tonnes of sand and gravel

Size of site: 13.86 ha

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
-		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
SA1: To adapt to and mitigate the effects of climate change by reducing contributions to climate change	The site is 13.8km from Thetford, which is the nearest town.	<b>0</b> Mineral extraction requires energy and therefore emits CO <sub>2</sub> . There would also be CO <sub>2</sub> emissions from road transportation to the nearest towns.	<b>0</b> No contributions to climate change post extraction. Restoration would not include woodland as a carbon 'sink'.
SA2: To improve air quality in line with the National Air Quality Standards	The site is not within an AQMA. As a proposed extension to an existing site, the number of vehicle movements is expected to remain the same but continue for a longer period.	<b>0</b> Vehicle movements are not proposed to increase during the extraction phase, so would be unlikely to affect air quality due to vehicle emissions.	0 No effect post restoration
SA3: To minimise noise, vibration and visual intrusion	The nearest residential property is 21m from the site boundary. There are six sensitive receptors within 250m of the site boundary. The settlement of Feltwell is 1.3km away. The effect on visual intrusion is assessed under objective SA8.	 Sand and gravel extraction is not expected to cause vibration. It is considered that noise and dust can be mitigated to acceptable levels within 250m of the source; the greatest impacts will be within 100m, if uncontrolled. Noise and dust assessments, and mitigation measures to appropriately control any amenity impacts, must form part of any planning application for mineral extraction.	0 No effect post restoration
SA4: To improve accessibility to jobs, services and facilities and reduce social exclusion	Mineral extraction sites are unlikely to provide improved accessibility to services and facilities and reduce social exclusion. The effect on employment is assessed under objective SA13.	0 No effects expected during extraction	<b>0</b> It is unlikely that enhanced public access would be provided within the site on restoration.
SA5: To maintain and enhance the character of the townscape and	There is one Listed Building within 2km of the boundary; Grade II	<b>0</b> A Heritage Statement would be required to support any future	<b>0</b> No effects are expected post extraction.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
historic environment	Denton Lodge which is 640m away. The nearest Scheduled Monument is the Bowl Barrow in Lynnroad Covert, which is 1.59km away. There are 2 Scheduled Monuments within 2km of the site boundary.	planning application. The heritage statement should identify potential impacts to heritage assets and suggest appropriate mitigation, which may include identification of areas where mineral extraction would be inappropriate.	No effects are expected post extraction
	There are no Conservation Areas or Registered Historic Parks and Gardens within 2km of the site.	No effects expected during extraction	No effect post extraction
	The site is located within a Historic Environment feature for Methwold Rabbit Warren. There are no HE records indicating finds. The site is in a wider landscape with a significant number of finds and features from the multiple periods but especially the Neolithic and Bronze Ages.	There is the potential that unknown archaeology exists on the site and an assessment of the significance of archaeological deposits will be required at the planning application stage, in order to protect and mitigate the impact of mineral extraction in this site.	No effect post extraction.
SA6: To protect and enhance Norfolk's biodiversity and geodiversity	Breckland Forest SSSI, part of the Breckland SPA, is adjacent to the site boundary.	 There is the potential for impacts from dust deposition and disturbance, and it is uncertain if mitigation measures would be effective to avoid unacceptable adverse effects for the parcel of land closest to the Breckland Forest SSSI. Impacts on the SSSI are uncertain.	- There is a proposal for a conservation led restoration to provide suitable habitat for designated species, however there are no details of the scheme so effectiveness is uncertain.
	Weeting Heath SSSI, part of the Breckland SAC, is 2.03km from the site boundary.	If the site is worked above the water table, with normal mitigation measures, no adverse effects on these SSSIs are expected due to	No impacts on the SSSIs are expected post extraction

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	Breckland Farmland SSSI is 0.90km from the site boundary.	their distance from the site.	
	There are no County Wildlife Sites within 1km of the site.	No impacts on County Wildlife Sites are expected.	No impacts on County Wildlife Sites are expected.
	There are no ancient woodland sites within 3km of the site.	No impacts on ancient woodland are expected.	No impacts on ancient woodland are expected.
	The site consists of the Croxton sand and gravel member, Ingham sand and gravel formation in NW of site, overlying Chalk Formations. There is a significant potential that glacial and peri-glacial geodiversity priority features may exist within the Croxton sands and gravels. The Ingham sands and gravels may also contain geodiversity priority features due to the method of formation.	There is the potential for this site to contain examples of geodiversity priority features.	No adverse impacts to geodiversity are expected post restoration. It would be useful for restoration to provide opportunities for further geological research of suitable exposures.
SA7: To promote innovative solutions for the restoration and after use of minerals sites	The site is proposed to be restored to grass heathland with some areas of bare ground and short vegetation in each to create habitat for stone curlew, nightjar and woodlark.	<b>0</b> No effect during extraction phase	+ The proposed restoration scheme would provide some biodiversity gains.
SA8: To protect and enhance the quality and distinctiveness of the countryside and landscape	The site is not located within the AONB, a Core River Valley or any other designated landscape feature.	- The site consists of three separate parcels of land which are surrounded by mainly coniferous woodland except for the southern boundaries of the land along Lodge Road, which are bordered by hedgerows. Therefore all parcels of land are screened from views	<b>0</b> Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate mitigation strategy and restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
		from the north, east and west, by existing trees. However, there are views into the site from Lodge Road and additional screen planting and bunding will be required to ensure that site is also sufficiently screened from the south.	
SA9: To contribute to improved health and amenity of local communities in Norfolk	There are no Public Rights of Way within or adjacent to the site. The nearest residential property is 21m from the site boundary. There are six sensitive receptors within 250m of the site boundary.	- Care would be needed to ensure that the impact on nearby dwellings would not be significant; however it is considered that appropriate mitigation measures to ensure no unacceptable impacts could be conditioned.	<b>0</b> New public footpaths are unlikely to be provided within the site on restoration.
SA10: To protect and enhance water and soil quality in Norfolk	The site is located over a principal aquifer (bedrock) and partially located over a secondary A aquifer (superficial deposits). Part of the site is within groundwater Source Protection Zone 2. The rest of the site is not within a groundwater SPZ.	<b>0</b> If the site is worked above the water table, with normal mitigation measures, no adverse effects on water resources are expected.	<b>0</b> No effect on water resources is expected post extraction.
	The majority of the site is classified as non- agricultural land. Part of the site is Grade 4 agricultural land.	No impacts on BMV agricultural soils.	No impacts on BMV agricultural soils.
SA11: To promote sustainable use of minerals resources	The site is 13.8km from Thetford. This is the nearest settlement allocated for significant growth in the adopted Local Plan.	<b>0</b> Due to distance to nearest settlement allocated for significant growth.	0 No effect post extraction
SA12: To reduce the risk of current and future flooding at new and existing development	The site has a low probability of flooding from rivers. The site has a low risk of surface water flooding with two locations of	+ The site is at low risk of being affected by flooding from either rivers, the sea or surface water. Sand	<b>0</b> No effect post extraction / restoration.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	surface water pooling in a 1 in 30 year rainfall event and a five locations of surface water pooling in a 1 in 100 year rainfall event. In a 1 in 1000 year rainfall event approximately 40% of the western field is covered by surface water pooling.	and gravel extraction is considered to be a 'water compatible' land use which is suitable in all flood zones.	
SA13: To encourage employment opportunities and promote economic growth	Although employment levels at minerals sites tend to be low, if this site was worked it could offer continuing local employment opportunities. As with all potential minerals sites, it would contribute to economic growth in Norfolk by providing raw materials for the construction industry	+	0 No effect post restoration
Conclusion	The site is located in an area of low flood risk. There are potential negative effects on biodiversity, landscape, and amenity. It is considered that not all these effects could be appropriately mitigated. There could positive effects for biodiversity on restoration. Sand and gravel extraction has positive economic impacts as it provides raw materials for the construction industry.		

### MIN 19 & MIN 205 – land north of the River Nar, Pentney

**Proposal:** Extraction of 850,000 tonnes of sand and gravel

Size of site: 14.95 ha

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
SA1: To adapt to and mitigate the effects of climate change by reducing contributions to climate change	The site is 7.9km from King's Lynn, 11km from Downham Market and 12.1km from Swaffham which are the nearest towns.	Extraction Phase + Mineral extraction requires energy and therefore emits CO <sub>2</sub> . There would also be CO <sub>2</sub> emissions from road transportation to the nearest towns, but King's Lynn is less than 10km away.	Post Extraction <b>0</b> No contributions to climate change post extraction. Restoration would not include woodland as a carbon 'sink'.
SA2: To improve air quality in line with the National Air Quality Standards	The site is not within an AQMA. As a proposed extension to an existing site, the number of vehicle movements is expected to remain the same but continue for a longer period.	<b>0</b> Vehicle movements are not proposed to increase during the extraction phase, so would be unlikely to affect air quality due to vehicle emissions.	<b>0</b> No effect post restoration
SA3: To minimise noise, vibration and visual intrusion	The nearest residential property is 654m from the site. The settlement of Blackborough End is 2.7km away. The effect on visual intrusion is assessed under objective SA8.	<b>0</b> Sand and gravel extraction is not expected to cause vibration. It is considered that noise and dust can be mitigated to acceptable levels within 250m of the source; the greatest impacts will be within 100m, if uncontrolled. Noise and dust assessments, and mitigation measures to appropriately control any amenity impacts, must form part of any planning application for mineral extraction.	0 No effect post restoration
SA4: To improve accessibility to jobs, services and facilities and reduce social exclusion	Mineral extraction sites are unlikely to provide improved accessibility to services and facilities and reduce social exclusion. The effect on employment is assessed under objective SA13.	0 No effects expected during extraction	<b>0</b> It is unlikely that enhanced public access would be provided within the site on restoration.
SA5: To maintain and enhance the	The nearest Listed Building is the Grade I		
SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
---	---	---	--
character of the townscape and historic environment	'Remains of Augustinian Priory' which is 690m away. There are 4 Listed Buildings within 2km of the site. The nearest Scheduled Monument is the Remains of Pentney Priory at Abbey Farm which is 460m away. There are 2 Scheduled Monuments within 2km of the site.	Extraction Phase The potential exists for mineral extraction to substantially harm the setting of Grade I listed buildings and Scheduled Monuments. A Heritage Statement would be required to support any future planning application.	Post Extraction Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; and this is likely to result in less than substantial harm to the setting of designated heritage assets, an appropriate restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts.
	There are no Conservation Areas or Registered Historic Parks and Gardens within 2km of the site.	No effects expected during extraction.	No effect post extraction.
	There are no Historic Environment records within the site boundary. The site is in a wider landscape with a significant number of finds and features from multiple periods.	There is the potential that unknown archaeology exists on the site and an assessment of the significance of archaeological deposits will be required at the planning application stage, in order to protect and mitigate the impact of mineral extraction in this site.	No effect post extraction
SA6: To protect and enhance Norfolk's biodiversity and geodiversity	The site is more than 5km from any SPA, SAC or Ramsar site.	- No impacts on SPAs, SACs or Ramsar sites are expected.	0 No impacts on SPAs, SACs or Ramsar sites are expected.
	River Nar SSSI is adjacent to the site boundary.	The potential exists for impacts from mineral extraction at this site, if uncontrolled. An assessment of potential impacts, including from dust deposition and hydrogeology, together with appropriate mitigation would be required as part of any planning application.	No impacts on the SSSIs are expected post extraction

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	East Winch Common SSSI is 2.85km from the site boundary.	No adverse impacts on the SSSI is expected due to the distance from the site.	No impacts on the SSSIs are expected post extraction
	CWS 429 'South West Bilney Warren' is 190m from the site. CWS 431 'Valetta Meadow' is 520m from the site.	There is the potential for impacts from dust deposition although with normal mitigation measures no adverse effects on these CWSs is expected. If dewatering is proposed, potential impacts to hydrogeology would need to be assessed and a suitable mitigation strategy put in place.	No impacts to CWSs are expected post extraction.
	There are no ancient woodland sites within 3km of the site.	No impacts on ancient woodland are expected.	No impacts on ancient woodland are expected.
	The site consists of peat, overlaying Leziate Member – sand.	The site is unlikely to contain geodiversity priority features.	No adverse impacts to geodiversity are expected post restoration
SA7: To promote innovative solutions for the restoration and after use of minerals sites	The site is proposed to be restored to reedbeds with open water, either as one body of water or several smaller pools.	<b>0</b> No effect during extraction phase	- There are already a number of areas of open water and reedbeds in adjacent former mineral workings.
SA8: To protect and enhance the quality and distinctiveness of the countryside and landscape	The site is located within a Core River Valley. The site is not located within the AONB or any other designated landscape feature.	 MIN 19 currently contains an asphalt plant and related storage with a time limited permission. MIN 205 is currently grazing marsh. The land is adjacent to the River Nar to the south and woodland to the north. There is farmland to the east and mineral workings to the west. The asphalt plant will be	- Mineral extraction will result in landscape change which due to the open nature of the surrounding landscape and would be visible from a variety of viewpoints; the proposed restoration would significantly alter the landscape setting of other features.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
		removed from the site early in the Plan Period. Therefore, the removal of the plant would not be a landscape gain which could be associated with the proposed mineral extraction on MIN 19.	
SA9: To contribute to improved health and amenity of local communities in Norfolk	There is a PROW (Pentney FP20) adjacent to the southern boundary of the site. The nearest residential property is 654m from the site. The settlement of Blackborough End is 2.7km away.	- Care would be needed to ensure that the impact on users of the PRoW would not be significant. However, it is considered that appropriate mitigation measures to ensure no unacceptable impacts could be conditioned.	<b>0</b> New public footpaths are unlikely to be provided within the site on restoration.
SA10: To protect and enhance water and soil quality in Norfolk	The site is not located over any superficial deposit aquifers. The site is located over a principal aquifer (bedrock). However, there are no groundwater Source Protection Zones within the proposed site.	-/- If dewatering is proposed there is the potential for hydrogeological impacts and a risk assessment and suitable mitigation strategy would be required as part of any future planning application.	<b>0/-</b> No effect on water resources is expected post extraction.
	The northern part of the site is classified as non- agricultural land. The majority of the site is Grade 3 agricultural land and could potentially be Grade 3a which is classified within the Best and Most Versatile agricultural land.	Potential for BMV agricultural land to be affected by mineral extraction within the site.	The site is not proposed to be restored to agriculture, therefore there could be a permanent loss of BMV agricultural land.
SA11: To promote sustainable use of minerals resources	The site is 7.9km from King's Lynn, 11km from Downham Market and 12.1km from Swaffham. These are the nearest settlements allocated	+ Due to distance to nearest settlement allocated for significant growth.	0 No effect post extraction

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
	for significant growth in		
0 4 4 0 <b>T</b>	the adopted Local Plan.		
SA12: To reduce the risk of current and future flooding at new and existing development	The site has a medium probability of flooding from rivers within the borough council SFRA. 96% of the site is within Flood Zone 2 and 4% of the site is in Flood Zone 3 in the borough council SFRA. The site has a low probability of surface water flooding, with a few small locations of surface water pooling in a 1 in 100 year rainfall event. In a 1 in 1000 year	- The site is at medium risk of being affected by flooding from rivers and at low risk of being affected by flooding from surface water. Sand and gravel extraction is considered to be a 'water compatible' land use which is suitable in all flood zones.	+ The proposed restoration would involve the creation of open water bodies which could provide some flood storage capacity.
SA13: To	rainfall event there are additional small areas of surface water pooling. Although employment	+	0
encourage employment opportunities and promote economic growth	levels at minerals sites tend to be low, if this site was worked it could offer continuing local employment opportunities. As with all potential minerals sites, it would contribute to economic growth in Norfolk by providing raw materials for the construction industry.		No effect post restoration
Conclusion	The site scores well in terms of proximity to growth locations. There are potential negative effects on the historic environment, landscape, agricultural land, water resources, flood risk and amenity. It is considered that not all these effects could be appropriately mitigated. Sand and gravel extraction has positive economic impacts as it provides raw materials for the construction industry.		

# MIN 74 – land at Turf Field, Watlington Road, Tottenhill

**Proposal:** Extraction of 160,000 tonnes of sand and gravel

Size of site: 3.21 ha

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
SA1: To adapt to and mitigate the effects of climate change by reducing contributions to climate change	The site is 5.8km from King's Lynn and 8.1km from Downham Market which are the nearest towns.	<ul> <li>Mineral extraction requires energy and therefore emits CO<sub>2</sub>. There would also be CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from road transportation to the nearest towns, but King's Lynn is less than 10km away.</li> </ul>	<b>0</b> No contributions to climate change post extraction. Restoration would not include woodland as a carbon 'sink'.
SA2: To improve air quality in line with the National Air Quality Standards	The site is not within an AQMA. As a proposed extension to an existing site, the number of vehicle movements is expected to remain the same but continue for a longer period.	<b>0</b> Vehicle movements are not proposed to increase during the extraction phase, so would be unlikely to affect air quality due to vehicle emissions.	0 No effect post restoration
SA3: To minimise noise, vibration and visual intrusion	The nearest residential property is 77m from the site boundary. There are four sensitive receptors within 250m of the site boundary. Tottenhill Row is 77m away. The effect on visual intrusion is assessed under objective SA8.	 Sand and gravel extraction is not expected to cause vibration. It is considered that noise and dust can be mitigated to acceptable levels within 250m of the source; the greatest impacts will be within 100m, if uncontrolled. Noise and dust assessments, and mitigation measures to appropriately control any amenity impacts, must form part of any planning application for mineral extraction.	0 No effect post restoration
SA4: To improve accessibility to jobs, services and facilities and reduce social exclusion	Mineral extraction sites are unlikely to provide improved accessibility to services and facilities and reduce social exclusion. The effect on employment is assessed under objective SA13.	0 No effects expected during extraction	<b>0</b> It is unlikely that enhanced public access would be provided within the site on restoration.
SA5: To maintain and enhance the	The nearest Listed Building is Grade II 'The		

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
character of the townscape and historic environment	Grange' which is 980m away. There are 9 Listed Buildings within 2km of the site.	A Heritage Statement would be required to support any future planning application. The heritage statement	A mitigation strategy should ensure the historic value of assets is appropriately preserved. Mineral
	Tottenhill Row Conservation Area is adjacent to the site. The only Scheduled	should identify potential impacts to heritage assets and suggest appropriate mitigation, However, it is	extraction will result in landscape change. It is considered unlikely that mitigation measures would effectively
	Monument within 2km of the site is the 'Moated site of Wormegay Priory' which is 1.51km away.	considered unlikely that mitigation measures would effectively address impacts on the setting of the Conservation Area.	address impacts on the setting of the Conservation Area.
	There are no Registered Historic Parks and Gardens within 2km of the site.	No effects expected during extraction	No effect post extraction
	There are Historic Environment records of isolated multi-period finds, within the site boundary. The site is set in a wider landscape with a very significant number of finds and features from multiple periods associated with Fen edge settlement.	There is the potential that unknown archaeology exists on the site and an assessment of the significance of archaeological deposits will be required at the planning application stage, in order to protect and mitigate the impact of mineral extraction in this site.	No effect post extraction.
SA6: To protect and enhance Norfolk's biodiversity and geodiversity	The site is more than 5km from any SPA, SAC or Ramsar site.	- No impacts on SPAs, SACs or Ramsar sites are expected.	<b>0</b> No impacts on SPAs, SACs or Ramsar sites are expected.
	Setchey SSSI is 0.54km from the site boundary.	The proposed extraction site is within the hydrological catchment for Setchey SSSI but it does not drain towards the SSSI. Therefore there would be no adverse impacts to the SSSI.	No impacts on SSSIs are expected post extraction.
	River Nar SSSI is 1.10km from the site boundary.	The proposed extraction site is in a	No impacts on SSSIs are expected post extraction.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
		different hydrological catchment to the River Nar SSSI and therefore there would be no adverse impacts to the SSSI.	
	The nearest CWS is CWS 387 'Tottenhill Row Common' which is 30m away.	There is the potential for impacts from dust deposition although with normal mitigation measures no adverse effects on this CWS are expected. The potential exists for impacts on hydrology, therefore a risk assessment and a suitable mitigation strategy would be required to support any future planning application.	No impacts to CWSs are expected post extraction.
	There are no ancient woodland sites within 3km of the site.	No impacts on ancient woodland are expected.	No impacts on ancient woodland are expected.
	This site consists of the Tottenhill gravel member-gravel, overlying Kimmeridge Clay formation- mudstone. There is a significant potential that geodiversity priority features may exist within the Tottenhill gravels due to the method of formation.	There is the potential for this site to contain examples of geodiversity priority features.	No adverse impacts to geodiversity are expected post restoration. It would be useful for restoration to provide opportunities for further geological research of suitable exposures.
SA7: To promote innovative solutions for the restoration and after use of minerals sites	The site is proposed to be restored to an agricultural afteruse at original ground levels.	<b>0</b> No effect during extraction phase	- The proposed restoration will not result in any landscape or biodiversity gains.
SA8: To protect and enhance the quality and distinctiveness of the countryside and landscape	The site is not located within the AONB, a Core River Valley or any other designated landscape feature. The site is adjacent to	 The site is an arable field. The site is in an elevated position on the fen edge, sloping towards Setchey to the north. Open views of	<b>0</b> Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate mitigation strategy and restoration scheme should ensure

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	Tottenhill Row Conservation Area.	the site would be seen from the Nar Valley Way to the south and the sloping nature of the site would make these views hard to screen and any screening or bunding would be intrusive in its own right. The site is adjacent to Tottenhill Row Conservation Area, which includes a number of residential properties, and it is again considered that it would be difficult to screen any working from this direction and that any screening or bunding would be intrusive in its own right.	no unacceptable impacts
SA9: To contribute to improved health and amenity of local communities in Norfolk	There are no Public Rights of Way within or adjacent to the site. The nearest residential property is 77m from the site boundary. There are four sensitive receptors within 250m of the site boundary.	- Care would be needed to ensure that the impact on nearby dwellings would not be significant; however it is considered that appropriate mitigation measures to ensure no unacceptable impacts could be conditioned.	<b>0</b> New public footpaths are unlikely to be provided within the site on restoration.
SA10: To protect and enhance water and soil quality in Norfolk	The site is located over a Secondary A aquifer (superficial deposit). The site is not located over any bedrock aquifers. There are no groundwater Source Protection Zones within the proposed site.	-/- If the site is dewatered as part of the extraction the potential for adverse impacts exists, although appropriate assessment and mitigation measures could ensure that no unacceptable impacts occur.	<b>-/0</b> No effect on water resources is expected post restoration.
	The site is Grade 3 agricultural land and could potentially be Grade 3a which is classified within the	Potential for BMV agricultural land to be affected by mineral extraction within the site.	The site is proposed to be restored back to agriculture. Therefore, as long as the topsoil was stored correctly and then replaced, there would be no likely

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
	Best and Most Versatile agricultural land.		adverse effect on BMV agricultural land.
SA11: To promote sustainable use of minerals resources	The site is 5.8km from King's Lynn and 8.1km from Downham Market. These are the nearest settlements allocated for significant growth in the adopted Local Plan.	+ Due to distance to nearest settlement allocated for significant growth.	0 No effect post extraction
SA12: To reduce the risk of current and future flooding at new and existing development	The site has a low probability of flooding from rivers. No areas of the site are at risk of surface water flooding.	++ The site is at low risk of being affected by flooding from either river, the sea or surface water. Sand and gravel extraction is considered to be a 'water compatible' land use which is suitable in all flood zones.	<b>0</b> No effect post extraction / restoration.
SA13: To encourage employment opportunities and promote economic growth	Although employment levels at minerals sites tend to be low, if this site was worked it could offer continuing local employment opportunities. As with all potential minerals sites, it would contribute to economic growth in Norfolk by providing raw materials for the construction industry	+	0 No effect post restoration
Conclusion	The site scores well in terms of proximity to growth locations and is located in an area of low flood risk. There are potential negative effects on the historic environment, landscape, water resources, agricultural land and amenity. It is considered that the effects on landscape and the historic environment could not be appropriately mitigated. There could be positive effects for biodiversity on restoration. Sand and gravel extraction has positive economic impacts as it provides raw materials for the construction industry.		

# MIN 76 – land at West Field, Watlington Road, Tottenhill

**Proposal:** Extraction of 285,000 tonnes of sand and gravel

Size of site: 6.67 ha

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
SA1: To adapt to and mitigate the effects of climate change by reducing contributions to climate change	The site is 6.3km from King's Lynn and 7.3km from Downham Market which are the nearest towns.	+ Mineral extraction requires energy and therefore emits CO <sub>2</sub> . There would also be CO <sub>2</sub> emissions from road transportation to the nearest towns, but King's Lynn and Downham Market are less than 10km away.	<b>0</b> No contributions to climate change post extraction. Restoration would include woodland as a carbon 'sink'.
SA2: To improve air quality in line with the National Air Quality Standards	The site is not within an AQMA. As a proposed extension to an existing site, the number of vehicle movements is expected to remain the same but continue for a longer period.	<b>0</b> Vehicle movements are not proposed to increase during the extraction phase, so would be unlikely to affect air quality due to vehicle emissions.	0 No effect post restoration
SA3: To minimise noise, vibration and visual intrusion	The nearest residential property is 82m from the site boundary. There are 11 sensitive receptors within 250m of the site boundary. Tottenhill Row is 153m away and Watlington is 456m away. The effect on visual intrusion is assessed under objective SA8.	 Sand and gravel extraction is not expected to cause vibration. It is considered that noise and dust can be mitigated to acceptable levels within 250m of the source; the greatest impacts will be within 100m, if uncontrolled. Noise and dust assessments, and mitigation measures to appropriately control any amenity impacts, must form part of any planning application for mineral extraction.	0 No effect post restoration
SA4: To improve accessibility to jobs, services and facilities and reduce social exclusion	Mineral extraction sites are unlikely to provide improved accessibility to services and facilities and reduce social exclusion. The effect on employment is assessed under objective SA13.	0 No effects expected during extraction	<b>0</b> It is unlikely that enhanced public access would be provided within the site on restoration.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
SA5: To maintain and enhance the character of the townscape and historic environment	The nearest Listed Building is the Grade I Church of St Peter and St Paul which is 710m away. There are 8 Listed Buildings within 2km of the site. Tottenhill Row Conservation Area is 40m from the site boundary.	 A Heritage Statement would be required to support any future planning application. The heritage statement should identify potential impacts to heritage assets and suggest appropriate mitigation, which may include identification of areas where mineral extraction would be inappropriate.	- A mitigation strategy should ensure, the historic value of, assets is appropriately preserved. Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts on the setting of heritage assets.
	There are no Scheduled Monuments within 2km of the site.	No effects expected during extraction	No effect post extraction
	There are no Registered Historic Parks and Gardens within 2km of the site.	No effects expected during extraction	No effect post extraction
	A recent field evaluation has shown that there are no finds or features of archaeological importance within the site. The site is adjacent to the boundary of the historic parkland associated with Watlington Hall, and is in a wider landscape with a very significant number of finds and features from multiple periods associated with Fen edge settlement.	There is the potential that unknown archaeology exists on the site and it would be prudent to adopt a watching brief for archaeological finds within the site.	No effect post extraction.
SA6: To protect and enhance Norfolk's biodiversity and geodiversity	The site is more than 5km from any SPA, SAC or Ramsar site.	- No impacts on SPAs, SACs or Ramsar sites are expected.	<b>0</b> No impacts on SPAs, SACs or Ramsar sites are expected.
<u> </u>	Setchey SSSI is 1.08km from the site boundary.	The proposed extraction site is within the hydrological catchment for Setchey	No impacts on SSSIs are expected post extraction.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
		SSI but it does not drain towards the SSSI. Therefore there would be no adverse impacts to the SSSI.	
	River Nar SSSI is 1.68km from the site boundary.	The proposed extraction site is in a different hydrological catchment to the River Nar SSSI and therefore there would be no adverse impacts to the SSSI.	No impacts on SSSIs are expected post extraction.
	The nearest CWS is CWS 378 'Runs Wood Meadow, which is 240m from the site.	There is the potential for impacts from dust deposition although with normal mitigation measures no adverse effects on this CWS is expected. The potential exists for impacts on hydrology, therefore a risk assessment and a suitable mitigation strategy would be required to support any future planning application.	No impacts to CWSs are expected post extraction.
	There are no ancient woodland sites within 3km of the site.	No impacts on ancient woodland are expected.	No impacts on ancient woodland are expected.
	The site consists of the Tottenhill gravel member-gravel; overlying Kimmeridge Clay formation- mudstone. There is a significant potential that geodiversity priority features may exist within the Tottenhill gravels due to the method of formation.	There is the potential for this site to contain examples of geodiversity priority features.	No adverse impacts to geodiversity are expected post restoration. It would be useful for restoration to provide opportunities for further geological research of suitable exposures.
SA7: To promote innovative solutions for the restoration	The site is proposed to be restored to a mixture of agriculture, reedbeds, a pond and	<b>0</b> No effect during extraction phase	+ The proposed restoration scheme

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
and after use of minerals sites	native woodland edge habitats.		would provide some biodiversity gains.
SA8: To protect and enhance the quality and distinctiveness of the countryside and landscape	The site is not located within the AONB, a Core River Valley or any other designated landscape feature. The site is adjacent to Tottenhill Row Conservation Area.	- The site comprises an arable field bounded by woodland to the west and a belt of trees and shrubs along Watlington Road to the east. Mostly obscured, intermittent views can be seen from the hedge lined road between Tottenhill Row Common and the eastern boundary of West Field. The hamlet of Tottenhill Row lies approximately 150m to the north of the site, although views of the site from the houses are largely screened by trees. Common land surrounds the hamlet and quiet enjoyment of this area of the countryside could be affected by noise from working the site, if uncontrolled.	<b>0</b> Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate mitigation strategy and restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts.
SA9: To contribute to improved health and amenity of local communities in Norfolk	There are no Public Rights of Way within or adjacent to the site. The nearest residential property is 82m from the site boundary and there are 11 sensitive receptors within 250m of the site boundary.	- Care would be needed to ensure that the impact on nearby dwellings would not be significant; however it is considered that appropriate mitigation measures to ensure no unacceptable impacts could be conditioned.	<b>0</b> New public footpaths are unlikely to be provided within the site on restoration.
SA10: To protect and enhance water and soil quality in Norfolk	The site is located over a Secondary A aquifer (superficial deposit). The site is not located over any bedrock aquifers. There are no groundwater Source Protection Zones within the proposed site.	-/- If the site is dewatered as part of the extraction the potential for adverse impacts exists, although appropriate assessment and mitigation measures could ensure that no	<b>0/-</b> No effect on water resources is expected post extraction.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	The site is Grade 3 agricultural land and could potentially be Grade 3a which is classified within the Best and Most Versatile agricultural land.	unacceptable impacts occur. Potential for BMV agricultural land to be affected by mineral extraction within the site.	The site is proposed to be restored back to include agriculture. Therefore, as long as the topsoil was stored correctly and then replaced, adverse effects on BMV agricultural land would be minimised, dependent on the proportion of BMV land lost.
SA11: To promote sustainable use of minerals resources	The site is 6.3km from King's Lynn and 7.3km from Downham Market. These are the nearest settlements allocated for significant growth in the adopted Local Plan.	+ Due to distance to nearest settlements allocated for significant growth.	0 No effect post extraction
SA12: To reduce the risk of current and future flooding at new and existing development	The site has a low probability of flooding from rivers. No areas of the site are at risk of surface water flooding.	++ The site is at low risk of being affected by flooding from either rivers, the sea or surface water. Sand and gravel extraction is considered to be a 'water compatible' land use which is suitable in all flood zones.	<b>0</b> No effect post extraction / restoration.
SA13: To encourage employment opportunities and promote economic growth	Although employment levels at minerals sites tend to be low, if this site was worked it could offer continuing local employment opportunities. As with all potential minerals sites, it would contribute to economic growth in Norfolk by providing raw materials for the construction industry	+	<b>0</b> No effect post restoration
Conclusion	The site scores well in terms of proximity to growth locations and is located in an area of low flood risk. There are potential negative effects on the historic environment, water resources, agricultural land, landscape and amenity; however, it is considered that these effects could be appropriately mitigated. There could positive effects for biodiversity on restoration. Sand and gravel extraction has positive economic impacts as it provides raw materials for the construction industry.		

# MIN 77 – land at Runs Wood, south of Whin Common Road, Tottenhill

**Proposal:** Extraction of 630,000 tonnes of sand and gravel

Size of site: 8.83 ha

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
SA1: To adapt to and mitigate the effects of climate change by reducing contributions to climate change	The site is 6.8km from King's Lynn and 6.9km from Downham Market which are the nearest towns.	+ Mineral extraction requires energy and therefore emits CO <sub>2</sub> . There would also be CO <sub>2</sub> emissions from road transportation to the nearest towns, but King's Lynn is less than 10km away.	<b>0</b> No contributions to climate change post extraction. Restoration would include some woodland as a carbon 'sink'.
SA2: To improve air quality in line with the National Air Quality Standards	The site is not within an AQMA. As a proposed extension to an existing site, the number of vehicle movements is expected to remain the same but continue for a longer period.	<b>0</b> Vehicle movements are not proposed to increase during the extraction phase, so would be unlikely to affect air quality due to vehicle emissions.	0 No effect post restoration
SA3: To minimise noise, vibration and visual intrusion	There is only one sensitive receptor within 250m of the site boundary, which is located 79m away. The settlement of Watlington is 368m away and Tottenhill is 414m away. The effect on visual intrusion is assessed under objective SA8.	 Sand and gravel extraction is not expected to cause vibration. It is considered that noise and dust can be mitigated to acceptable levels within 250m of the source; the greatest impacts will be within 100m, if uncontrolled. Noise and dust assessments, and mitigation measures to appropriately control any amenity impacts, must form part of any planning application for mineral extraction.	0 No effect post restoration
SA4: To improve accessibility to jobs, services and facilities and reduce social exclusion	Mineral extraction sites are unlikely to provide improved accessibility to services and facilities and reduce social exclusion. The effect on employment is assessed under objective SA13.	0 No effects expected during extraction	<b>0</b> It is unlikely that enhanced public access would be provided within the site on restoration.
SA5: To maintain and enhance the	The nearest Listed Building is Grade I	-	-

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
character of the townscape and historic environment	Church of St Peter and St Paul, which is 810m away. There are 8 Listed Buildings within 2km of the site. Tottenhill Row Conservation Area is 410m from the site. There are no Scheduled Monuments	Extraction Phase A Heritage Statement would be required to support any future planning application. The heritage statement should identify potential impacts to heritage assets and suggest appropriate mitigation. No effects expected during extraction	Post Extraction A mitigation strategy should ensure, the historic value of, assets is appropriately preserved. Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts on the setting
	within 2km of the site. There are no Registered Historic Parks and Gardens within 2km of the site.	No effects expected during extraction.	of heritage assets. No effect post extraction
	There are Historic Environment records that features exist within the site boundary. There are no HE records indicating finds. The site is set in a wider landscape with a very significant number of finds and features from multiple periods associated with Fen edge settlement.	There is the potential that unknown archaeology exists on the site and an assessment of the significance of archaeological deposits will be required at the planning application stage, in order to protect and mitigate the impact of mineral extraction in this site.	No effect post extraction.
SA6: To protect and enhance Norfolk's biodiversity and geodiversity	The site is more than 5km from any SPA, SAC or Ramsar site.	- No impacts on SPAs, SACs or Ramsar sites are expected.	<b>0</b> No impacts on SPAs, SACs or Ramsar sites are expected.
goodiversity	Setchey SSSI is 1.59km from the site boundary.	The proposed extraction site is within the hydrological catchment for Setchey SSSI but it does not drain towards the SSSI. Therefore there would be no adverse impacts to the SSSI.	No impacts on SSSIs are expected post extraction.
	River Nar SSSI is 2.21km from the site boundary.	The proposed extraction site is in a different hydrological catchment to the River	No impacts on SSSIs are expected post extraction.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
		Nar SSSI and therefore there would be no adverse impacts to the SSSI.	
	The nearest CWS are: CWS 378 'Runs Wood Meadow' which is 260m away. CWS 381 'Thieves' Bridge Meadow' which is 280m away. CWS 387 'Tottenhill Row Common' which is 450m away.	If the site is worked above the water table, with normal mitigation measures, no adverse effects on the CWSs are expected.	No impacts to CWSs are expected post extraction.
	There are no ancient woodland sites within 3km of the site.	No impacts on ancient woodland are expected.	No impacts on ancient woodland are expected.
	This site consists of the Tottenhill gravel member - gravel; overlying Kimmeridge Clay formation - mudstone. There is a significant potential that geodiversity priority features may exist within the Tottenhill gravels due to the method of formation.	There is the potential for this site to contain examples of geodiversity priority features.	No adverse impacts to geodiversity are expected post restoration. It would be useful for restoration to provide opportunities for further geological research of suitable exposures.
SA7: To promote innovative solutions for the restoration and after use of minerals sites	The site is proposed to be restored to nature conservation afteruse comprising a mixture of ponds, wet woodland, wet grassland etc.	<b>0</b> No effect during extraction phase	<b>0</b> The proposed restoration scheme may help to replace the biodiversity from the loss of the existing woodland.
SA8: To protect and enhance the quality and distinctiveness of the countryside and landscape	The site is not located within the AONB, a Core River Valley or any other designated landscape feature.	 The site is a mixed woodland that is predominately broadleaf species. The woodland site is visible from Whin Common Road to the north. Runs Wood constitutes a significant area of woodland within the local landscape, and constitutes an	- Mineral extraction will result in landscape change and the removal of the woodland would constitute a significant long term impact.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
		important landscape feature.	
SA9: To contribute to improved health and amenity of local communities in Norfolk	There are no Public Rights of Way within or adjacent to the site. There is only one sensitive receptor within 250m of the site boundary, which is located 79m away.	- Care would be needed to ensure that the impact on nearby dwellings would not be significant; however it is considered that appropriate mitigation measures to ensure no unacceptable impacts could be conditioned.	<b>0</b> New public footpaths are unlikely to be provided within the site on restoration.
SA10: To protect and enhance water and soil quality in Norfolk	The site is located over a Secondary A aquifer (superficial deposit). The site is not located over any bedrock aquifers. There are no groundwater Source Protection Zones within the proposed site.	-/- If the site is dewatered as part of the extraction the potential for adverse impacts exists, although appropriate assessment and mitigation measures could ensure that no unacceptable impacts occur.	<b>0/-</b> No effect on water resources is expected post extraction.
	The site is Grade 3 agricultural land and could potentially be Grade 3a which is classified within the Best and Most Versatile agricultural land.	Potential for BMV agricultural land to be affected by mineral extraction within the site.	The site is proposed to be restored to nature conservation instead of agriculture, therefore there could be a permanent loss of BMV agricultural land.
SA11: To promote sustainable use of minerals resources	The site is 6.8km from King's Lynn and 6.9km from Downham Market. These are the nearest settlements allocated for significant growth in the adopted Local Plan.	+ Due to distance to nearest settlement allocated for significant growth.	0 No effect post extraction
SA12: To reduce the risk of current and future flooding at new and existing development	The site has a low probability of flooding from rivers. The site has a low risk of surface water flooding. There is a surface water flow path along the southern boundary of the site in a 1 in 30 year rainfall event which increases in size in a 1 in 100 and 1 in 1000 year rainfall	++ The site is at low risk of being affected by flooding from either rivers, the sea or surface water. Sand and gravel extraction is considered to be a 'water compatible' land use which is suitable in all flood zones.	<b>0</b> No effect post extraction / restoration.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment	
		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction	
	event. This is likely to			
	be a proxy for fluvial			
	flooding from the			
	adjacent ordinary			
	watercourse.		-	
SA13: To	Although employment	+	0	
encourage	levels at minerals sites		No effect post	
employment	tend to be low, if this		restoration	
opportunities and	site was worked it could			
promote economic	offer continuing local			
growth	employment			
	opportunities. As with all potential minerals			
	sites, it would contribute			
	to economic growth in			
	Norfolk by providing			
	raw materials for the			
	construction industry			
Conclusion		rms of proximity to growth	locations and is located	
		k. There are potential neg		
	historic environment, water resources, agricultural land, landscape,			
	geodiversity and amenity. It is considered that the landscape effects of the			
	loss of the woodland could not be appropriately mitigated. There could			
	positive effects for biodiversity on restoration. Sand and gravel extraction			
	has positive economic impacts as it provides raw materials for the			
	construction industry.			

# MIN 206 – land at Oak Field, west of Lynn Road, Tottenhill

**Proposal:** Extraction of 245,000 tonnes of sand and gravel

Size of site: 6.77 ha

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
SA1: To adapt to and mitigate the effects of climate change by reducing contributions to climate change	The site is 6.4km from King's Lynn and 7.4km from Downham Market which are the nearest towns.	<ul> <li>Mineral extraction requires energy and therefore emits CO<sub>2</sub>.</li> <li>There would also be CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from road transportation to the nearest towns, but King's Lynn is less than 10km away.</li> </ul>	<b>0</b> No contributions to climate change post extraction. Restoration would not include woodland as a carbon 'sink'.
SA2: To improve air quality in line with the National Air Quality Standards	The site is not within an AQMA. As a proposed extension to an existing site, the number of vehicle movements is expected to remain the same but continue for a longer period.	<b>0</b> Vehicle movements are not proposed to increase during the extraction phase, so would be unlikely to affect air quality due to vehicle emissions.	0 No effect post restoration
SA3: To minimise noise, vibration and visual intrusion	The only residential dwelling within 250m of the site boundary is 243m away. The settlement of Tottenhill is 243m away. The effect on visual intrusion is assessed under objective SA8.	- Sand and gravel extraction is not expected to cause vibration. It is considered that noise and dust can be mitigated to acceptable levels within 250m of the source; the greatest impacts will be within 100m, if uncontrolled. Noise and dust assessments, and mitigation measures to appropriately control any amenity impacts, must form part of any planning application for mineral extraction.	0 No effect post restoration
SA4: To improve accessibility to jobs, services and facilities and reduce social exclusion	Mineral extraction sites are unlikely to provide improved accessibility to services and facilities and reduce social exclusion. The effect on employment is assessed under objective SA13.	0 No effects expected during extraction	<b>0</b> It is unlikely that enhanced public access would be provided within the site on restoration.
SA5: To maintain and enhance the	The nearest Listed Building is the Grade I	-	-

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
character of the townscape and historic environment	Church of St Peter and St Paul which is 1.19km away. There are 8 Listed Buildings within 2km of the site. The only Scheduled Monument within 2km of the site is the 'Moated site of Wormegay Priory' which is 1.75km away. Tottenhill Row Conservation Area is 290m from the site. There are no Registered Historic Parks and Gardens within 2km of the site.	A Heritage Statement would be required to support any future planning application. The heritage statement should identify potential impacts to heritage assets and suggest appropriate mitigation. No effects expected during extraction. No effects expected during extraction	A mitigation strategy should ensure the historic value of assets is appropriately preserved. Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts on the setting of heritage assets. No effect post extraction No effect post extraction
	There are Historic Environment records that features exist within the site boundary. There are no HE records indicating finds. The site is set in a wider landscape with a very significant number of finds and features from multiple periods associated with Fen edge settlement.	There is the potential that unknown archaeology exists on the site and an assessment of the significance of archaeological deposits will be required at the planning application stage, in order to protect and mitigate the impact of mineral extraction in this site.	No effect post extraction.
SA6: To protect and enhance Norfolk's biodiversity and geodiversity	The site is more than 5km from any SPA, SAC or Ramsar site.	- No impacts on SPAs, SACs or Ramsar sites are expected.	<b>0</b> No impacts on SPAs, SACs or Ramsar sites are expected.
	Setchey SSSI is 1.14km from the site boundary.	The proposed extraction site is within the hydrological catchment for Setchey SSSI but it does not drain towards the SSSI. Therefore there would be no adverse impacts to the SSSI.	No impacts to SSSIs are expected post extraction.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	River Nar SSSI is 1.7km from the site boundary.	The proposed extraction site is in a different hydrological catchment to the River Nar SSSI and therefore there would be no adverse impacts to the SSSI.	No impacts to SSSIs are expected post extraction.
	The nearest CWS are: CWS 387 'Tottenhill Row Common' which is 290m from the site. CWS 385 'Tottenhill Village Green' is 360m from the site. CWS 384 'West of Tottenhill' is 480m away.	No adverse impacts on the CWS are expected due to the distance from the site.	No impacts to CWSs are expected post extraction.
	There are no ancient woodland sites within 3km of the site.	No impacts on ancient woodland are expected.	No impacts on ancient woodland are expected.
	The site consists of the Tottenhill gravel member-gravel, overlying Kimmeridge Clay formation- mudstone. There is a significant potential that geodiversity priority features may exist within the Tottenhill gravels due to the method of formation.	There is the potential for this site to contain examples of geodiversity priority features.	No adverse impacts to geodiversity are expected post restoration. It would be useful for restoration to provide opportunities for further geological research of suitable exposures.
SA7: To promote innovative solutions for the restoration and after use of minerals sites	The site is proposed to be restored to an agricultural afteruse at original ground levels.	<b>0</b> No effect during extraction phase	- The proposed restoration scheme will not result in any landscape or biodiversity gains.
SA8: To protect and enhance the quality and distinctiveness of the countryside and landscape	The site is not located within the AONB, a Core River Valley or any other designated landscape feature.	<b>0</b> The site is an agricultural field immediately to the south of the existing active mineral processing plant. It is bounded to the east and west by woodland belts, with a flooded	<b>0</b> Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate mitigation strategy and restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
		former mineral working also to the west. The Lynn Road is approximately 125m to the east. The site is well screened from public viewpoints.	
SA9: To contribute to improved health and amenity of local communities in Norfolk	There are no Public Rights of Way within or adjacent to the site. The only residential dwelling within 250m of the site boundary is 243m away.	<b>0</b> There is unlikely to be a significant impact on health or amenity from mineral extraction within the site.	<b>0</b> New public footpaths are unlikely to be provided within the site on restoration.
SA10: To protect and enhance water and soil quality in Norfolk	The site is located over a Secondary A aquifer (superficial deposit). The site is not located over any bedrock aquifers. There are no groundwater Source Protection Zones within the proposed site.	-/- If the site is dewatered as part of the extraction the potential for adverse impacts exists, although appropriate assessment and mitigation measures could ensure that no unacceptable impacts occur.	<b>0</b> No effect on water resources is expected post extraction.
	The site is Grade 3 agricultural land and could potentially be Grade 3a which is classified within the Best and Most Versatile agricultural land.	Potential for BMV agricultural land to be affected by mineral extraction within the site.	The site is proposed to be restored back to agriculture. Therefore, as long as the topsoil was stored correctly and then replaced, there would be no likely adverse effect on BMV agricultural land.
SA11: To promote sustainable use of minerals resources	The site is 6.4km from King's Lynn and 7.4km from Downham Market. These are the nearest settlements allocated for significant growth in the adopted Local Plan.	+ Due to distance to nearest settlement allocated for significant growth.	0 No effect post extraction
SA12: To reduce the risk of current and future flooding at new and existing development	The site has a low probability of flooding from rivers. No areas of the site are at risk of surface water flooding.	++ The site is at low risk of being affected by flooding from either rivers, the sea or surface water. Sand and gravel extraction is considered to be a 'water compatible' land	<b>0</b> No effect post extraction / restoration.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment	
		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction	
		use which is suitable in		
		all flood zones.		
SA13: To	Although employment	+	0	
encourage	levels at minerals sites		No effect post	
employment	tend to be low, if this		restoration	
opportunities and	site was worked it could			
promote economic	offer continuing local			
growth	employment			
	opportunities. As with			
	all potential minerals			
	sites, it would contribute			
	to economic growth in			
	Norfolk by providing			
	raw materials for the			
	construction industry			
Conclusion		rms of proximity to growth		
		k. There are potential neg		
	historic environment, geodiversity, amenity, agricultural land, and water			
	resources; however, it is considered that these effects could be			
	appropriately mitigated. Sand and gravel extraction has positive economic			
	impacts as it provides raw materials for the construction industry.			

# MIN 32 – land west of Lime Kiln Road, West Dereham

**Proposal:** Extraction of 600,000 tonnes of sand and gravel

Size of site: 9.71 ha

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
SA1: To adapt to and mitigate the effects of climate change by reducing contributions to climate change	The site is 4.4km from Downham Market, 15.2km from King's Lynn and 15.6km from Swaffham, which are the nearest towns.	++ Mineral extraction requires energy and therefore emits CO <sub>2</sub> . There would also be CO <sub>2</sub> emissions from road transportation to the nearest towns, but Downham Market is less than 5km away.	0 No contributions to climate change post extraction. Restoration would include woodland as a carbon 'sink'.
SA2: To improve air quality in line with the National Air Quality Standards	The site is not within an AQMA. As a proposed extension to an existing site, the number of vehicle movements is expected to remain the same but continue for a longer period.	<b>0</b> Vehicle movements are not proposed to increase during the extraction phase, so would be unlikely to affect air quality due to vehicle emissions.	0 No effect post restoration
SA3: To minimise noise, vibration and visual intrusion	The nearest residential property is 30m from the site boundary. There are 6 sensitive receptor within 250m of the site boundary. However, the southern part of the site is not proposed to be extracted. Therefore the nearest residential property is 60m from the extraction area and there are 6 sensitive receptors within 250m of the proposed extraction area. The settlement of West Dereham is 750m away. The effect on visual intrusion is assessed under objective SA8.	 Sand and gravel extraction is not expected to cause vibration. It is considered that noise and dust can be mitigated to acceptable levels within 250m of the source; the greatest impacts will be within 100m, if uncontrolled. Noise and dust assessments, and mitigation measures to appropriately control any amenity impacts, must form part of any planning application for mineral extraction.	0 No effect post restoration
SA4: To improve accessibility to jobs, services and facilities and reduce social exclusion	Mineral extraction sites are unlikely to provide improved accessibility to services and facilities and reduce social exclusion. The effect on employment is	0 No effects expected during extraction	<b>0</b> It is unlikely that enhanced public access would be provided within the site on restoration.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	assessed under objective SA13.		
SA5: To maintain and enhance the character of the townscape and historic environment	The nearest Listed Building is the Grade I Church of St Andrew which is 720m away. There are 26 Listed Buildings within 2km of the site (11 of these are headstones at the Church of St Andrew). A further 8 Listed Buildings are in Wereham Conservation Area which is 1.36km from the site.	 A Heritage Statement would be required to support any future planning application. The heritage statement should identify potential impacts to heritage assets and suggest appropriate mitigation, which may include identification of areas where mineral extraction would be inappropriate.	A mitigation strategy should ensure the historic value of assets is appropriately preserved. Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts on the setting of heritage assets.
	There is one Scheduled Monument within 2km of the site, the 'remains of monastic grange with moated site at Grange Farm', which is 180m away.		
	Stradsett Hall, a Registered Historic Park and Garden is 1.99km from the site.	No effects expected during extraction	No effect post extraction
	There are Historic Environment records that features exist within the site boundary, and of finds within the site boundary. The site is immediately adjacent to the remains of a significant Saxon building, and is in a wider landscape with a significant number of finds and features from multiple periods.	There is the potential that unknown archaeology exists on the site and an assessment of the significance of archaeological deposits will be required at the planning application stage, in order to protect and mitigate the impact of mineral extraction in this site.	No effect post extraction.
SA6: To protect and enhance Norfolk's biodiversity and geodiversity	The site is more than 5km from any SPA, SAC or Ramsar site.	<b>0</b> No impacts on SPAs, SACs or Ramsar sites are expected.	<b>0</b> No impacts on SPAs, SACs or Ramsar sites are expected.
	There are no SSSIs within 3km of the site		No impacts on SSSIs are expected.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	boundary and the site is not within the Impact Risk Zone for any SSSIs.	Due to distance, no impacts on SSSIs are expected.	No impacts to CWSs are expected post
	The nearest CWS is CWS 327 'Lime Pit' which is 60m away.	There is the potential for impacts from dust deposition although with normal mitigation measures no adverse effects on these CWSs is expected. If the site is worked above the water table, with normal mitigation measures, no adverse effects on the CWSs are expected.	extraction.
	The nearest ancient woodland site is Kippers Wood which is a PAWS; it is 2.38km from the site boundary.	No adverse impacts on ancient woodland are expected due to the distance from the proposed site.	No adverse impacts on ancient woodland are expected post extraction.
	The site consists of the Lowestoft Formation - diamicton, overlying West Melbury Marly Chalk Formation – chalk.	This site is unlikely to contain geodiversity priority features.	No adverse impacts to geodiversity are expected post restoration.
SA7: To promote innovative solutions for the restoration and after use of minerals sites	The site is proposed to be restored to agriculture with additional native woodland planting (0.7ha) and species- rich hedgerow.	<b>0</b> No effect during extraction phase	+ The proposed restoration scheme would provide some biodiversity gains.
SA8: To protect and enhance the quality and distinctiveness of the countryside and landscape	The site is not located within the AONB, a Core River Valley or any other designated landscape feature.	 The site comprises open arable land. The site is located on the 'fen edge' and slopes relatively steeply towards the south west, and due to the open nature of the surrounding landscape is visible from West Dereham, and a significant number of other viewpoints	- Mineral extraction will result in landscape change which due to the sloping nature of the site would be visible from a variety of viewpoints.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
		including the A134, Brick Kiln Lane, and Bath Road. Screening the site from the viewpoints would itself be intrusive.	
SA9: To contribute to improved health and amenity of local communities in Norfolk	There are no Public Rights of Way within or adjacent to the site. The nearest residential property is 30m from the site boundary. There are 6 sensitive receptor within 250m of the site boundary. However, the southern part of the site is not proposed to be extracted. Therefore the nearest residential property is 60m from the extraction area and there are 6 sensitive receptors within 250m of the proposed extraction area.	- Care would be needed to ensure that the impact on nearby dwellings would not be significant; however it is considered that appropriate mitigation measures to ensure no unacceptable impacts could be conditioned.	<b>0</b> New public footpaths are unlikely to be provided within the site on restoration.
SA10: To protect and enhance water and soil quality in Norfolk	The site is partially located over a Secondary aquifer (undifferentiated) (superficial deposits) and over a principal aquifer (bedrock). However, there are no groundwater Source Protection Zones within the proposed site.	<b>0/-</b> The site is expected to be worked above the water table and therefore no adverse effects on water resources are expected.	<b>0</b> No effect on water resources is expected post extraction.
	The site is Grade 3 agricultural land and could potentially be Grade 3a which is classified within the Best and Most Versatile agricultural land.	Potential for BMV agricultural land to be affected by mineral extraction within the site.	The site is proposed to be restored back to agriculture. Therefore, as long as the topsoil was stored correctly and then replaced, there would be no likely adverse effect on BMV agricultural land.
SA11: To promote sustainable use of minerals resources	The site is 4.4km from Downham Market, 15.2km from King's Lynn and 15.6km from	++ Due to distance to nearest settlement	0 No effect post extraction

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
	Swaffham. These are the nearest settlements allocated for significant growth in the adopted Local Plan.	allocated for significant growth.	
SA12: To reduce the risk of current and future flooding at new and existing development	The site has a low probability of flooding from rivers. The site has a low probability of surface water flooding, with a surface water flow path just encroaching the south of the site in a 1 in 1000 year rainfall event.	++ The site is at low risk of being affected by flooding from either rivers, the sea or surface water. Sand and gravel extraction is considered to be a 'water compatible' land use which is suitable in all flood zones.	<b>0</b> No effect post extraction / restoration.
SA13: To encourage employment opportunities and promote economic growth	Although employment levels at minerals sites tend to be low, if this site was worked it could offer continuing local employment opportunities. As with all potential minerals sites, it would contribute to economic growth in Norfolk by providing raw materials for the construction industry	+	0 No effect post restoration
Conclusion	The site scores well in terms of proximity to growth locations and is located in an area of low flood risk. There are potential negative effects on the historic environment, landscape, agricultural land and amenity. It is considered that the landscape effects could not be appropriately mitigated. There could positive effects for biodiversity on restoration. Sand and gravel extraction has positive economic impacts as it provides raw materials for the construction industry.		

### King's Lynn and West Norfolk - Silica Sand Sites and Areas of Search

Size of site: 32.77ha

### MIN 40 – land east of Grandcourt Farm, East Winch

SA Objective Assessment of Comments Assessment Extraction Phase Post Extraction SA1: To adapt to The site is ++ n and mitigate the approximately 1.8km Mineral extraction No contributions to effects of climate required energy and from the Leziate climate change post change by reducing processing plant. The therefore emits CO<sub>2</sub>. extraction. mineral would be contributions to There would also be Restoration would climate change transported by an CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from include woodland as a internal haul route to road transportation to carbon 'sink'. the processing plant. the processing plant, but it is less than 5km away. SA2: To improve The site is not within an 0 0 air quality in line No effect post AQMA. As a proposed Vehicle movements are with the National extension to an existing not proposed to restoration Air Quality site, the number of increase during the Standards vehicle movements to extraction phase, so would be unlikely to the processing plant is affect air quality due to expected to remain the same but continue for a vehicle emissions. longer period. SA3: To minimise There is a residential Λ property within the site: No effect post noise, vibration and Silica sand extraction is visual intrusion restoration the next nearest not expected to cause residential property is vibration. It is 23m from the site considered that noise boundary. There are and dust can be 83 sensitive receptors mitigated to acceptable within 250m of the site levels within 250m of boundary. The the source; the greatest settlement of East impacts will be within 100m, if uncontrolled. Winch is 23m away. However, part of the Noise and dust site nearest to East assessments, and Winch is not proposed mitigation measures to to be extracted. appropriately control Therefore the nearest any amenity impacts, must form part of any residential property is 110m from the planning application for extraction area and mineral extraction. there are 49 sensitive receptors within 250m of the proposed extraction area. The effect on visual intrusion is assessed under objective SA8. SA4: To improve Mineral extraction sites 0 0 accessibility to are unlikely to provide

Proposal: Extraction of 3,000,000 tonnes of silica sand

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
jobs, services and facilities and reduce social exclusion	improved accessibility to services and facilities and reduce social exclusion. The effect on employment is assessed under objective SA13.	Extraction Phase No effects expected during extraction	Post Extraction It is unlikely that enhanced public access would be provided within the site on restoration.
SA5: To maintain and enhance the character of the townscape and historic environment	The nearest Listed Building is the Grade II* Church of All Saints, which is 50m away. The Grade II Hall Farmhouse (formally Church Farmhouse) is 250m away. There are 10 Listed Buildings within 2km of the site. The nearest Scheduled Monument is the 'Moated site of Crancourt Manor' which is 790m away. There are 2 Scheduled	 A Heritage Statement would be required to support any future planning application. The heritage statement should identify potential impacts to heritage assets and suggest appropriate mitigation, which may include identification of areas where mineral extraction would be inappropriate. No effects expected	 A mitigation strategy should ensure the historic value of assets is appropriately preserved. Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts on the setting of heritage assets.
	Monuments within 2km of the site. There are no Conservation Areas or Registered Historic Parks and Gardens within 2km of the site.	during the extraction phase. No effects during the extraction phase.	extraction No effect post extraction.
	There are Historic Environment records of isolated multi period finds and features within the site boundary. The site is in a wider landscape with a significant number of finds and features from multiple periods, including an adjacent site, with an Iron Age settlement.	There is the potential that unknown archaeology exists on the site and an assessment of the significance of archaeological deposits will be required at the planning application stage, in order to protect and mitigate the impact of mineral extraction in this site.	No effect post extraction.
SA6: To protect and enhance Norfolk's biodiversity and geodiversity	East Walton and Adcock's Common SSSI, which is part of the Norfolk Valley Fens	- The proposed extraction site is up- gradient of the SSSI and SAC. Due to distance there would	<b>0</b> No adverse impacts on the SSSI and SAC are expected post extraction.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	SAC, is 3.79km from the site boundary. It is outside the Impact	be no adverse impacts to the SSSI and SAC.	
	Risk Zone for this SSSI. East Winch Common SSSI is 0.74km from the site boundary. River Nar SSSI is 2.89km from the site boundary.	The proposed extraction site is located up-gradient of these SSSIs. Due to distance there would be no impacts from dust deposition. Therefore there would be no adverse impacts to the SSSIs.	No impacts on SSSIs are expected post extraction.
	The nearest CWS is CWS 226 'East Winch Common' which is 740m away.	The proposed extraction site is located up-gradient of the SSSI. Due to the distance from the CWS there would be no impacts from dust deposition. Therefore there would be no adverse impacts to the CWS.	No impacts on the County Wildlife Site are expected post extraction.
	There are no ancient woodland sites within 3km of the site.	No impacts on ancient woodland are expected.	No impacts on ancient woodland are expected.
	The site consists of Leziate member-sand and Carstone Formation-sandstone.	There is the potential for this site to contain examples of geodiversity priority features.	No adverse impacts to geodiversity are expected post restoration. It would be useful for restoration to provide opportunities for further geological research of suitable exposures.
SA7: To promote innovative solutions for the restoration and after use of minerals sites	The site is proposed to be restored to agriculture with hedgerow reinforcement and wetland (including a lake area), woodland/ scrub.	<b>0</b> No effect during extraction phase	+ The proposed restoration scheme would provide some biodiversity gains.
SA8: To protect and enhance the quality and distinctiveness of	The site is not located within the AONB, a Core River Valley or any other designated landscape feature.	- The site comprises open arable gently undulating landscape. The eastern boundary	<b>0</b> Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate mitigation

the countryside and landscape	Extraction Phase of the site is adjacent to the village of East Winch, and the A4 runs along the southern boundary of the site.	Post Extraction strategy and restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable
landscape	the village of East Winch, and the A4 runs along the southern	scheme should ensure
SA9: To contribute to improved health and amenity of local communities in NorfolkThere is a Public Right of Way along the western boundary of the site (East Winch BR1). There is also a PRoW running across the site (East Winch FP2). There is a residential property within the site, the next nearest residential property is 23m from the site	There are filtered views over the site from the A47 and from the Public Right of Way along the western boundary. There are more open views of the site from the PRoW which crosses the site and from the properties on the eastern edge of East Winch. It is considered that views of the site from the A47 could be sufficiently screened by bunding. The extraction area of the site will need to be set back from the properties in East Winch village and from properties in the south- west corner of the site. A suitable screening scheme will also be required to mitigate the views of the site from these properties. - Care would be needed to ensure that the impact on users of the PRoW and the nearby dwellings would not be significant. However, it is considered that appropriate mitigation measures to ensure no unacceptable impacts could be conditioned, such as temporary diversion of the PRoW.	<b>0</b> New public footpaths are unlikely to be provided within the site on restoration.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	proposed to be extracted. Therefore the nearest residential property is 110m from the extraction area and there are 49 sensitive receptors within 250m of the proposed extraction area.		
SA10: To protect and enhance water and soil quality in Norfolk	The site is located over a principal aquifer (bedrock) and partially over a Secondary (undifferentiated) aquifer (superficial deposits). However, there are no groundwater Source Protection Zones within the proposed site.	-/0 If the site is dewatered as part of the extraction the potential for adverse impacts exists, although appropriate assessment and mitigation measures could ensure that no unacceptable impacts occur.	<b>0/0</b> No effect on water resources is expected post extraction.
SA11: To promote	The site is Grade 4 agricultural land. The site is	No impacts on BMV agricultural soils.	No impacts on BMV agricultural soils. <b>0</b>
sustainable use of minerals resources	approximately 1.8km from the Leziate processing plant site.	Due to proximity to processing plant.	No effect post extraction
SA12: To reduce the risk of current and future flooding at new and existing development	The site has a low probability of flooding from rivers. The site has a low probability of surface water flooding, with one small location of surface water pooling in a 1 in 1000 year rainfall event.	++ The site is at low risk of being affected by flooding from either rivers, the sea or surface water. Silica sand extraction is considered to be a 'water compatible' land use which is suitable in all flood zones.	0 No effect post extraction / restoration.
SA13: To encourage employment opportunities and promote economic growth	Although employment levels at minerals extraction sites tend to be low, if silica sand is extracted from this site it will supply the existing processing plant at Leziate and therefore offer continuing local employment opportunities. The processed silica sand is then a raw material for glass manufacture	+	0 No effect post restoration

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
	elsewhere in the UK, for		
	both bottles and flat		
	window glass providing		
	downstream economic		
	benefits.		
Conclusion	The site scores well in terms of proximity to the existing processing plant at		
	Leziate and is located in an area of low flood risk. There are potential		
	negative effects on the historic environment, amenity, water resources,		
	geodiversity and landscape. It is considered that these effects could be		
	appropriately mitigated. Silica sand extraction has positive economic		
	impacts as it provides a r	aw material for glass man	ufacture.

# SIL01 – land at Mintlyn South, Bawsey

Proposal: Extraction of 1,200,000 tonnes of silica sand Si

Site area: 21 hectares

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
SA1: To adapt to and mitigate the effects of climate change by reducing contributions to climate change	The site is approximately 700 metres from the Leziate processing plant. The proposer of the site has indicated that the mineral would be transferred by conveyor to the processing plant.	++ Mineral extraction required energy and therefore emits CO <sub>2</sub> . However, there would not be CO <sub>2</sub> emissions from road transportation to the processing plant.	<b>0</b> No contributions to climate change post extraction. Restoration is unlikely to include a woodland as a carbon 'sink'.
SA2: To improve air quality in line with the National Air Quality Standards	The site is not within an AQMA. Mineral extracted at the site should not lead to increased road transport due to its proximity to the processing plant.	<b>0</b> There should not be any adverse air quality impacts because the mineral will not need to be transported by road.	0 No effect post restoration
SA3: To minimise noise, vibration and visual intrusion	The nearest residential property is approximately 280m from the site boundary. Leziate is approximately 600m from the site boundary. The effect on visual intrusion is assessed under objective SA8.	<b>0</b> Silica sand extraction is not expected to cause vibration. It is considered that noise and dust can be mitigated to acceptable levels within 250m of the source. Noise and dust assessments, and mitigation measures to appropriately control any amenity impacts, must form part of any planning application for mineral extraction.	0 No effect post restoration
SA4: To improve accessibility to jobs, services and facilities and reduce social exclusion	Mineral extraction sites are unlikely to provide improved accessibility to services and facilities and reduce social exclusion. The effect on employment is assessed under objective SA13.	0 No effects expected during extraction	<b>0</b> It is unlikely that enhanced public access would be provided within the site on restoration.
SA5: To maintain and enhance the character of the townscape and historic environment	The nearest listed building is 'the font against south façade of Whitehouse Farmhouse' which is	- A future application should provide appropriate archaeological	- A mitigation strategy should ensure, the historic value of, assets is appropriately
SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
--	--	--	--
	306m away. There are 13 listed buildings within 2km of the site. The nearest Scheduled Monument to the site is 1.27km away and is the 'Moated site in Crow's Wood'. There are 3 Scheduled Monuments within 2km of the site. There are no Conservation Areas or Historic Parks and Gardens within 2km of	evaluation, which may provide an opportunity to investigate heritage assets that would not otherwise take place. A Heritage Statement should also be included, together, with appropriate mitigation. It is considered that mitigation measures are likely to result in extraction being able to take place with no unacceptable adverse impacts.	Prost Extraction preserved. Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts on the setting of heritage assets.
	the site. The site contains assets of archaeological interest.	extraction phase. An assessment of the significance of archaeological deposits will be required at the planning application stage, in order to protect and mitigate the impact of mineral extraction in this site.	No effects post extraction.
SA6: To protect and enhance Norfolk's biodiversity and geodiversity	Roydon Common SAC and Ramsar site is 2.8km from the site boundary. Leziate, Sugar and Derby Fen SSSI is 2.54km from the site.	- The majority of the site is outside the hydrological catchment for Roydon Common and for Leziate, Sugar and Derby Fens and down gradient of these sites. In addition, Bawsey Lakes are located in between the site and the SSSIs. Therefore no adverse impacts are expected on the SSSIs.	<b>0</b> No adverse impacts on the SSSIs are expected post extraction.
	County Wildlife Site 416 '70 & 100 plantations' is partially located within the site. CWS 418 Haverlesse Manor Plantation is	Mineral extraction on the site would adversely affect CWS 416. Adjacent CWS418 could also be adversely affected due to	If the site is restored to nature conservation, there could be a biodiversity enhancement, even if the existing CWS 416 is

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	located adjacent to the site. CWS 422 The Holt is 260m from the site.	proximity. Mitigation measures will therefore be required.	adversely affected during mineral extraction. Restoration could also benefit the adjacent CWS if additional conservation habitat is created.
	The closest ancient woodland site is Reffley Wood, a PAWS, which is over 2.1km from the site boundary.	No adverse impacts on ancient woodland sites are expected due to distance from the site.	No adverse impacts on ancient woodland are expected post restoration.
	The site has overburden, made up of Head deposits which are priority features due to their method of formation, partially overlaying Leziate Member-sand.	There is the potential for sites within this area to contain other examples of geodiversity priority features.	There would be a preference for restoration to provide opportunities for geological research of suitable exposures. However, this may not always be possible.
SA7: To promote innovative solutions for the restoration and after use of minerals sites	The preferred restoration for this site would be lowland heathland and acid grassland which would provide a net biodiversity gain.	0 No effect during extraction phase	+ There would be a positive effect for biodiversity if this site is restored to lowland heathland and dry acid grassland.
SA8: To protect and enhance the quality and distinctiveness of the countryside and landscape	The site is not within the AONB, a Core River Valley, or other designated landscape feature. The site includes some areas which have been partially worked for silica sand in the past.	<b>0</b> It is considered that an effective mitigation strategy could be designed to minimise unacceptable adverse impacts to countryside and landscape.	<b>0</b> Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts. Waterbodies, woodland, heathland and farmland all form landscape features within the site.
SA9: To contribute to improved health and amenity of local communities in Norfolk	There are no Public Rights of Way within the site. There is a PRoW close to the northern boundary of the site (Bawsey RB8) and Bawsey RB9 starts near the north eastern corner.	- Care would be needed to ensure that the impact on users of the PRoW would not be significant. However, it is considered that appropriate mitigation measures to ensure no	<b>0</b> It is unlikely that there would be new public footpaths provided within the site on restoration.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	The nearest residential property is approximately 280m from the site boundary.	unacceptable impacts could be conditioned.	
SA10: To protect and enhance water and soil quality in Norfolk	The site is located over a principal aquifer (bedrock) and partially over a secondary (undifferentiated) aquifer; but it mainly overlays an unproductive secondary aquifer. There are no Groundwater Source Protection Zones within the proposed site.	<b>0/0</b> A Hydrological Risk Assessment will be required as part of any planning application within this site to ensure no unacceptable impacts on water resources from dewatering operations undertaken to enable mineral extraction,	<b>0/0</b> Subject to the findings of a Hydrological Risk Assessment, no effect on water resources is expected post extraction.
	The site is classified as non-agricultural land.	No impacts on BMV agricultural soils.	No impacts on BMV agricultural soils.
SA11: To promote sustainable use of minerals resources	The site is approximately 700 metres from the Leziate processing plant site.	++ Due to proximity to processing plant.	<b>0</b> No effect post extraction
SA12: To reduce the risk of current and future flooding at new and existing development	The site has a low probability of flooding from rivers within the borough council SFRA. Surface water flooding extents occur within SIL 01, none of the site has a high probability (greater than 1 in 30) of being affected by surface water flooding; 0.71% of the area has a medium probability (between 1 in 30 and 1 in 100) of surface water flooding and 3.71% of the area has a low probability (between 1 in 100 and 1 in 1000) of surface water flooding.	++ The site is at generally low risk of being affected by flooding from either rivers, the sea or surface water. Silica sand extraction is considered to be a 'water compatible' land use which is suitable in all flood zones.	+ There is potential for restoration to involve the creation of water bodies to provide flood storage capacity.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
SA13: To encourage employment opportunities and promote economic growth	Although employment levels at minerals extraction sites tend to be low, if silica sand is extracted from this site it will supply the existing processing plant at Leziate and therefore offer continuing local employment opportunities. The processed silica sand is then a raw material for glass manufacture elsewhere in the UK, for both bottles and flat window glass providing downstream economic benefits.	+	0 No effect post restoration
Conclusion	The site scores well in t Leziate and is located ir negative effects on the considered that these e would be adverse impac site, but potential positiv	erms of proximity to the ex n an area of low flood risk. historic environment and b ffects could be appropriate cts on the County Wildlife ve effects on restoration. S cts as it provides a raw ma	viodiversity. It is ely mitigated. There Site located within the Silica sand extraction has

# AOS E – land to the north of Shouldham

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
-		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
SA1: To adapt to and mitigate the effects of climate change by reducing contributions to climate change	The AoS is approximately 15km from the Leziate processing plant. It is likely that any extraction site would transfer mineral to the processing plant by road.	- Mineral extraction requires energy and therefore emits CO2. There would also be CO2 emissions from road transportation to the processing plant.	+ No contributions to climate change post extraction. There is the potential that restoration could include woodland as a carbon 'sink'.
SA2: To improve air quality in line with the National Air Quality Standards	The site is not within an AQMA. Mineral extracted from within the AoS would lead to increased road transport to the processing plant. This would have a negative effect on air quality due to vehicle emissions.	- Due to increased road transport of silica sand.	0 No effect post restoration
SA3: To minimise noise, vibration and visual intrusion	The nearest residential property is approximately 250m from the area of search boundary. There are 28 sensitive receptors located 250m from the AoS boundary. The settlements of Wormegay and Shouldham are 250m from the AoS boundary.	<b>0</b> Silica sand extraction is not expected to cause vibration. It is considered that noise and dust can be mitigated to acceptable levels within 250m of the source. Noise and dust assessments, and mitigation measures to appropriately control any amenity impacts, must form part of any planning application for mineral extraction. This is a large area of search and the visual intrusion of a mineral extraction site would depend on where it is located within the AoS. It may be possible to locate a site further away from all residential properties and ensure that it is appropriately screened	<b>0</b> No effect post restoration

Proposal: Area of search for silica sand extraction Size of Area of Search: 815 hectares

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
		to mitigate visual intrusion.	
SA4: To improve accessibility to jobs, services and facilities and reduce social exclusion	Mineral extraction sites are unlikely to provide improved accessibility to services and facilities and reduce social exclusion. The effect on employment is assessed under objective SA13.	0 No effects expected during extraction	? As this is an area of search, it is unknown whether enhanced public access would be provided on restoration.
SA5: To maintain and enhance the character of the townscape and historic environment	The following listed buildings are approximately 250 metres from the AoS: Church of St Michael, Church of St Botolph and Castle Road Bridge. There are 30 Listed Buildings within 2km of the AoS Boundary. 8 of these are contained within Shouldham Conservation Area, which is 760m away and 4 of these are in Shouldham Thorpe Conservation Area which is 1.2km away. The following two Scheduled Monuments are approximately 250 metres from the AoS: Motte and bailey castle in Wormegay Village. Shouldham Priory with associated water management features. There are nine Scheduled Monuments within 2km of the boundary of the AoS.	- This is a large AOS and the impact of a mineral extraction site on the historic environment would depend on where it is located within the AoS. It may be possible to locate a site away from the listed buildings and Scheduled Monuments and ensure that it is appropriately screened to mitigate impacts on the historic environment. A Heritage Statement should also be included, together, with appropriate mitigation. Mitigation measures are likely to result in extraction being able to take place with no unacceptable adverse impacts. Future applications should provide appropriate archaeological evaluation. Mitigation strategies may provide an opportunity to investigate heritage assets that would not otherwise take place.	- A mitigation strategy should ensure the historic value of assets is appropriately preserved. Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts on the setting of heritage assets.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	within 2km of the AoS boundary.		
SA6: To protect and enhance Norfolk's biodiversity and geodiversity	The nearest internationally designated site to the AoS boundary is Breckland SPA at 6.3km.	- No impacts on the Breckland SPA are expected.	<b>0</b> No impacts on the Breckland SPA are expected.
	Setchey SSSI is 2.48km from the AoS boundary.	Part of the AoS is within the hydrological catchment of Setchey SSSI, but the AoS does not drain towards Setchey SSSI. Therefore no likely adverse impacts on Setchey SSSI.	No impacts on Setchey SSSI are expected post extraction.
	The River Nar SSSI is 250 metres from the AOS boundary.	However, due to the land within AOS E being artificially drained to multiple outlets, none of the land in the AoS drains to the River Nar. Therefore no likely adverse impacts on the River Nar SSSI.	No impacts on the River Nar SSSI are expected post extraction.
	CWS 425 Mow Fen is within the area of search. CWS 424 Westbrigg's Woods and CWS 373 Adjacent Adams plantation are adjacent to the area of search.	This is a large AoS, therefore the effect on the CWS from mineral extraction would depend on the location of the mineral extraction within the AOS. It would be possible to locate extraction away from the CWSs.	No impacts on County Wildlife Sites are expected post restoration.
	The nearest ancient woodland site is Bowl Wood which is an ASNW; it is 1.33 km from the area of search boundary.	The AoS is within the hydrological catchment for Bowl Wood. There is therefore the potential for hydrological impacts. Mitigation measures may be required. Due to distance no other impacts are expected.	No impacts on ancient woodland are expected post extraction.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	The Head deposits of the AoS overburden are geodiversity priority features due to their method of formation. The AoS contains geodiversity priority features in the form of paleo- environmental deposits, and Setchey SSSI, north of the site, is designated for its	There is the potential for sites within this area to contain other examples of geodiversity priorities.	There would be a preference for restoration to provide opportunities for further geological research of suitable exposures. However, this may not always be possible.
SA7: To promote innovative solutions for the restoration and after use of minerals sites	geological features. Restoration should reflect the existing landscape of agricultural land, woodland and fen, with increased areas of those habitats created next to the existing wooded and fen areas. There should be no net loss of woodland or Fen habitat, and additional habitat should be sought.	<b>0</b> No effect during extraction phase	? As this is an area of search it is unknown what the restoration of mineral extraction within this area would be. There is the potential for biodiversity benefits if the preferred restoration takes place.
SA8: To protect and enhance the quality and distinctiveness of the countryside and landscape	The north-western boundary of the area of search is adjacent to the Core River Valley for the River Nar. The area of search is not within the AONB or any other designated landscape feature.	- It is considered that an effective mitigation strategy could be designed to minimise unacceptable adverse impacts to countryside and landscape.	- Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate restoration scheme should be able to ensure no unacceptable impacts in parts of the Area of Search. A restoration combination of woodland and wetland would be suitable for restoration, however this will depend on the location within the AoS as landscape character differs across the area.
SA9: To contribute to improved health and amenity of local	There are a number of Public Rights of Way within the AoS. There are a large number of	<b>0</b> This is a large area of search and the potential effect of	? Depending on where a mineral extraction site is located within the

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
communities in Norfolk	residential properties within 300m of the AoS boundary (mainly within the settlements of Shouldham and Wormegay).	mineral extraction on health or amenity would depend on where an extraction site is location within the AoS. It may be possible to locate a site away from the footpaths and all residential properties.	wider AoS, there is the potential for new public footpaths to be provided on restoration. However, as this is an area of search it is unknown what the restoration of mineral extraction within this area would be.
SA10: To protect and enhance water and soil quality in Norfolk	The AoS is located over a principal aquifer (bedrock) and partially over secondary B and secondary undifferentiated aquifers (superficial deposits); however there are no Groundwater Source Protection Zones within the area of search	- A Hydrological Risk Assessment will be required as part of any planning application within this AoS to ensure no unacceptable impacts on water resources from dewatering operations undertaken to enable mineral extraction.	<b>0/-</b> Subject to the findings of a Hydrological Risk Assessment, no effect on water resources is expected post extraction.
	The area of search is a mixture of forestry and agriculture. The agricultural land is grades 4 and 3. This land could potentially be Grade 3a which is classified within the Best and Most Versatile agricultural land.	Potential for BMV agricultural land to be affected by mineral extraction within the AoS.	Due to the depth of silica sand extraction, the land is unlikely to be restored to agriculture. Therefore there could be a permanent loss of Grade 3a agricultural land post extraction, depending on the location of silica sand extraction.
SA11: To promote sustainable use of minerals resources	The Area of Search is approximately 15km from the Leziate processing plant.	- Due to distance from processing plant.	<b>0</b> No effect post extraction
SA12: To reduce the risk of current and future flooding at new and existing development	Approximately 52% of AOS E has a medium to high probability of flooding from rivers within the borough council SFRA. Within AOS E, 0.5% of the area has a high probability (greater than 1 in 30) of surface water flooding; 1.5% of the	- 48% of AOS E has a low risk of being affected by flooding from either rivers or the sea. Less than 1.5% of the area has a medium to high risk of being affected by surface water flooding. Silica sand extraction is considered to be a	+ There is potential for restoration to involve the creation of water bodies to provide flood storage capacity.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment	
-		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction	
	area has a medium	'water compatible' land		
	probability (between 1	use which is suitable in		
	in 30 and 1 in 100) of	all flood zones. Silica		
	surface water flooding	sand extraction would		
	and 6.9% of the area	be a temporary non-		
	has a low probability	residential use, which		
	(between 1 in 100 and	exposes relatively few		
	1 in 1,000) of surface	people to risk as only a		
	water flooding.	small number of		
		employees are		
		required. Residual risk		
		can be addressed		
		through the use of a		
		site evacuation plan.		
SA13: To encourage	Although employment	+	0 Na affa at maat	
employment	levels at minerals		No effect post	
opportunities and	extraction sites tend to		restoration	
promote economic	be low, if silica sand is			
growth	extracted from within			
	this AoS it will supply			
	the existing			
	processing plant at Leziate and therefore			
	offer continuing local			
	employment			
	opportunities. The			
	processed silica sand			
	is then a raw material			
	for glass manufacture			
	elsewhere in the UK,			
	for both bottles and			
	flat window glass,			
	providing downstream			
	economic benefits.			
Conclusion	This is a large area of s	earch. There are potential	negative effects on the	
	historic environment and	d landscape. It is consider	ed that these effects	
	could be appropriately r	nitigated. There are potent	tially negative effects on	
	a County Wildlife Site lo	cated within AOS E, depe	nding on where mineral	
		ese effects would need to		
	0	the distance from the exist	0.01	
		ome of the other areas of s		
	•	because over half of AOS	•	
	•	er rivers, the sea or surfac		
		nt loss of Grade 3a agricul		
	where mineral extraction is located within AOS E. Silica sand extraction			
	•	mpacts as it provides a rav	w material for glass	
	manufacture.			

# AOS F – land to the north of Stow Bardolph

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
SA1: To adapt to and mitigate the effects of climate change by reducing contributions to climate change	The AoS is approximately 17km from the Leziate processing plant. It is likely that any extraction site would transfer mineral to the processing site by road.	- Mineral extraction requires energy and therefore emits CO <sub>2</sub> . There would also be CO <sub>2</sub> emissions from road transportation to the processing plant.	+ No contributions to climate change post extraction. There is the potential that restoration could include woodland as a carbon 'sink'.
SA2: To improve air quality in line with the National Air Quality Standards	The AoS is not within an AQMA. Mineral extracted from within the AoS would lead to increased road transport to the processing plant. This would have a negative effect on air quality due to vehicle emissions.	- Due to increased road transport of silica sand.	0 No effect post restoration
SA3: To minimise noise, vibration and visual intrusion	The nearest residential property is approximately 250m from the area of search boundary. There are 16 sensitive receptors located 250m from the AoS boundary. Stow Bardolph and South Runcton are both 250m from the AoS boundary.	<b>0</b> Silica sand extraction is not expected to cause vibration. It is considered that noise and dust can be mitigated to acceptable levels within 250m of the source. Noise and dust assessments, and mitigation measures to appropriately control any amenity impacts, must form part of any planning application for mineral extraction. Any future planning application within the AoS will need to ensure that proposed extraction is appropriately screened to mitigate visual intrusion.	0 No effect post restoration
SA4: To improve accessibility to jobs, services and facilities and reduce social exclusion	Mineral extraction sites are unlikely to provide improved accessibility to services and facilities	0 No effects expected during extraction	<b>?</b> As this is an area of search, it is unknown whether enhanced

**Proposal:** Area of Search for silica sand extraction Size of Area of Search: 61 hectares

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	and reduce social exclusion. The effect on employment is assessed under objective SA13.		public access would be provided on restoration.
SA5: To maintain and enhance the character of the townscape and historic environment	The nearest Listed Building is Grade II North Lodge to Stow Hall, which is 250m away. There are 19 listed buildings within 2km of the AoS boundary. 2 of these are within Wimbotsham Conservation Area which is 650m from the AoS boundary and 4 are within Shouldham Thorpe Conservation Area which is 1.63km from the AoS boundary. Stradsett Hall, a Registered Historic Park and Garden is 1.95km from the AoS boundary. There are no Scheduled Monuments within 2km of the boundary. The AoS is adjacent to the unregistered remnants of Stow Hall and the wider setting of Wallington Hall.	- A future application should provide appropriate archaeological evaluation, which may provide an opportunity to investigate heritage assets that would not otherwise take place. A Heritage Statement should also be included, together, with appropriate mitigation. It is considered that mitigation measures are likely to result in extraction being able to take place with no unacceptable adverse impacts.	- A mitigation strategy should ensure the historic value of assets is appropriately preserved. Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts on the setting of heritage assets.
SA6: To protect and enhance Norfolk's biodiversity and geodiversity	The nearest internationally designated site is the Ouse Washes SAC which is over 6.2km from the AoS boundary.	- No impacts on the Ouse Washes are expected.	<b>0</b> No impacts on the Ouse Washes are expected.
	Setchey SSSI is 4.7km from the AoS boundary.	Due to distance, no impacts on SSSIs are expected.	Due to distance, no impacts on SSSIs are expected.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	CWS 361 'north east of Wallington Hall' is 293m from the AoS, CWS 365 'Broad Meadow Plantation' is adjacent to the AoS and CWS 357 'Chiswick's Wood' is 830m from the AoS.	There is the potential for adverse hydrological impacts on CWS 361 and mitigation measures will be required to ensure no adverse impacts on the CWSs in proximity to the AoS.	No adverse impacts are expected on these CWS post restoration.
	Three ancient replanted woodlands are between 500 to 1,000 metres from the area of search boundary.	AOS F is within the hydrological catchment for these ancient woodlands, however, the AoS drains away from the ancient woodland sites and therefore adverse hydrological impacts are unlikely. Due to the distance of the AoS from the ancient woodland sites other adverse impacts are also unlikely.	No adverse impacts are expected on ancient woodland sites post restoration.
	The AoS has overburden made up of Till deposits partially overlying the Lower Cretaceous Leziate Beds.	There is the potential for sites within this area to contain other examples of geodiversity priority features under more recent deposits.	There would be a preference for restoration to provide opportunities for further geological research of suitable exposures. However, this may not always be possible.
SA7: To promote innovative solutions for the restoration and after use of minerals sites	The preferred restoration for ecology would be a combination of agricultural land with mixed species hedgerows, wide field margins, ponds and mixed deciduous woodland. There should be no net loss of woodland and areas of planting should adjoin existing areas to extend the size.	<b>0</b> No effect during extraction phase	? As this is an area of search it is unknown what the restoration of mineral extraction within this area would be. There is the potential for biodiversity benefits if the preferred restoration takes place. The AoS is adjacent to the remnants of Stow Hall parkland and the wider setting of Wallington Hall and restoration would also need to be in keeping with these areas.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
SA8: To protect and enhance the quality and distinctiveness of the countryside and landscape	The site is not located within the AONB, a Core River Valley or any other designated landscape feature. Adjacent to the area of search are undesignated remnants of parkland which make a significant landscape feature.	- It is considered that an effective mitigation strategy could be designed to minimise unacceptable adverse impacts to countryside and landscape.	- Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts. There are examples of woodland blocks, wet woodland and waterbodies in the zone of influence of the area of search, which should all be possible on restoration.
SA9: To contribute to improved health and amenity of local communities in Norfolk	There are no Public Rights of Way within the AoS. There are some residential properties 250 metres from the AoS boundary.	<b>0</b> There is unlikely to be a significant impact on health or amenity from mineral extraction within the AoS.	<b>0</b> It is unlikely that there would be new public footpaths provided within the AoS on restoration.
SA10: To protect and enhance water and soil quality in Norfolk	The AoS is located over a principal aquifer (bedrock) and partially over a secondary undifferentiated aquifer (superficial deposit); however there are no Groundwater Source Protection Zones in the AoS.	- A Hydrological Risk Assessment will be required as part of any planning application within this AoS to ensure no unacceptable impacts on water resources from dewatering operations undertaken to enable mineral extraction.	<b>0/-</b> Subject to the findings of a Hydrological Risk Assessment, no effect on water resources is expected post extraction.
	The area of search is a mixture of forestry and agricultural uses with the agricultural land in grades 4 and 3. This land could potentially be Grade 3a which is classified within the Best and Most Versatile agricultural land.	Potential for BMV agricultural land to be affected by mineral extraction within the AoS.	Due to the likely depth of silica sand extraction, the land is unlikely to be restored to agriculture. Therefore there could be a permanent loss of Grade 3a agricultural land post extraction, depending on the location of silica sand extraction.
SA11: To promote sustainable use of minerals resources	The Area of Search is approximately 17km from the Leziate processing plant.	- Due to distance from processing plant.	0 No effect post extraction

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
SA12: To reduce the risk of current and future flooding at new and existing development	AoS F has a low probability of flooding from rivers within the borough council SFRA. Within AOS F, 0.3% of the area has a high probability (greater than 1 in 30) of surface water flooding; 0.5% of the area has a medium probability (between 1 in 30 and 1 in 100) of surface water flooding, and 3.7% of the area has a low probability (between 1 in 100 and 1 in 1000) of surface water flooding.	+ AOS F has a low risk of being affected by flooding from either rivers or the sea. Less than 1% of the area has a medium to high risk of being affected by surface water flooding. Silica sand extraction is considered to be a 'water compatible' land use which is suitable in all flood zones.	+ There is potential for restoration to involve the creation of water bodies to provide flood storage capacity.
SA13: To encourage employment opportunities and promote economic growth	Although employment levels at minerals extraction sites tend to be low, if silica sand is extracted from within this AoS it will supply the existing processing plant at Leziate and therefore offer continuing local employment opportunities. The processed silica sand is then a raw material for glass manufacture elsewhere in the UK, for both bottles and flat window glass, providing downstream economic benefits.	+	0 No effect post restoration
Conclusion	There are potentially negative effects on the historic environment, landscape and biodiversity. It is considered that these effects could be appropriately mitigated. There are negative effects due to the distance from the existing processing plant at Leziate, compared to some of the other areas of search. The site has a low risk of being affected by flooding. There is the potential for a permanent loss of Grade 3a agricultural land, depending on where mineral extraction is located within the area of search. Silica sand extraction has positive economic impacts as it provides a raw material for glass manufacture.		

# AOS I – land to the east of South Runcton

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
SA1: To adapt to and	The AoS is	Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
mitigate the effects of climate change by reducing contributions to climate change	approximately 16km from the Leziate processing plant. It is likely that any extraction site would transfer mineral to the processing plant by road.	Mineral extraction requires energy and therefore emits CO <sub>2</sub> . There would also be CO <sub>2</sub> emissions from road transportation to the processing plant.	No contributions to climate change post extraction. There is the potential that restoration could include woodland as a carbon 'sink'.
SA2: To improve air quality in line with the National Air Quality Standards	The AoS is not within an AQMA. Mineral extracted from within the AoS would lead to increased road transport to the processing plant. This would have a negative effect on air quality due to vehicle emissions.	- Due to increased road transport of silica sand.	0 No effect post restoration
SA3: To minimise noise, vibration and visual intrusion	The nearest residential property is approximately 250m from the area of search boundary. There are seven sensitive receptors located 250m from the AoS boundary.	<b>0</b> Silica sand extraction is not expected to cause vibration. It is considered that noise and dust can be mitigated to acceptable levels within 250m of the source. Noise and dust assessments, and mitigation measures to appropriately control any amenity impacts, must form part of any planning application for mineral extraction. Any future planning application within the AOS will need to ensure that proposed extraction is appropriately screened to mitigate visual intrusion.	<b>0</b> No effect post restoration
SA4: To improve accessibility to jobs, services and facilities and reduce social exclusion	Mineral extraction sites are unlikely to provide improved accessibility to services and facilities	0 No effects expected during extraction	<b>?</b> As this is an area of search, it is unknown whether enhanced

Proposal: Area of Search for silica sand extraction Size of Area of Search: 47 hectares

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	and reduce social exclusion. The effect on employment is assessed under objective SA13.		public access would be provided on restoration.
SA5: To maintain and enhance the character of the townscape and historic environment	The closest Listed Building is the Grade II* Church of St Andrew which is 726 metres away. There are 12 Listed Buildings within 2km of the AoS boundary. 4 of these are within Shouldham Thorpe Conservation Area which is 1.27kkm from the boundary of the AoS. There are no Registered Historic Parks and Gardens or Scheduled Monuments within 2km of the AoS boundary. The site has the potential to contain archaeological assets,	- A future application should provide appropriate archaeological evaluation, which may provide an opportunity to investigate heritage assets that would not otherwise take place. A Heritage Statement should also be included, together, with appropriate mitigation. It is considered that mitigation measures are likely to result in extraction being able to take place with no unacceptable adverse impacts.	- A mitigation strategy should ensure that, the historic value of the assets is appropriately preserved. Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts on the setting of heritage assets.
SA6: To protect and enhance Norfolk's biodiversity and geodiversity	but is unstudied. The nearest internationally designated site is the Ouse Washes SAC which is over 9km from the AoS boundary	<b>0</b> No impacts on the Ouse Washes are expected.	<b>0</b> No impacts on the Ouse Washes are expected.
	The AoS is over 3km from both the River Nar and Setchey SSSIs.	Due to distance, no impacts on SSSIs are expected.	No impacts on SSSIs are expected.
	The closest County Wildlife Site is CWS 366 'St Andrews Churchyard' which is 600m from the AoS boundary.	Due to distance, no impacts on CWS are expected.	No impacts on CWS are expected.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	The nearest ancient woodland site is a PAWS which is 1.4km from the AoS boundary.	No impacts on ancient woodland are expected.	No impacts on ancient woodland are expected.
	The AoS has overburden made up of Till deposits partially overlying the Lower Cretaceous Leziate Beds.	There is the potential for sites within this area to contain examples of geodiversity priority features.	There would be a preference for restoration to provide opportunities for further geological research of suitable exposures. However, this may not always be possible.
SA7: To promote innovative solutions for the restoration and after use of minerals sites	The preferred restoration for this site would be restoration for agriculture with additional areas of mixed deciduous woodland and hedgerows which would provide a net biodiversity gain.	0 No effect during extraction phase	? As this is an area of search it is unknown what the restoration of mineral extraction within this area would be. There is the potential for biodiversity benefits if the preferred restoration takes place.
SA8: To protect and enhance the quality and distinctiveness of the countryside and landscape	The area of search is not located within the AONB, a Core River Valley or any other designated landscape feature.	<b>0</b> It is considered that an effective mitigation strategy could be designed to minimise unacceptable adverse impacts to countryside and landscape.	<b>0</b> Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts. Waterbodies, blocks of woodland, and farmland all form landscape features within the Area of Search.
SA9: To contribute to improved health and amenity of local communities in Norfolk	There are no Public Rights of Way within the AoS. The nearest residential property is 250m from the AoS boundary. There are seven sensitive receptors located 250m from the AoS boundary.	<b>0</b> There is unlikely to be a significant impact on health or amenity from mineral extraction within the AoS.	<b>0</b> It is unlikely that there would be new public footpaths provided within the AoS on restoration.
SA10: To protect and enhance water and soil quality in Norfolk	The AoS is located over a principal aquifer (bedrock) and partially over	- A Hydrological Risk Assessment will be required as part of any	<b>0/-</b> Subject to the findings of a Hydrological Risk Assessment, no effect

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	secondary undifferentiated aquifers (superficial deposits). However, there are no Groundwater Source Protection Zones in the AoS.	planning application within this AoS to ensure no unacceptable impacts on water resources from dewatering operations undertaken to enable mineral extraction.	on water resources is expected post extraction.
	The AoS is Grade 3 agricultural land and could potentially be Grade 3a which is classified within the Best and Most Versatile agricultural land.	Potential for BMV agricultural land to be affected by mineral extraction within the AoS.	Due to the likely depth of silica sand extraction, the land is unlikely to be restored to agriculture. Therefore there could be a permanent loss of Grade 3a agricultural land post extraction.
SA11: To promote sustainable use of minerals resources	The Area of Search is approximately 16km from the Leziate processing plant.	- Due to distance from processing plant.	0 No effect post extraction
SA12: To reduce the risk of current and future flooding at new and existing development	AOS I has a low probability of flooding from rivers within the borough council SFRA. Within AOS I, 2.8% of the area has a high probability of surface water flooding (greater than 1 in 30); 4.1% of the area has a medium probability (between 1 in 30 and 1 in 100) of surface water flooding, and 7.9% of the area has a low probability (between a 1 in 100 and 1 in 1,000) of surface water flooding.	++ AOS I has a low risk of being affected by flooding from either rivers or the sea. Just over 4% of the area has a medium to high risk of being affected by surface water flooding. Silica sand extraction is considered to be a 'water compatible' land use which is suitable in all flood zones.	+ There is potential for restoration to involve the creation of water bodies to provide flood storage capacity.
SA13: To encourage employment opportunities and promote economic growth	Although employment levels at minerals extraction sites tend to be low, if silica sand is extracted from within this AoS it will supply the existing processing plant at Leziate and therefore	+	0 No effect post restoration

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
	offer continuing local employment opportunities. The processed silica sand is then a raw material for glass manufacture elsewhere in the UK, for both bottles and flat window glass, providing downstream economic benefits.		
Conclusion	There are potential negative effects on the historic environment. It is considered that these effects could be appropriately mitigated. Adverse impacts are not expected on biodiversity. There are negative effects due to the distance from the existing processing plant at Leziate, compared to some of the other areas of search. There is the potential for a permanent loss of Grade 3a agricultural land, depending on where mineral extraction is located within the area of search. The area is at generally low risk of being affected by flooding from either rivers, the sea or surface water. Silica sand extraction has positive economic impacts as it provides a raw material for glass manufacture.		

## AOS J – land to the east of Tottenhill

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
SA1: To adapt to and mitigate the effects of climate change by reducing contributions to climate change	The AoS is approximately 15km from the Leziate processing plant. It is likely that any extraction site would transfer mineral to the processing plant by road.	- Mineral extraction requires energy and therefore emits CO <sub>2</sub> . There would also be CO <sub>2</sub> emissions from road transportation to the processing plant.	+ No contributions to climate change post extraction. There is the potential that restoration could include woodland as a carbon 'sink'.
SA2: To improve air quality in line with the National Air Quality Standards	The AoS is not within an AQMA. Mineral extracted from within the AoS would lead to increased road transport to the processing plant. This would have a negative effect on air quality due to vehicle emissions.	- Due to increased road transport of silica sand.	0 No effect post restoration
SA3: To minimise noise, vibration and visual intrusion	The nearest residential property is approximately 250m from the AoS boundary. There are five sensitive receptors located 250m from the AoS boundary. The settlement of Tottenhill is 328m away.	<b>0</b> Silica sand extraction is not expected to cause vibration. It is considered that noise and dust can be mitigated to acceptable levels within 250m of the source. Noise and dust assessments, and mitigation measures to appropriately control any amenity impacts, must form part of any planning application for mineral extraction. Any future planning application within the AoS will need to ensure that proposed extraction is appropriately screened to mitigate visual intrusion.	0 No effect post restoration
SA4: To improve accessibility to jobs, services and facilities and reduce social exclusion	Mineral extraction sites are unlikely to provide improved accessibility to services and facilities	<b>0</b> No effects expected during extraction	<b>?</b> As this is an area of search, it is unknown whether enhanced

Proposal: Area of Search for silica sand extraction Size of Area of Search: 23 hectares

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	and reduce social exclusion. The effect on employment is assessed under objective SA13.		public access would be provided on restoration.
SA5: To maintain and enhance the character of the townscape and historic environment	The closest Listed Building is the Grade I Church of St Botolph, which is 325m from the AoS boundary. There are 5 Listed Buildings within 2km of the AoS boundary. The nearest Scheduled Monument is the Motte and Bailey Castle in Wormegay Village, which is 1.25km away. There are three Scheduled Monuments within 2km of the AoS boundary. There are no Conservation Areas or Registered Historic Parks and Gardens within 2km of the AoS boundary. There are archaeological assets within the Area of Search.	- A future application should provide appropriate archaeological evaluation, which may provide an opportunity to investigate heritage assets that would not otherwise take place. A Heritage Statement should also be included, together, with appropriate mitigation. It is considered that mitigation measures are likely to result in extraction being able to take place with no unacceptable adverse impacts.	- A mitigation strategy should ensure that, the historic value of, the assets is appropriately preserved. Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts on the setting of heritage assets.
SA6: To protect and enhance Norfolk's biodiversity and geodiversity	The nearest internationally designated site is the Ouse Washes SAC which is 10.8km from the AoS boundary.	<b>0</b> No impacts on the Ouse Washes are expected.	<b>0</b> No impacts on the Ouse Washes are expected.
	The River Nar SSSI is 2.35km from the AoS boundary. Setchey SSSI is 2km from the AoS boundary.	Due to the land being artificially drained to multiple outlets, the AoS does not drain to the River Nar or Setchey SSSI and therefore no adverse impacts are expected.	No impacts on SSSIs post extraction.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	CWS 385 'Tottenhill Village Green' is 250m from the AoS and CWS 424 'Westbrigg's Wood' is 380m from the AoS. CWS 384 'West of Tottenhill' is 430m from the AoS.	If mineral extraction in this AoS were to go below the water table then there could be impacts on the ponds in CWS 385 and mitigation measures would be required.	No impacts on CWS are expected post restoration.
	The nearest ancient woodland site is a PAWS and is 2.96km from the AoS boundary.	No impacts on ancient woodland are expected.	No impacts on ancient woodland are expected.
	The Head deposits of the AoS overburden are geodiversity priority features due to their method of formation.	There is the potential for sites within this AoS to contain examples of geodiversity priority features.	There would be a preference for restoration to provide opportunities for further geological research of suitable exposures. However, this may not always be possible.
SA7: To promote innovative solutions for the restoration and after use of minerals sites	The preferred restoration for this site would be restoration for agriculture with additional areas of mixed deciduous woodland and hedgerows which would provide a net biodiversity gain.	<b>0</b> No effect during extraction phase	? As this is an area of search it is unknown what the restoration of mineral extraction within this area would be. There is the potential for biodiversity benefits if the preferred restoration takes place.
SA8: To protect and enhance the quality and distinctiveness of the countryside and landscape	The area of search is not located within the AONB, a Core River Valley or any other designated landscape feature.	<b>0</b> It is considered that an effective mitigation strategy could be designed to minimise unacceptable adverse impacts to countryside and landscape.	<b>0</b> Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts. Waterbodies from previous mineral workings, blocks of woodland, and farmland all form landscape features within the Area of Search.
SA9: To contribute to improved health and	There are no Public Rights of Way within	0	0

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
amenity of local communities in Norfolk	the AoS. The nearest residential property is 250m from the AoS boundary. There are five sensitive receptors located 250m from the AoS boundary.	There is unlikely to be a significant impact on health or amenity from mineral extraction within the AoS.	It is unlikely that there would be new public footpaths provided within the AoS on restoration.
SA10: To protect and enhance water and soil quality in Norfolk	The AoS is located over a principal aquifer (bedrock) and partially over secondary undifferentiated aquifers (superficial deposits). However, there are no Groundwater Source Protection Zones in the AoS.	<b>0</b> A Hydrological Risk Assessment will be required as part of any planning application within this AoS to ensure no unacceptable impacts on water resources from dewatering operations undertaken to enable mineral extraction.	<b>0</b> Subject to the findings of a Hydrological Risk Assessment, no effect on water resources is expected post extraction.
SA11: To promote	The AoS is Grade 4 agricultural land. The Area of Search is	No impacts on BMV agricultural soils.	No impacts on BMV agricultural soils. 0
sustainable use of minerals resources	approximately 15km from the Leziate processing plant.	Due to distance from processing plant.	No effect post extraction
SA12: To reduce the risk of current and future flooding at new and existing development	AOS J has a low probability of flooding from rivers within the borough council SFRA. Within AOS J, 1.5% of the area has a high probability (greater than 1 in 30) of surface water flooding; 3.6% of the area has a medium probability (between 1 in 30 and 1 in 100) of surface water flooding, and 9.2% of the area has a low probability (between 1 in 100 and 1 in 1000) of surface water flooding.	++ AOS J has a low risk of being affected by flooding from either rivers or the sea. Less than 4% of the site has a medium to high risk of being affected by surface water flooding. Silica sand extraction is considered to be a 'water compatible' land use which is suitable in all flood zones.	+ There is potential for restoration to involve the creation of water bodies to provide flood storage capacity.
SA13: To encourage employment opportunities and	Although employment levels at minerals extraction sites tend to be low, if silica sand is	+	<b>0</b> No effect post restoration

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment	
		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction	
promote economic	extracted from within			
growth	this AoS it will supply			
	the existing			
	processing plant at			
	Leziate and therefore			
	offer continuing local			
	employment			
	opportunities. The			
	processed silica sand			
	is then a raw material			
	for glass manufacture			
	elsewhere in the UK,			
	for both bottles and			
	flat window glass,			
	providing downstream			
	economic benefits.			
Conclusion		ative effects on the historic		
		ffects could be appropriate		
		the distance from the exist		
	Leziate, compared to some of the other areas of search. The site is at			
	generally low risk of being affected by flooding from either rivers, the sea			
		or surface water. Silica sand extraction has positive economic impacts as it		
	provides a raw material	for glass manufacture.		

#### SIL 02 – land at Shouldham and Marham

Proposal: Extraction of 16 million tonnes of silica sand

SA Objective Comments Assessment of Assessment Extraction Phase Post Extraction SA1: To adapt to The site is 6km from the 0 + and mitigate the existing processing Mineral extraction No contributions to effects of climate plant site at Leziate. It requires energy and climate change post change by reducing is proposed that the therefore emits CO<sub>2</sub>. extraction. contributions to sand extracted will be Transporting mineral to the processing plant via climate change transported to the processing plant by pipeline would require pipeline. energy, but CO<sub>2</sub> emissions are likely to be less than road transportation. SA2: To improve The site is not within an 0 0 air quality in line AQMA, Mineral There should not be No effect post with the National extracted at the site any adverse air quality restoration Air Quality should not lead to impacts because the mineral is not proposed Standards increased road transport as it is to be transported by proposed to be road. conveyed by pipeline to the existing processing plant. SA3: To minimise The nearest residential 0 0 noise, vibration and property is 81m from Silica sand extraction is No effect post visual intrusion the site boundary. not expected to cause restoration There are 10 sensitive vibration. It is receptors within 250m considered that noise of the site boundary. and dust can be The settlement of mitigated to acceptable levels within 250m of Marham is 430m away. However, the land the source; the greatest nearest to Marham is impacts will be within not proposed to be 100m, if uncontrolled. extracted. Therefore Noise and dust the nearest residential assessments, and property is 280m from mitigation measures to the extraction area and appropriately control any amenity impacts. there are no sensitive must form part of any receptors within 250m planning application for of the proposed extraction area. mineral extraction. The effect on visual intrusion is assessed under objective SA8. SA4: To improve Mineral extraction sites 0 0 accessibility to are unlikely to provide No effects expected It is unlikely that jobs, services and improved accessibility during extraction enhanced public facilities and to services and facilities access would be reduce social and reduce social provided within the site exclusion exclusion. The effect on restoration.

Size of site: 390.36ha

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	on employment is assessed under objective SA13.		
SA5: To maintain and enhance the character of the townscape and historic environment	The nearest Listed Building is the Grade I Remains of Augustinian Priory which is 310m away. There are 22 Listed Buildings within 2km of the site boundary. 8 of these are within the Shouldham Conservation Area which is 1.14km away. The nearest Scheduled Monument is the Remains of Pentney Priory at Abbey Farm which is 30m away. There are 6 Scheduled Monuments within 2km of the site boundary.	 A Heritage Statement would be required to support any future planning application. The heritage statement should identify potential impacts to heritage assets and suggest appropriate mitigation, which may include identification of areas where mineral extraction would be inappropriate.	 A mitigation strategy should ensure the historic value of assets is appropriately preserved. Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts.
	There are no Registered Historic Parks and Gardens within 2km of the site boundary.	No effects expected during extraction	No effect post extraction
	There are significant Historic Environment records of prehistoric to Late Neolithic finds; with isolated finds from later periods, within the site boundary, and a possible Iron Age settlement. The site is in a wider landscape with a significant number of finds and features from the multiple periods.	There is the potential that unknown archaeology exists on the site and an assessment of the significance of archaeological deposits will be required at the planning application stage, in order to protect and mitigate the impact of mineral extraction in this site.	No effect post extraction.
SA6: To protect and enhance Norfolk's biodiversity and geodiversity	Breckland Forest SSSI, which is part of the Breckland SPA, is 4.74km from the site boundary.	- No adverse impacts on the SSSI are expected due to the distance from the site.	<b>0</b> No impacts on the SSSI are expected post extraction

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	East Walton and Adcock's Common SSSI, which is part of the Norfolk Valley Fens SAC is 4.28km from the site boundary.	No adverse impacts on the SSSI is expected if the site is worked without dewatering, due to the distance from the site.	No impacts on the SSSI are expected post extraction
	River Nar SSSI is adjacent to the site boundary.	The potential exists for impacts from mineral extraction at this site, if uncontrolled. An assessment of potential impacts, including from dust deposition and hydrogeology, together with appropriate mitigation would be required as part of any planning application.	No impacts on the SSSI are expected post extraction
	CWS 528 'North of Marham' is 230m from the site boundary. CWS 488 'Osier Bed Plantation' is 230m from the site boundary. CWS 545 'The Carr' is 180m from the site boundary. CWS 530 'Marham Fen' is 80m from the site boundary.	There is the potential for impacts from dust deposition although with normal mitigation measures no adverse effects on these CWSs is expected. If the site is worked without dewatering, with normal mitigation measures, no adverse effects on the CWSs are expected.	No impacts to CWSs are expected post extraction.
	The nearest ancient woodland site is Bowl Wood, which is a PAWS and ASNW; it is 1.26km from the site boundary.	If the site is worked without dewatering, with normal mitigation measures, no adverse effects on this ancient woodland site are expected.	No impacts to the ancient woodland sites are expected post extraction.
	The site consists of Peat, river terrace deposits - sand and gravel, which are geodiversity priority features, overlying Leziate member-sand, and Carstone Formation - sandstone.	The site contains geodiversity priority features.	No adverse impacts to geodiversity are expected post restoration. It would be useful for restoration to provide opportunities for further geological research of suitable exposures

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
SA7: To promote innovative solutions for the restoration and after use of minerals sites	No details on proposed restoration of the site have been provided.	<b>0</b> No effect during extraction phase	? No details of a proposed restoration scheme have been provided.
SA8: To protect and enhance the quality and distinctiveness of the countryside and landscape	The site is not located within the AONB. The northern part of the site is within a Core River Valley, although the proposed extraction area is not within a Core River Valley.	 Due to the open nature of the landscape, there would be views of the site from some properties in Marham, however due to the buffer areas and the potential they offer for bunding, it should be possible for an appropriate screening scheme to be developed. There are isolated properties along the southern boundary, which would also have views of the site if screening is not put in place. On the opposite side of the River Nar is the Scheduled Monument (Pentney Priory Gatehouse); due to the open nature of the landscape there is the potential for harm to the significance and setting of this monument from some parts of the site. Therefore, special regard would need to be had to the design, and assessment of any change within this site.	- Mineral extraction will result in landscape change which due to the open nature of the surrounding landscape and would be visible from a variety of viewpoints; even following restoration the landscape setting of other features would be significantly altered.
to improved health and amenity of local communities in Norfolk	There is a Public Right of Way adjacent to the northern boundary of the site (Marham FP8 and Wormegay RB7). There is also a PRoW running through the site (north to south) (Marham FP9).	- Care would be needed to ensure that the impact on users of the PRoWs would not be significant. However, it is considered that appropriate mitigation measures to ensure no unacceptable impacts	- No details on proposed restoration of the site have bene provided. However, any restoration that did not reinstate any PRoW or provide a compensatory route

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	The nearest residential property is 81m from the site boundary. There are 10 sensitive receptors within 250m of the site boundary. However, the land nearest to Marham is not proposed to be extracted. Therefore the nearest residential property is 280m from the extraction area and there are no sensitive receptors within 250m of the proposed extraction area.	could be conditioned, such as temporary diversion of the PRoW running through the site.	would result in a recreation impact.
SA10: To protect and enhance water and soil quality in Norfolk	The site is located over a principal aquifer (bedrock) and partially over a Secondary A aquifer (superficial deposits). The eastern part of the site is within groundwater Source Protection Zone 1. The rest of the site is not within a groundwater SPZ.	-/- The mineral operator has proposed that the site would be worked by suction dredging. If dewatering was not required this may minimise impacts on water resources. A Hydrological Risk Assessment would be required to confirm this.	<b>0/-</b> No adverse effects are expected on water resources post extraction
	The site is Grade 3 agricultural land and could potentially be Grade 3a which is classified within the Best and Most Versatile agricultural land.	Potential for BMV agricultural land to be affected by mineral extraction within the site.	The site is unlikely to be restored to agriculture, therefore a permanent loss of BMV agricultural land could occur.
SA11: To promote sustainable use of minerals resources	The site is 6km from the processing plant at Leziate. The proposer of the site has suggested that mineral could be moved by pipeline to the processing plant.	<b>0</b> The construction of a pipeline would be a significant undertaking and has the potential for impacts itself during construction. However movement of mineral by pipeline would significantly reduce impacts from HGV traffic.	0 No effect post extraction
SA12: To reduce the risk of current	The majority (52%) of the area is within Flood		0

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
and future fleesting	Zana 2 (high righ) and	Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
and future flooding	Zone 3 (high risk) and 42% of the area is	The site is at high risk	No effect post extraction / restoration
at new and existing development	within Flood Zone 2	of being affected by flooding from rivers,	because no details of a
development	(medium risk) for	and at low risk of being	restoration scheme
	flooding from rivers,	flooded from surface	have been provided.
	within the borough	water. Screening	However, there is
	council's SFRA. The	bunds around an	potential for restoration
	area has a low risk of	extraction site could	to involve the creation
	surface water flooding	potentially affect the	of water bodies to
	with a few locations of	movement of water in	provide flood storage
	surface water pooling,	flood events, unless	capacity.
	mainly in the south of	care is taken in their	
	the site, in a 1 in 30	design. Silica sand	
	year rainfall event.	extraction is considered	
	There are additional locations of surface	to be a 'water	
	water pooling in a 1 in	compatible' land use which is suitable in all	
	100 year rainfall event.	flood zones.	
	The number of		
	locations of surface		
	water pooling increase		
	significantly in a 1 in		
	1000 year rainfall event		
	and there are a number		
	of surface water flow		
	paths in the southern		
	part of the proposed area.		
SA13: To	Although employment	+	0
encourage	levels at minerals sites	•	No effect post
employment	tend to be low, if this		restoration
opportunities and	site was worked it		
promote economic	would supply the		
growth	existing processing		
	plant at Leziate and		
	therefore offer		
	continuing local		
	employment opportunities. The		
	processed silica sand is		
	then a raw material for		
	glass manufacture		
	elsewhere in the UK, for		
	both bottles and flat		
	window glass, providing		
	downstream economic		
	benefits.		
Conclusion		ithin which it is considered	
		me forward. This would en	-
		hest to be left unworked.	•
		storic environment, flood r pe, and geodiversity. It is	
	agriculturar lariu, lariusta	pe, and geouversity. It is	

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
	of the site with the highes would be adverse impact	could be appropriately mitig st level of constraints are le s on the PRoW located wit conomic impacts as it provi	eft unworked. There thin the site. Silica sand

## North Norfolk sites

### MIN 69 – land north of Holt Road, Aylmerton

Proposal: Extraction of 2,200,000 tonnes of sand and gravel	Size
---	------

Size of site: 16.86 ha

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
SA1: To adapt to and mitigate the effects of climate change by reducing contributions to climate change	The site is 3.5km from Cromer and 7.9km from Holt, which are the nearest towns.	++ Mineral extraction requires energy and therefore emits CO <sub>2</sub> . There would also be CO <sub>2</sub> emissions from road transportation to the nearest towns, but Cromer is less than 5km away.	<b>0</b> No contributions to climate change post extraction. Restoration would include woodland as a carbon 'sink'.
SA2: To improve air quality in line with the National Air Quality Standards	The site is not within an AQMA. As a proposed extension to an existing site, the number of vehicle movements is expected to remain the same but continue for a longer period.	<b>0</b> Vehicle movements are not proposed to increase during the extraction phase, so would be unlikely to affect air quality due to vehicle emissions.	0 No effect post restoration
SA3: To minimise noise, vibration and visual intrusion	The nearest residential property is 93m from the site boundary. There are eight sensitive receptors within 250m of the site boundary. The settlement of Beeston Regis is 624m away. The effect on visual intrusion is assessed under objective SA8.	 Sand and gravel extraction is not expected to cause vibration. It is considered that noise and dust can be mitigated to acceptable levels within 250m of the source; the greatest impacts will be within 100m, if uncontrolled. Noise and dust assessments, and mitigation measures to appropriately control any amenity impacts, must form part of any planning application for mineral extraction.	0 No effect post restoration
SA4: To improve accessibility to jobs, services and facilities and reduce social exclusion	Mineral extraction sites are unlikely to provide improved accessibility to services and facilities and reduce social exclusion. The effect on employment is assessed under objective SA13.	0 No effects expected during extraction	0 No effect post restoration

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
SA5: To maintain and enhance the character of the townscape and historic environment	The nearest Listed Building is Grade II Abbey Farmhouse, which is 1.37km away. There are 9 Listed Buildings within 2km of the site. The only Scheduled Monument within 2km of the site is Beeston Regis Priory, which is 1.18km away. There are four	<b>0</b> A Heritage Statement would be required to support any future planning application. The heritage statement should identify potential impacts to heritage assets and suggest appropriate mitigation.	<b>0</b> A mitigation strategy should ensure the historic value of assets is appropriately preserved. Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts on the setting of heritage assets.
	Conservation Areas within 2km of the site, they are Sheringham (1.85km away), West Runton (1.02km away), Beeston Regis (1.17km away) and Upper Sheringham (1.69km away).	No effects expected during extraction due to distance.	No effect post extraction.
	Felbrigg Hall, a Registered Historic Park is 1.76km from the site.	No effects expected during extraction	No effect post extraction
	There are no Historic Environment records within the site boundary. The site is in a wider landscape with a number of finds and features, most as a result of medieval iron working activity, and WW2 defences immediately to the north.	There is the potential that unknown archaeology exists on the site and an assessment of the significance of archaeological deposits will be required at the planning application stage, in order to protect and mitigate the impact of mineral extraction in this site.	No effect post extraction.
SA6: To protect and enhance Norfolk's biodiversity and geodiversity	The site is 0.65km from Sheringham and Beeston Regis Commons SSSI which is part of the Norfolk Valley Fens SAC.	<b>0</b> The proposed extraction site would be worked dry (above the water table) and is located in a different hydrological catchment to this SSSI. Therefore there would be no	<b>0</b> No adverse impacts to this SSSI and SAC are expected post extraction.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
SA Objective	Briton's Lane Gravel Pit SSSI is adjacent to the site boundary. Beeston Cliffs SSSI is 1.81km from the site boundary. Weybourne Cliffs SSSI is 2.86km from the site boundary. Felbrigg Woods SSSI is 1.43km from the site boundary. The nearest CWS is CWS 1147 'Roman Camp and Beeston Regis Heath' which is 230m from the site boundary. The nearest ancient woodland site is Great Wood, a PAWS and ASNW which is 1.71km from the site boundary. The site consists of the Briton's Lane sand and gravel member, overlying Wroxham Crag Formation-sand and gravel. The Briton's Lane sands and gravels are known to contain priority features such as palaesols and erratics in the adjacent existing quarry, and therefore they may occur on this	Assessment of Extraction Phase adverse impacts to the SSSI and SAC. There would be no adverse impacts to the geological SSSIs during extraction. The proposed extraction site would be worked dry (above the water table). Therefore there would be no adverse impacts to Felbrigg Woods SSSI. No adverse impacts on the CWS are expected due to the distance from the site and because the site would be worked dry. No adverse impacts on the ancient woodland are expected due to the distance from the site and because the site would be worked dry. The site contains geodiversity priority features.	Assessment Post ExtractionNo impacts to SSSIs are expected post extraction.No impacts to County Wildlife Sites are expected post extraction.No impacts to ancient woodland sites are expected post extraction.No impacts to ancient woodland sites are expected post extraction.No adverse impacts to geodiversity are expected post restoration. It would be useful for restoration to provide opportunities for further geological research of suitable exposures
SA7: To promote innovative solutions for the restoration and after use of minerals sites	site. The existing quarry is also the type- site for the Briton's Lane Formation. The site would be a steeply sided valley restored to dry acid heathland with some woodland / scrub natural regeneration on	<b>0</b> No effect during extraction phase	+ The proposed restoration scheme would provide some biodiversity, amenity and geodiversity gains.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	the upper slopes with re-established public rights of way.		
SA8: To protect and enhance the quality and distinctiveness of the countryside and landscape	The site is located within the Norfolk Coast AONB.	 The site is a gently sloping arable field on the south side of the Cromer Ridge, and is adjacent to an active mineral extraction site. The site is bounded by woodland except for a relatively small section of the eastern boundary. The southern boundary is along the A148, although views are screened by woodland. It is considered that development of this site would provide an opportunity to improve the working and restoration scheme for the adjacent site.	- Mineral extraction will result in landscape change due to the depth of the deposit and the sloping nature of the site and would be visible from the PRoWs, although it would be screened from most other viewpoints.
SA9: To contribute to improved health and amenity of local communities in Norfolk	There is a Public Right of Way adjacent to the western boundary of the site (Beeston Regis BR10). There is a PRoW running through the site (north to south) (Aylmerton FP2). There is a PRoW within the site (Aylmerton FP1). There is a PRoW crossing the NE corner of the site (Aylmerton FP3). The nearest residential property is 93m from the site boundary. There are eight sensitive receptors within 250m of the site boundary.	- Care would be needed to ensure that the impact on users of the PRoW and the nearby dwellings would not be significant. The PRoW that runs through the site would need to be subject to temporary diversion. It is considered that appropriate mitigation measures to ensure no unacceptable impacts could be conditioned.	+ Improvements to public access are proposed as part of the restoration of the site.
SA10: To protect and enhance water and soil quality in Norfolk	The site is located over a Secondary A aquifer (superficial deposits) and a principal aquifer	<b>0/-</b> The site would be worked dry (above the water table) and	<b>0/-</b> No effect on water resources is expected post extraction.
SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
---	---	--	--
	(bedrock). Part of the site is within groundwater Source Protection Zone 2. The rest of the site is not within a groundwater SPZ.	therefore no effect on water resources is expected.	
	The northern part of the site is classified as non- agricultural land. The southern part of the site is Grade 3 agricultural land and could potentially be Grade 3a which is classified within the Best and Most Versatile agricultural land.	Potential for BMV agricultural land to be affected by mineral extraction within the site.	The site would not be restored to agriculture, therefore there could be a permanent loss of BMV agricultural land.
SA11: To promote sustainable use of minerals resources	The site is 3.5km from Cromer and 7.9km from Holt, which are the nearest settlements allocated for significant growth in the adopted Local Plan.	++ Due to distance to nearest settlement allocated for significant growth.	0 No effect post extraction
SA12: To reduce the risk of current and future flooding at new and existing development	The site has a low probability of flooding from rivers. The site has a low risk of surface water flooding with one location of surface water pooling in a 1 in 30 year rainfall event, and two locations of surface water pooling in a 1 in 100 year rainfall event.	++ The site is at low risk of being affected by flooding from either rivers, the sea or surface water. Sand and gravel extraction is considered to be a 'water compatible' land use which is suitable in all flood zones.	<b>0</b> No effect post extraction / restoration.
SA13: To encourage employment opportunities and promote economic growth	Although employment levels at minerals sites tend to be low, if this site was worked it could offer continuing local employment opportunities. As with all potential minerals sites, it would contribute to economic growth in Norfolk by providing raw materials for the construction industry	+	0 No effect post restoration

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
Conclusion	in an area of low flood ris landscape, geodiversity, considered that these eff positive effects for biodive	rms of proximity to growth k. There are potential neg- agricultural land and amer ects could be appropriately ersity on restoration. Sand apacts as it provides raw m	ative effects on the hity; however, it is / mitigated. There could d and gravel extraction

# MIN 71 – land west of Norwich Road, Holt

Proposal: Extraction of 1,100,000 tonnes of sand and gravel

Size of site: 22.63 ha

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
SA1: To adapt to and mitigate the effects of climate change by reducing contributions to climate change	The site is 0.1km from Holt, which is the nearest towns.	++ Mineral extraction requires energy and therefore emits CO <sub>2</sub> . There would also be CO <sub>2</sub> emissions from road transportation to the nearest towns, but Holt is less than 1km away.	<b>0</b> No contributions to climate change post extraction. Restoration may include woodland as a carbon 'sink'.
SA2: To improve air quality in line with the National Air Quality Standards	The site is not within an AQMA. The site would be worked after the completion of an existing adjacent site, therefore the number of vehicle movements is expected to remain the same but continue for a longer period.	<b>0</b> Vehicle movements are not proposed to increase during the extraction phase, so would be unlikely to affect air quality due to vehicle emissions.	0 No effect post restoration
SA3: To minimise noise, vibration and visual intrusion	The nearest residential property is 11m from the site boundary. There are 82 sensitive receptors within 250m of the site boundary. Most of these properties are in the settlement of Holt, which is 26m away. The effect on visual intrusion is assessed under objective SA8.	 Sand and gravel extraction is not expected to cause vibration. It is considered that noise and dust can be mitigated to acceptable levels within 250m of the source; the greatest impacts will be within 100m, if uncontrolled. Noise and dust assessments, and mitigation measures to appropriately control any amenity impacts, must form part of any planning application for mineral extraction.	<b>0</b> No effect post restoration
SA4: To improve accessibility to jobs, services and facilities and reduce social exclusion	Mineral extraction sites are unlikely to provide improved accessibility to services and facilities and reduce social exclusion. The effect on employment is assessed under objective SA13.	0 No effects expected during extraction	<b>0</b> It is unlikely that enhanced public access would be provided within the site on restoration.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
SA5: To maintain and enhance the character of the townscape and historic environment	The nearest Listed Building is Bacon's House, which is 610m away. There are 142 Listed Buildings within 2km of the site. Over 100 of these are within the Holt Conservation Area, which is 460m from the site. The site is within the Glaven Valley Conservation Area. Letheringsett Conservation Area is	 A Heritage Statement would be required to support any future planning application. The heritage statement should identify potential impacts to heritage assets and suggest appropriate mitigation, which may include identification of areas where mineral extraction would be inappropriate.	 A mitigation strategy should ensure, the historic value of, assets is appropriately preserved. Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts on the setting of heritage assets.
	1.18km from the site. Hunworth Conservation Area is 1.93km from the site.	No effect expected during extraction	No effect post extraction.
	The only Scheduled Monument within 2km of the site is the 'Habitation site on Edgefield Heath' which is 900m away.	No effect expected during extraction.	No effect post extraction.
	There are no Registered Historic Parks and Gardens within 2km of the site.	No effects expected during extraction	No effect post extraction
	There are no Historic Environment records within the site boundary. The site is in a wider landscape with a number of finds and features, including a WW1 and WW2 military training site on Holt Lowes to the east.	There is the potential that unknown archaeology exists on the site and an assessment of the significance of archaeological deposits will be required at the planning application stage, in order to protect and mitigate the impact of mineral extraction in this site.	No effect post extraction
SA6: To protect and enhance Norfolk's biodiversity and geodiversity	The site is 0.62 km from Holt Lowes SSSI, which is part of the Norfolk Valley Fens SAC.	- In order for no adverse impacts on the SSSI, the site must be worked dry (above the water table), With	0 No impacts to the SSSI is expected post extraction.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	CWS 2006 'Spout Common' is 460m from the site boundary. CWS 2121 'Common Hills Plantation' is 220m from the site boundary. CWS 1093 'Disused railway' is 500m from the site boundary. CWS 1098 'Edgefield Heath' is 250m from the site boundary.	normal mitigation measures, no adverse effects on the SSSI are expected. If the site is worked above the water table, with normal mitigation measures, no adverse effects on the CWSs are expected.	No impacts to CWSs are expected post extraction.
	The nearest ancient woodland sites are Common Hill Wood, which is a PAWS and is 0.22km from the sites boundary, and Pereers Wood, a PAWS which is 0.88km from the site boundary.	There is the potential for impacts from dust deposition although with normal mitigation measures no adverse effects on these ancient woodland sites is expected. If the site is worked above the water table, with normal mitigation measures, no adverse effects on the ancient woodland sites is expected.	No impacts on the ancient woodland sites are expected post extraction
	The site consists of the Briton's Lane sand and gravel member, overlying Chalk formations. The Briton's Lane sands and gravels are known to contain priority features such as palaesols and erratics in other locations, and therefore they may occur on this site.	There is the potential for this site to contain geodiversity priority features.	No adverse impacts to geodiversity are expected post restoration. It would be useful for restoration to provide opportunities for further geological research of suitable exposures
SA7: To promote innovative solutions for the restoration and after use of minerals sites	No details on proposed restoration of the site have been provided, but it is assumed that the site will mainly be restored to agriculture.	<b>0</b> No effect during extraction phase	? No details of a proposed restoration scheme have been provided.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	The preferred restoration for the site would include deciduous woodland and acid grassland.		
SA8: To protect and enhance the quality and distinctiveness of the countryside and landscape	The site is located within the Glaven Valley Conservation Area. It is not within the AONB or a Core River Valley.	 The site is a single large arable field. There is an active mineral working to the south of the site. To the north are the outskirts of Holt, across the B1149. Advance planting and hedgerow reinforcement around the site would be required to improve screening potential. There are clear views of the northern part of the site from the Hunworth Road and the land to the west is visible in an open view from Thornage. There are a group of residential properties adjacent to the north- west boundary of the site and the site would require screening, in the form of hedge and woodland planting, and a standoff area from these properties for the site to be acceptable.	- Mineral extraction will result in landscape change which due to the open nature of the surrounding landscape would be visible from a variety of viewpoints. However, an appropriate mitigation strategy and restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts.
SA9: To contribute to improved health and amenity of local communities in Norfolk	There is a Public Right of Way adjacent to the northern boundary of the site (Holt RB22). The nearest residential property is 11m from the site boundary. There are 82 sensitive receptors within 250m of the site boundary.	- Care would be needed to ensure that the impact on users of the PRoW and the nearby dwellings would not be significant. However, it is considered that appropriate mitigation measures to ensure no unacceptable impacts could be conditioned.	<b>0</b> New public footpaths are unlikely to be provided within the site on restoration.
SA10: To protect and enhance water	The site is located over a Secondary A aquifer (superficial deposits)	<b>0/-</b> If the site is worked above the water table,	0/-

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
and soil quality in Norfolk	and a principal aquifer (bedrock). The majority of the site is within groundwater Source Protection Zone 3.	Extraction Phase with normal mitigation measures, no adverse effects on water resources are expected.	Post Extraction No effect on water resources is expected post extraction.
	The site is Grade 3 agricultural land and could potentially be Grade 3a which is classified within the Best and Most Versatile agricultural land.	Potential for BMV agricultural land to be affected by mineral extraction within the site.	If the site is not restored to agriculture, there could be a permanent loss of BMV agricultural land.
SA11: To promote sustainable use of minerals resources	The site is 0.1km from Holt. These is the nearest settlement allocated for significant growth in the adopted Local Plan.	++ Due to distance to nearest settlement allocated for significant growth.	0 No effect post extraction
SA12: To reduce the risk of current and future flooding at new and existing development	The site has a low probability of flooding from rivers. The site has a low probability of surface water flooding, with two small locations of surface water pooling in a 1 in 100 year rainfall event which expand in a 1 in 1000 year rainfall event.	++ The site is at low risk of being affected by flooding from either rivers, the sea or surface water. Sand and gravel extraction is considered to be a 'water compatible' land use which is suitable in all flood zones.	<b>0</b> No effect post extraction / restoration.
SA13: To encourage employment opportunities and promote economic growth	Although employment levels at minerals sites tend to be low, if this site was worked it could offer some local employment opportunities. As with all potential minerals sites, it would contribute to economic growth in Norfolk by providing raw materials for the construction industry	+	0 No effect post restoration
Conclusion	The site scores well in terms of proximity to growth locations and is located in an area of low flood risk. There are potential negative effects on the historic environment, landscape, biodiversity, geodiversity, water resources and amenity; however, it is considered that these effects could be appropriately mitigated. Sand and gravel extraction has positive economic impacts as it provides raw materials for the construction industry.		

# MIN 115 – land at Lord Anson's Wood, near North Walsham

Proposal: Extraction of 1,100,0	00 tonnes of sand and gravel
---------------------------------	------------------------------

Size of site: 16.88 ha

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
SA1: To adapt to and mitigate the effects of climate change by reducing contributions to climate change	The site is 1.1km from North Walsham and 5.9km from Aylsham, which are the nearest towns.	++ Mineral extraction requires energy and therefore emits CO <sub>2</sub> . There would also be CO <sub>2</sub> emissions from road transportation to the nearest towns, but North Walsham is less than 5km away.	<b>0</b> No contributions to climate change post extraction. Restoration could include woodland as a carbon 'sink'.
SA2: To improve air quality in line with the National Air Quality Standards	The site is not within an AQMA. As a proposed new extraction site, it may lead to an increase of 8 HGV movements per day.	- Due to increased HGV movements. However, the increased number of HGV movements due to mineral transport would not be significant compared to overall HGV transport.	0 No effect post restoration
SA3: To minimise noise, vibration and visual intrusion	The nearest residential property is 352m from the site boundary. The settlement of North Walsham is 926m away. The effect on visual intrusion is assessed under objective SA8.	<b>0</b> Sand and gravel extraction is not expected to cause vibration. It is considered that noise and dust can be mitigated to acceptable levels within 250m of the source. Noise and dust assessments, and mitigation measures to appropriately control any amenity impacts, must form part of any planning application for mineral extraction.	0 No effect post restoration
SA4: To improve accessibility to jobs, services and facilities and reduce social exclusion	Mineral extraction sites are unlikely to provide improved accessibility to services and facilities and reduce social exclusion. The effect on employment is assessed under objective SA13.	0 No effects expected during extraction	<b>0</b> It is unlikely that enhanced public access would be provided within the site on restoration.
SA5: To maintain and enhance the character of the townscape and	The nearest Listed Building is the Grade II Thatched cottage which is 810m away. There	<b>0</b> A Heritage Statement would be required to support any future	- A mitigation strategy should ensure the historic value of assets

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
historic environment	are 11 Listed Buildings within 2km of the site. The nearest Scheduled Monument is 'Cross 300m NW of Tollbar Cottages', which is 850m from the site. There are three Scheduled Monuments within 2km of the site.	planning application. The heritage statement should identify potential impacts to heritage assets and suggest appropriate mitigation. No effects expected during extraction	is appropriately preserved. Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts on the setting of heritage assets.
	North Walsham Conservation Area is 1.97km from the site.	No effect expected during extraction.	No effect post extraction
	There are no Registered Historic Parks and Gardens within 2km of the site.	No effects expected during extraction	No effect post extraction
	The site contains a HE record for a WW2 aircraft crash site; no other HE records are noted. The site is in a wider landscape with a number of finds and features with medieval iron working activity, and a battlefield site immediately to the east.	There is the potential that unknown archaeology exists on the site and an assessment of the significance of archaeological deposits will be required at the planning application stage, in order to protect and mitigate the impact of mineral extraction in this site.	No effect post extraction
SA6: To protect and enhance Norfolk's biodiversity and	The site is more than 5km from any SPA, SAC or Ramsar site.	- No impacts on SPAs, SACs or Ramsar sites are expected.	<b>0</b> No impacts on SPAs, SACs or Ramsar sites are expected.
geodiversity	Bryant's Heath, Felmingham SSSI is 0.7km from the site boundary. Westwick Lakes SSSI is 0.45km from the site boundary.	The proposed extraction site would be worked dry (above the water table). Therefore there would be no adverse impacts to SSSIs.	No impacts to SSSIs are expected post extraction.
	CWS 1170 'Lord Anson's Wood' is adjacent to the site boundary. CWS 1171 'North Walsham Wood'	There is the potential for impacts from dust deposition although with normal mitigation measures no adverse	No impacts to CWSs are expected post extraction.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	is 330m from the site boundary and CWS 1172 'Weaver's Way' is 450m from the site boundary.	effects on these CWSs is expected. If the site is worked above the water table, with normal mitigation measures, no adverse effects on the CWSs are expected.	
	There are no ancient woodland sites within 3km of the site.	No impacts on ancient woodland are expected.	No impacts on ancient woodland are expected.
	This site consists of the Briton's Lane sand and gravel member, overlying Wroxham Crag Formation-sand and gravel. The Briton's Lane sands and gravels are known to contain priority features such as palaesols and erratics in other locations, and therefore they may occur on this site.	There is the potential for this site to contain examples of geodiversity priority features.	No adverse impacts to geodiversity are expected post restoration. It would be useful for restoration to provide opportunities for further geological research of suitable exposures
SA7: To promote innovative solutions for the restoration and after use of minerals sites	No details on proposed restoration of the site have been provided. The preferred restoration for the site would be a mix of deciduous woodland and heathland.	<b>0</b> No effect during extraction phase	<b>?</b> No details of a proposed restoration scheme have been provided.
SA8: To protect and enhance the quality and distinctiveness of the countryside and landscape	The site is not located within the AONB, a Core River Valley or any other designated landscape feature.	- The site is an area of largely coniferous woodland, although there is some scrubby regrowth. Surrounding the site is an area of predominately broadleaved woodland, and the site is within a wider Parkland setting. The retention of woodland buffer zones would form a key requirement for this site to be satisfactory in	<b>0</b> Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate mitigation strategy and restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
		landscape terms and visual impact terms.	
SA9: To contribute to improved health and amenity of local communities in Norfolk	There are no Public Rights of Way within or adjacent to the site. There is a PROW close to the northern boundary of the site (north Walsham FP9). The nearest residential property is 352m from the site boundary.	<b>0</b> There is unlikely to be a significant impact on health or amenity from mineral extraction within the site.	<b>0</b> New public footpaths are unlikely to be provided within the site on restoration.
SA10: To protect and enhance water and soil quality in Norfolk	The site is located over a Secondary A aquifer (superficial deposits) and a principal aquifer (bedrock). However, there are no groundwater Source Protection Zones within the proposed site.	<b>0</b> The site would be worked dry (above the water table) and therefore no effect on water resources is expected.	<b>0</b> No effect on water resources post extraction.
	The site is classified as non-agricultural land.	No impacts on BMV agricultural soils.	No impacts on BMV agricultural soils.
SA11: To promote sustainable use of minerals resources	The site is 1.1km from North Walsham and 5.9km from Aylsham. These are the nearest settlements allocated for significant growth in the adopted Local Plan.	++ Due to distance to nearest settlement allocated for significant growth.	0 No effect post extraction
SA12: To reduce the risk of current and future flooding at new and existing development	The site has a low probability of flooding from rivers. The site has a low probability of surface water flooding with one very small location of surface water pooling in a 1 in 1000 year rainfall event.	++ The site is at low risk of being affected by flooding from either river, the sea or surface water. Sand and gravel extraction is considered to be a 'water compatible' land use which is suitable in all flood zones.	<b>0</b> No effect post extraction / restoration.
SA13: To encourage employment opportunities and promote economic growth	Although employment levels at minerals sites tend to be low, if this site was worked it could offer some local employment opportunities. As with all potential minerals sites, it would contribute to economic growth in	+	0 No effect post restoration

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
	Norfolk by providing		
	raw materials for the		
	construction industry		
Conclusion	The site scores well in terms of proximity to growth locations and is located		
	in an area of low flood risk. There are potential negative effects on the		
	historic environment, geodiversity, landscape and amenity; however, it is		
	considered that these effects could be appropriately mitigated. Sand and		
	gravel extraction has positive economic impacts as it provides raw materials		
	for the construction indus	stry.	

# MIN 207 – land at Pinkney Field, Briston

Proposal: Extraction of 725,000 tonnes of sand and gravel

Size of site: 12.5 ha

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
SA1: To adapt to and mitigate the effects of climate change by reducing contributions to climate change	The site is 3.7km from Holt, which is the nearest town.	++ Mineral extraction requires energy and therefore emits CO <sub>2</sub> . There would also be CO <sub>2</sub> emissions from road transportation to the nearest towns, but Holt is less than 5km away.	<b>0</b> No contributions to climate change post extraction. Restoration would not include woodland as a carbon 'sink'.
SA2: To improve air quality in line with the National Air Quality Standards	The site is not within an AQMA. As a proposed extension to an existing site, the number of vehicle movements is expected to remain the same but continue for a longer period.	<b>0</b> Vehicle movements are not proposed to increase during the extraction phase, so would be unlikely to affect air quality due to vehicle emissions.	0 No effect post restoration
SA3: To minimise noise, vibration and visual intrusion	The nearest residential property is 280m from the site boundary. The settlement of Hunworth is 692m away. The effect on visual intrusion is assessed under objective SA8.	<b>0</b> Sand and gravel extraction is not expected to cause vibration. It is considered that noise and dust can be mitigated to acceptable levels within 250m of the source. Noise and dust assessments, and mitigation measures to appropriately control any amenity impacts, must form part of any planning application for mineral extraction.	0 No effect post restoration
SA4: To improve accessibility to jobs, services and facilities and reduce social exclusion	Mineral extraction sites are unlikely to provide improved accessibility to services and facilities and reduce social exclusion. The effect on employment is assessed under objective SA13.	<b>0</b> No effects expected during extraction	<b>0</b> It is unlikely that enhanced public access would be provided within the site on restoration.
SA5: To maintain and enhance the character of the townscape and	The nearest Listed Building is the Grade II* 'Remains of the church of St Peter and St Paul' which is 750m away.	 A Heritage Statement would be required to support any future planning application.	- A mitigation strategy should ensure the historic value of assets is appropriately

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
historic environment	There are 36 Listed Buildings within 2km of the site. 13 of these are within the Hunworth Conservation Area, which is 0.73km from the site. The site is within the Glaven Valley Conservation Area. The site is 1.59km from Edgefield Conservation Area.	The heritage statement should identify potential impacts to heritage assets and suggest appropriate mitigation, which may include identification of areas where mineral extraction would be inappropriate.	preserved. Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts on the setting of heritage assets.
	There are 2 Scheduled Monuments within 2km of the site. The nearest Scheduled Monument is 'Castle Hill medieval ringwork, Hunworth', which is 0.88km away.	No effects expected during extraction	No effect post extraction.
	There are no Registered Historic Parks and Gardens within 2km of the site.	No effects expected during extraction	No effect post extraction
	There are no Historic Environment records within the site boundary. The site immediately to the west has been investigated and no finds or features were identified. There are isolated multi-period finds in the wider landscape.	There is the potential that unknown archaeology exists on the site and an assessment of the significance of archaeological deposits will be required at the planning application stage, in order to protect and mitigate the impact of mineral extraction in this site.	No effect post extraction
SA6: To protect and enhance Norfolk's biodiversity and geodiversity	The site is 2.57km from Holt Lowes SSSI which is part of the Norfolk Valley Fens SAC.	<b>0</b> The proposed extraction site would be worked dry (above the water table) and is located up-gradient of the SSSI. Therefore there would be no adverse impacts to the SSSI or SAC.	<b>0</b> No impacts on SPAs, SACs, Ramsar sites or SSSIs are expected.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	There are no County Wildlife Site within 1km of the site boundary.	Due to distance, no impacts on County Wildlife Sites are expected.	No impacts to County Wildlife Sites are expected post extraction.
	The nearest ancient woodland site is Lowes Farm Wood, a PAWS, which is 1.27km from the site boundary.	No adverse impacts on the ancient woodland are expected due to the distance from the site and because the site would be worked dry.	No impacts to ancient woodland sites are expected post extraction.
	The site consists of the Briton's Lane sand and gravel member, Lowestoft Formation - diamicton, overlying Chalk Formations. The Briton's Lane sands and gravels are known to contain priority features such as palaesols and erratics in other locations, and therefore they may occur on this site.	There is the potential for this site to contain examples of geodiversity priority features.	No adverse impacts to geodiversity are expected post restoration. It would be useful for restoration to provide opportunities for further geological research of suitable exposures.
SA7: To promote innovative solutions for the restoration and after use of minerals sites	Two alternative restoration options have been proposed: either the site would become an agricultural reservoir, or it would be restored to farmland / woodland.	<b>0</b> No effect during extraction phase	+ Restoration to an agricultural reservoir would be beneficial because it would reduce the need for water abstraction for irrigation. The alternative restoration scheme to agriculture, if it includes wide field margins, and some woodland would provide some biodiversity gains.
SA8: To protect and enhance the quality and distinctiveness of the countryside and landscape	The site is within the Glaven Valley Conservation Area. The site is not located within the AONB or a Core River Valley.	 The western boundary of the site is adjacent to the existing mineral extraction site, which is being restored to agricultural reservoirs. Woodland borders part of the northern boundary and screens the site from Hunworth.	- Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate mitigation strategy and restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
		Extraction Phase The eastern and southern boundaries border agricultural fields; however the rolling nature of the landscape, together with isolated woodland copses and hedgerows aid with screening from the Hunworth Road and the Edgefield Road, such that there are few very limited views of the site.	Post Extraction
SA9: To contribute to improved health and amenity of local communities in Norfolk	There are no Public Rights of Way within or adjacent to the site. The nearest residential property is 280m from the site boundary.	<b>0</b> There is unlikely to be a significant impact on health or amenity from mineral extraction within the site.	<b>0</b> New public footpaths are unlikely to be provided within the site on restoration.
SA10: To protect and enhance water and soil quality in Norfolk	The site is partially located over a Secondary A aquifer and partially over a Secondary (undifferentiated) aquifer (superficial deposits). The site is also located over a principal aquifer (bedrock). However, there are no groundwater SPZs within the proposed site.	<b>0/-</b> The site would be worked dry (above the water table) and therefore no effect on water resources is expected.	<b>0/-</b> No effect on water resources is expected post extraction.
	The site is Grade 3 agricultural land and could potentially be Grade 3a which is classified within the Best and Most Versatile agricultural land.	Potential for BMV agricultural land to be affected by mineral extraction within the site.	restored to agriculture with woodland, or may be restored to an agricultural reservoir. Therefore there is the potential for a permanent loss of BMV agricultural land.
SA11: To promote sustainable use of minerals resources	The site is 3.7km from Holt. This is the nearest settlement allocated for significant growth in the adopted Local Plan.	++ Due to distance to nearest settlement allocated for significant growth.	0 No effect post extraction
SA12: To reduce the risk of current and future flooding	The site has a low probability of flooding from rivers. No areas	++ The site is at low risk of being affected by	<b>0</b> No effect post extraction / restoration.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
at new and existing development	of the site are at risk of surface water flooding.	flooding from either rivers, the sea or surface water. Sand and gravel extraction is considered to be a 'water compatible' land use which is suitable in all flood zones.	
SA13: To encourage employment opportunities and promote economic growth	Although employment levels at minerals sites tend to be low, if this site was worked it could offer continuing local employment opportunities. As with all potential minerals sites, it would contribute to economic growth in Norfolk by providing raw materials for the construction industry	+	0 No effect post restoration
Conclusion	The site scores well in terms of proximity to growth locations and is located in an area of low flood risk. There are potential negative effects on the historic environment, landscape, amenity and agricultural land; however, it is considered that these effects could be appropriately mitigated. There could positive effects for biodiversity or agriculture on restoration. Sand and gravel extraction has positive economic impacts as it provides raw materials for the construction industry.		

# MIN 208 – land south of Holt Road, East Beckham

Size of site: 16.56 ha

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
SA1: To adapt to and mitigate the effects of climate change by reducing contributions to climate change	The site is 5.5km from Cromer and 5.9km from Holt, which are the nearest towns.	<ul> <li>Mineral extraction requires energy and therefore emits CO<sub>2</sub>.</li> <li>There would also be CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from road transportation to the nearest towns, but Cromer and Holt are less than 10km away.</li> </ul>	<b>0</b> No contributions to climate change post extraction. Restoration would include woodland as a carbon 'sink'.
SA2: To improve air quality in line with the National Air Quality Standards	The site is not within an AQMA. As a proposed extension to an existing site, the number of vehicle movements is expected to remain the same but continue for a longer period.	<b>0</b> Vehicle movements are not proposed to increase during the extraction phase, so would be unlikely to affect air quality due to vehicle emissions.	0 No effect post restoration
SA3: To minimise noise, vibration and visual intrusion	The nearest residential property is 197m from the site boundary. There are two sensitive receptors within 250m of the site boundary. The settlement of East Beckham is 560m away. The effect on visual intrusion is assessed under objective SA8.	- Sand and gravel extraction is not expected to cause vibration. It is considered that noise and dust can be mitigated to acceptable levels within 250m of the source; the greatest impacts will be within 100m, if uncontrolled. Noise and dust assessments, and mitigation measures to appropriately control any amenity impacts, must form part of any planning application for mineral extraction.	<b>0</b> No effect post restoration
SA4: To improve accessibility to jobs, services and facilities and reduce social exclusion	Mineral extraction sites are unlikely to provide improved accessibility to services and facilities and reduce social exclusion. The effect on employment is assessed under objective SA13.	0 No effects expected during extraction	<b>0</b> It is unlikely that enhanced public access would be provided within the site on restoration.
SA5: To maintain and enhance the	The nearest Listed Building is Grade II Hall	-	-

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
character of the townscape and historic environment	Farmhouse which is 270m away. There are 14 Listed buildings within 2km of the site. 9 of these are within the Upper Sheringham Conservation Area which is 250m from the site. The only Scheduled Monument within 2km	A Heritage Statement would be required to support any future planning application. The heritage statement should identify potential impacts to heritage assets and suggest appropriate mitigation, which may include identification of areas where mineral extraction would be	A mitigation strategy should ensure the historic value of assets is appropriately preserved. Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts on the setting of heritage assets.
	of the site is the 'Oval barrow and bowl barrow known as Howe's Hill' which is 1.6km away.	inappropriate. No effects expected during extraction.	No effect post extraction.
	Sheringham Hall, a Registered Historic Park is 1.02km from the site.	No effects expected during extraction	No effect post extraction
	There are Historic Environment records of prehistoric flint finds and a medieval hollow way within the site boundary. The site is in a wider landscape with a significant number of finds and features from multiple periods.	There is the potential that unknown archaeology exists on the site and an assessment of the significance of archaeological deposits will be required at the planning application stage, in order to protect and mitigate the impact of mineral extraction in this site.	No effect post extraction
SA6: To protect and enhance Norfolk's biodiversity and geodiversity	The site is 1.45km from Sheringham and Beeston Regis Commons SSSI, which is part of the Norfolk Valley Fens SAC.	- The proposed extraction site would be worked dry (above the water table) and is located in a different hydrological catchment to the SSSI and SAC. Therefore there would be no adverse impacts on the SSSI and SAC.	<b>0</b> No adverse effects on this SSSI and SAC are expected post extraction.
	Weybourne Cliffs SSSI is 2.64km from the site.	There would be no adverse effects on this geological SSSI during extraction.	No effects are expected post extraction.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	CWS 1146 'Pretty Corner and the Plains' is 400m from the site boundary. CWS 2077 'Sheringham Old Wood' is 480m from the site boundary and CWS 1145 'Gibbet and Marlpit Plantations' is 270m from the site boundary.	Due to the distance from the County Wildlife Sites there would be no impacts from dust deposition. The proposed extraction site would be worked dry and therefore the CWSs would not be adversely affected.	No adverse effects on County Wildlife Sites are expected post extraction.
	The nearest ancient woodland site is a PAWS and ASNW (unnamed) in Upper Sheringham, which is 1.05km from the site boundary.	No adverse impacts on the ancient woodland are expected due to the distance from the site and because the site is would be worked dry.	No effects on ancient woodland sites are expected post extraction.
	The site consists of Head deposits-clay, silt, sand & gravel which are priority features due to their method of formation, Briton's Lane sand and gravel member, overlying Wroxham Crag Formation-sand and gravel. The Briton's Lane sands and gravels are known to contain priority features such as palaesols and erratics in other locations, and therefore they may occur on this site.	The site contains examples of geodiversity priority features.	No adverse impacts to geodiversity are expected post restoration. It would be useful for restoration to provide opportunities for further geological research of suitable exposures.
SA7: To promote innovative solutions for the restoration and after use of minerals sites	The site is proposed to be restored to a mosaic of native woodland, scrub, acid grasslands and exposed faces.	<b>0</b> No effect during extraction phase	+ The proposed restoration scheme would provide some biodiversity and geodiversity gains.
SA8: To protect and enhance the quality and distinctiveness of the countryside and landscape	The site is not located within the AONB, a Core River Valley or any other designated landscape feature. It is approximately 210m	- The site is currently an agricultural field, and part of the field contains a solar farm. The site is a south- western extension to	- Mineral extraction will result in landscape change which due to the sloping nature of the site would be visible from a variety of

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	from the boundary of the AONB.	an active mineral working. It is a southerly sloping site adjacent to a solar farm to the west. The site is well screened from public roads, although a long view can be seen from the A149 to the north and from Sheringham Road and The Street, West Beckham to the west. The Public Right of Way on the southern boundary of the site has some views. The site is generally well screened but this will require reinforcement to mitigate any landscape impacts.	viewpoints; however, an appropriate mitigation strategy and restoration scheme would minimise the impact.
SA9: To contribute to improved health and amenity of local communities in Norfolk	There is a Public Right of Way adjacent to the southern boundary of the site (East Beckham FP2). The nearest residential property is 197m from the site boundary. There are two sensitive receptors within 250m of the site boundary.	- Care would be needed to ensure that the impact on users of the PRoW and the nearby dwellings would not be significant. However, it is considered that appropriate mitigation measures to ensure no unacceptable impacts could be conditioned.	<b>0</b> New public footpaths are unlikely to be provided within the site on restoration.
SA10: To protect and enhance water and soil quality in Norfolk	The site is partially located over a Secondary A aquifer and partially over a Secondary (undifferentiated) aquifer (superficial deposits). The site is also located over a principal aquifer (bedrock). The site is within groundwater Source Protection Zone 2.	<b>0/-</b> The site would be worked dry (above the water table) and therefore no effect on water resources is expected.	<b>0/-</b> No effect on water resources is expected post extraction.
	The site is Grade 3 agricultural land and could potentially be	Potential for BMV agricultural land to be affected by mineral	The site is proposed to be restored to nature conservation instead of

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
	Grade 3a which is classified within the Best and Most Versatile agricultural land.	extraction within the site.	agriculture, therefore there could be a permanent loss of BMV agricultural land.
SA11: To promote sustainable use of minerals resources	The site is 5.5km from Cromer and 5.9km from Holt. These are the nearest settlements allocated for significant growth in the adopted Local Plan.	+ Due to distance to nearest settlement allocated for significant growth.	<b>0</b> No effect post extraction
SA12: To reduce the risk of current and future flooding at new and existing development	The site has a low probability of flooding from rivers. The site has a low probability of surface water flooding, with two areas of surface water pooling in a 1 in 1000 year rainfall event.	++ The site is at low risk of being affected by flooding from either rivers, the sea or surface water. Sand and gravel extraction is considered to be a 'water compatible' land use which is suitable in all flood zones.	<b>0</b> No effect post extraction / restoration.
SA13: To encourage employment opportunities and promote economic growth	Although employment levels at minerals sites tend to be low, if this site was worked it could offer continuing local employment opportunities. As with all potential minerals sites, it would contribute to economic growth in Norfolk by providing raw materials for the construction industry.	+	<b>0</b> No effect post restoration
Conclusion	The site scores well in ter in an area of low flood ris historic environment, geo however, it is considered There could positive effect	rms of proximity to growth k. There are potential nega diversity, landscape, agric that these effects could be cts for biodiversity on resto conomic impacts as it provi	ative effects on the ultural land and amenity; e appropriately mitigated. rration. Sand and gravel

## South Norfolk sites

### MIN 209 – land adjacent to the A143, Earsham (Extension Area 1)

**Proposal:** Extraction of 435,000 tonnes of sand and gravel

Size of site: 5.58 ha

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
SA1: To adapt to and mitigate the effects of climate change by reducing contributions to climate change	The site is 8.2km from Harleston, which is the nearest town.	<ul> <li>Mineral extraction requires energy and therefore emits CO<sub>2</sub>. There would also be CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from road transportation to the nearest towns, but Harleston is less than 10km away.</li> </ul>	<b>0</b> No contributions to climate change post extraction. Restoration would not include woodland as a carbon 'sink'.
SA2: To improve air quality in line with the National Air Quality Standards	The site is not within an AQMA. As a proposed extension to an existing site, the number of vehicle movements is expected to remain the same but continue for a longer period.	<b>0</b> Vehicle movements are not proposed to increase during the extraction phase, so would be unlikely to affect air quality due to vehicle emissions.	0 No effect post restoration
SA3: To minimise noise, vibration and visual intrusion	The nearest residential property is 118m from the site boundary. There are 58 sensitive receptors within 250m of the site boundary. Most of these are in the settlement of Earsham, which is 118m away. The effect on visual intrusion is assessed under objective SA8.	- Sand and gravel extraction is not expected to cause vibration. It is considered that noise and dust can be mitigated to acceptable levels within 250m of the source; the greatest impacts will be within 100m, if uncontrolled. Noise and dust assessments, and mitigation measures to appropriately control any amenity impacts, must form part of any planning application for mineral extraction.	0 No effect post restoration
SA4: To improve accessibility to jobs, services and facilities and reduce social exclusion	Mineral extraction sites are unlikely to provide improved accessibility to services and facilities and reduce social exclusion. The effect on employment is assessed under objective SA13.	0 No effects expected during extraction	<b>0</b> It is unlikely that enhanced public access would be provided within the site on restoration.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
SA5: To maintain and enhance the character of the townscape and historic environment	The nearest Listed Building is Grade II 38 and 39 Hall Road which is 110m away. There are 183 Listed Buildings within 2km of the site. 152 of these are within the Bungay Conservation Area, which is 1.46km from the site. The nearest Scheduled Monument is Bungay Castle which is 1.66km away. There are 3 Scheduled Monuments	 A Heritage Statement would be required to support any future planning application. The heritage statement should identify potential impacts to heritage assets and suggest appropriate mitigation, which may include identification of areas where mineral extraction would be inappropriate. No effects expected during extraction.	 A mitigation strategy should ensure, the historic value of assets is appropriately preserved. Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts on the setting of heritage assets. No effect post extraction.
	within 2km of the site. There are no Registered Historic Parks and Gardens within 2km of the site. A Historic Environment record of features related to historic roadways occurs within the site boundary. The site is in a wider landscape with a significant number of finds and features from multiple periods, including Roman features.	No effects expected during extraction There is the potential that unknown archaeology exists on the site and an assessment of the significance of archaeological deposits will be required at the planning application stage, in order to protect and mitigate the impact of mineral extraction in this site.	No effect post extraction No effect post extraction
SA6: To protect and enhance Norfolk's biodiversity and geodiversity	The site is more than 5km from any SPA, SAC or Ramsar site. Abbey Wood, Flixton SSSI is 2.58km from the site boundary.	- No impacts on SPAs, SACs or Ramsar sites are expected. There would be no adverse impacts to the SSSI due to distance and because the proposed extraction site is located in a different hydrological catchment to the SSSI.	0 No impacts on SPAs, SACs or Ramsar sites are expected. No impacts on SSSIs are expected post extraction.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	The nearest CWS is CWS 125 'Holy Grove' which is 750m from the site boundary.	Due to the distance from the site no adverse impacts are expected on the CWS.	No impacts to CWSs are expected post extraction.
	The nearest ancient woodland site is Holy Grove, a ASNW which is 0.82km from the site boundary.	Due to the distance from the site, no adverse impacts are expected on the ancient woodland.	No impacts to the ancient woodland site is expected post extraction. No adverse impacts to
	The site consists of Lowestoft Formation- river terrace deposits (sand and gravel); which are geodiversity priority features; overlying the Crag group. There is significant potential for vertebrate fossils within the Crag Group.	The site contains examples of geodiversity priority features.	geodiversity are expected post restoration. It would be useful for restoration to provide opportunities for further geological research of suitable exposures.
SA7: To promote innovative solutions for the restoration and after use of minerals sites	The site is proposed to be restored to wet grassland with landscaping, ponds/ scrape and geological exposure, all to a nature conservation afteruse.	<b>0</b> No effect during extraction phase	+ The proposed restoration scheme would provide some biodiversity and geodiversity gains.
SA8: To protect and enhance the quality and distinctiveness of the countryside and landscape	The site is not located within the AONB, a Core River Valley or any other designated landscape feature.	- The site is an arable field to the south of residential properties, currently bounded by a low post and rail fence and intermittent trees to the south east along the A143. The northern boundary is open to Hall Road and enclosed with vegetation and trees along the boundary of residential properties. The impact of the proposed mineral working on the wider landscape would predominantly be the decreased long distance views and	<b>0</b> Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate mitigation strategy and restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
		increased roadside vegetation due to the proposed bunding and advanced planting to screen the mineral working from view.	
SA9: To contribute to improved health and amenity of local communities in Norfolk	There are no Public Rights of Way within or adjacent to the site. The nearest residential property is 118m from the site boundary. There are 58 sensitive receptors within 250m of the site boundary	- Care would be needed to ensure that the impact on nearby dwellings would not be significant; however it is considered that appropriate mitigation measures to ensure no unacceptable impacts could be conditioned.	<b>0</b> New public footpaths are unlikely to be provided within the site on restoration.
SA10: To protect and enhance water and soil quality in Norfolk	The site is located over a Secondary A aquifer (superficial deposits) and a principal aquifer (bedrock). However, there are no groundwater Source Protection Zones within the proposed site.	-/- If the site is dewatered as part of the extraction the potential for adverse impacts exists, although appropriate assessment and mitigation measures could ensure that no unacceptable impacts occur.	<b>0/-</b> No effect on water resources is expected post extraction.
	The site is Grade 3 agricultural land and could potentially be Grade 3a which is classified within the Best and Most Versatile agricultural land.	Potential for BMV agricultural land to be affected by mineral extraction within the site.	The site is proposed to be restored to nature conservation instead of agriculture, therefore there could be a permanent loss of BMV agricultural land.
SA11: To promote sustainable use of minerals resources	The site is 8.2km from Harleston. This is the nearest settlement allocated for significant growth in the adopted Local Plan.	+ Due to distance to nearest settlement allocated for significant growth.	0 No effect post extraction
SA12: To reduce the risk of current and future flooding at new and existing development	The site has a low probability of flooding from rivers within the district council SFRA. The site has a low risk of surface water flooding with one location of surface water pooling in a 1 in 30, 1 in 100 and 1 in	++ The site is at low risk of being affected by flooding from either rivers, the sea or surface water. Sand and gravel extraction is considered to be a 'water compatible' land use	<b>0</b> No effect post extraction / restoration.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
	1000 year rainfall	which is suitable in all	
	event.	flood zones.	
SA13: To	Although employment	+	0
encourage	levels at minerals sites		No effect post
employment	tend to be low, if this		restoration
opportunities and	site was worked it could		
promote economic	offer continuing local		
growth	employment		
	opportunities. As with		
	all potential minerals		
	sites, it would contribute		
	to economic growth in		
	Norfolk by providing		
	raw materials for the		
	construction industry		
Conclusion		ms of proximity to growth	
		k. There are potential nega	
	historic environment, landscape, geodiversity, water resources, agricultural		
	land and amenity; however, it is considered that these effects could be		
	appropriately mitigated. There could positive effects for biodiversity on		
	restoration. Sand and gravel extraction has positive economic impacts as it		
	provides raw materials to	r the construction industry	

# MIN 210 – land adjacent to the A143, Earsham (Extension Area 2)

Proposal: Extraction of 750,000 tonnes of sand and gravel

Size of site: 7.65 ha

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
SA1: To adapt to and mitigate the effects of climate change by reducing contributions to climate change	The site is 7.4km from Harleston, which is the nearest town.	<ul> <li>Mineral extraction requires energy and therefore emits CO<sub>2</sub>. There would also be CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from road transportation to the nearest towns, but Harleston is less than 10km away.</li> </ul>	<b>0</b> No contributions to climate change post extraction. Restoration would not include woodland as a carbon 'sink'.
SA2: To improve air quality in line with the National Air Quality Standards	The site is not within an AQMA. As a proposed extension to an existing site, the number of vehicle movements is expected to remain the same but continue for a longer period.	<b>0</b> Vehicle movements are not proposed to increase during the extraction phase, so would be unlikely to affect air quality due to vehicle emissions.	0 No effect post restoration
SA3: To minimise noise, vibration and visual intrusion	The nearest residential property is 102m from the site boundary. There are four sensitive receptors within 250m of the site boundary. The settlement of Earsham is 392m away. The effect on visual intrusion is assessed under objective SA8.	- Sand and gravel extraction is not expected to cause vibration. It is considered that noise and dust can be mitigated to acceptable levels within 250m of the source; the greatest impacts will be within 100m, if uncontrolled. Noise and dust assessments, and mitigation measures to appropriately control any amenity impacts, must form part of any planning application for mineral extraction.	0 No effect post restoration
SA4: To improve accessibility to jobs, services and facilities and reduce social exclusion	Mineral extraction sites are unlikely to provide improved accessibility to services and facilities and reduce social exclusion. The effect on employment is assessed under objective SA13.	0 No effects expected during extraction	<b>0</b> It is unlikely that enhanced public access would be provided within the site on restoration.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
SA5: To maintain and enhance the character of the townscape and historic environment	The nearest Listed Building is the Grade II River Farmhouse which is 220m away. There are 44 Listed Buildings within 2km of the site. 7 of them are within Bungay Conservation Area which is 1.84km from the site. The nearest Scheduled Monument is the Moated site of Flixton Priory which is 1.84km away. There are 2	 A Heritage Statement would be required to support any future planning application. The heritage statement should identify potential impacts to heritage assets and suggest appropriate mitigation, which may include identification of areas where mineral extraction would be inappropriate.	 A mitigation strategy should ensure the historic value of assets is appropriately preserved. Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts on the setting of heritage assets.
	Scheduled Monuments within 2km of the site. There are no Registered Historic Parks and Gardens within 2km of the site.	No effects expected during extraction. No effects expected during extraction	No effect post restoration. No effect post extraction
	There are no Historic Environment records within the site boundary. The site is in a wider landscape with a significant number of finds and features from multiple periods, including a WW1 airfield site, and a WW2 roadside bomb store.	There is the potential that unknown archaeology exists on the site and an assessment of the significance of archaeological deposits will be required at the planning application stage, in order to protect and mitigate the impact of mineral extraction in this site.	No effect post extraction
SA6: To protect and enhance Norfolk's biodiversity and geodiversity	The site is more than 5km from any SPA, SAC or Ramsar site. Abbey Wood, Flixton SSSI is 1.96km from	- No impacts on SPAs, SACs or Ramsar sites are expected. There would be no adverse impacts to the	0 No impacts on SPAs, SACs or Ramsar sites are expected. No impacts on SSSIs are expected.
	the site boundary.	SSI due to distance and because the proposed extraction site is located in a different hydrological catchment to the SSSI.	

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	The nearest CWS is CWS 125 'Holy Grove' which is 930m from the site boundary.	Due to the distance from the site no adverse impacts on the CWS are expected.	No impacts on CWS are expected.
	The nearest ancient woodland site is Holy Grove, a ASNW which is 0.96km from the site boundary.	Due to the distance from the site, no adverse impacts on the ancient woodland are expected.	No impacts on ancient woodland sites are expected post extraction.
	The site consists of Lowestoft Formation- river terrace deposits (sand and gravel); which are geodiversity priority features; overlying the Crag group. There is significant potential for vertebrate fossils within the Crag Group.	The site contains examples of geodiversity priority features.	No adverse impacts to geodiversity are expected post restoration. It would be useful for restoration to provide opportunities for further geological research of suitable exposures.
SA7: To promote innovative solutions for the restoration and after use of minerals sites	The site is proposed to be restored to wet grassland with landscaping, ponds/ scrape and geological exposure, all to a nature conservation afteruse.	<b>0</b> No effect during extraction phase	+ The proposed restoration scheme would provide some biodiversity and geodiversity gains.
SA8: To protect and enhance the quality and distinctiveness of the countryside and landscape	The site is not located within the AONB, a Core River Valley or any other designated landscape feature.	- The site is a long narrow field bounded by a road on each side. Along the A143 the site is raised slightly from the road level to the south. The impact of the proposed mineral working on the wider landscape would predominantly be the decreased long distance views and increased roadside vegetation due to the proposed bunding and advanced planting to screen the mineral working from view.	<b>0</b> Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate mitigation strategy and restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
SA9: To contribute to improved health and amenity of local communities in Norfolk	There are no Public Rights of Way within or adjacent to the site. The nearest residential property is 102m from the site boundary. There are four sensitive receptors within 250m of the site boundary.	- Care would be needed to ensure that the impact on nearby dwellings would not be significant; however it is considered that appropriate mitigation measures to ensure no unacceptable impacts could be conditioned.	<b>0</b> New public footpaths are unlikely to be provided within the site on restoration.
SA10: To protect and enhance water and soil quality in Norfolk	The site is located over a Secondary A aquifer (superficial deposits) and a principal aquifer (bedrock). However, there are no groundwater Source Protection Zones within the proposed site.	-/- If the site is dewatered as part of the extraction the potential for adverse impacts exists, although appropriate assessment and mitigation measures could ensure that no unacceptable impacts occur.	<b>0/-</b> No effect on water resources is expected post extraction.
	The site is Grade 3 agricultural land and could potentially be Grade 3a which is classified within the Best and Most Versatile agricultural land.	Potential for BMV agricultural land to be affected by mineral extraction within the site.	The site is proposed to be restored to nature conservation instead of agriculture, therefore there could be a permanent loss of BMV agricultural land.
SA11: To promote sustainable use of minerals resources	The site is 7.4 km from Harleston. This is the nearest settlement allocated for significant growth in the adopted Local Plan.	+ Due to distance to nearest settlement allocated for significant growth.	<b>0</b> No effect post extraction
SA12: To reduce the risk of current and future flooding at new and existing development	The site has a low probability of flooding from rivers within the district council SFRA. No areas of the site are at risk of surface water flooding.	++ The site is at low risk of being affected by flooding from either rivers, the sea or surface water. Sand and gravel extraction is considered to be a 'water compatible' land use which is suitable in all flood zones.	<b>0</b> No effect post extraction / restoration.
SA13: To encourage employment opportunities and	Although employment levels at minerals sites tend to be low, if this site was worked it could	+	<b>0</b> No effect post restoration

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
promote economic growth	offer continuing local employment opportunities. As with all potential minerals sites, it would contribute to economic growth in Norfolk by providing raw materials for the construction industry		
Conclusion	The site scores well in terms of proximity to growth locations and is located in an area of low flood risk. There are potential negative effects on the historic environment, landscape, geodiversity, water resources, agricultural land and amenity; however, it is considered that these effects could be appropriately mitigated. There could positive effects for biodiversity on restoration. Sand and gravel extraction has positive economic impacts as it provides raw materials for the construction industry.		

# MIN 211 – land west of Bath Hills Road, Earsham (Extension Area 3)

Proposal: Extraction of 485,000 tonnes of sand and gravel

Size of site: 4.77 ha

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
SA1: To adapt to and mitigate the effects of climate change by reducing contributions to climate change	The site is 8.5km from Harleston, which is the nearest town.	<ul> <li>Mineral extraction requires energy and therefore emits CO<sub>2</sub>. There would also be CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from road transportation to the nearest towns, but Harleston is less than 10km away.</li> </ul>	<b>0</b> No contributions to climate change post extraction. Restoration would not include woodland as a carbon 'sink'.
SA2: To improve air quality in line with the National Air Quality Standards	The site is not within an AQMA. As a proposed extension to an existing site, the number of vehicle movements is expected to remain the same but continue for a longer period.	<b>0</b> Vehicle movements are not proposed to increase during the extraction phase, so would be unlikely to affect air quality due to vehicle emissions.	0 No effect post restoration
SA3: To minimise noise, vibration and visual intrusion	The nearest residential property is 43m from the site boundary. There are 7 sensitive receptors within 250m of the site boundary. The settlement of Earsham is 392m away. The effect on visual intrusion is assessed under objective SA8.	 Sand and gravel extraction is not expected to cause vibration. It is considered that noise and dust can be mitigated to acceptable levels within 250m of the source; the greatest impacts will be within 100m, if uncontrolled. Noise and dust assessments, and mitigation measures to appropriately control any amenity impacts, must form part of any planning application for mineral extraction.	0 No effect post restoration
SA4: To improve accessibility to jobs, services and facilities and reduce social exclusion	Mineral extraction sites are unlikely to provide improved accessibility to services and facilities and reduce social exclusion. The effect on employment is assessed under objective SA13.	0 No effects expected during extraction	<b>0</b> It is unlikely that enhanced public access would be provided within the site on restoration.
SA5: To maintain and enhance the	The nearest Listed Buildings are Grade II		

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
character of the townscape and historic environment	Rookery Farmhouse which is 230m away and Grade II 38 & 39 Hall Road, which is 130m away. There are 158 Listed Buildings within 2km of the site. 129 of these are within Bungay Conservation Area which is 1.44km from the site. The nearest Scheduled Monument is Bungay	Extraction Phase A Heritage Statement would be required to support any future planning application. The heritage statement should identify potential impacts to heritage assets and suggest appropriate mitigation, which may include identification of areas where mineral extraction would be inappropriate.	Post Extraction A mitigation strategy should ensure the historic value of assets is appropriately preserved. Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts on the setting of heritage assets.
	Castle which is 1.70km away. There are 3 Scheduled Monuments within 2km of the site.	No effect expected during extraction.	No effects post extraction.
	There are no Registered Historic Parks and Gardens within 2km of the site.	No effects expected during extraction	No effects post extraction
	A Historic Environment record of the remains of a ring ditch is shown within the site boundary. The site is in a wider landscape with a significant number of finds and features from multiple periods, including a Bronze Age cemetery and a WW2 bomb store adjacent to the site.	There is the potential that unknown archaeology exists on the site and an assessment of the significance of archaeological deposits will be required at the planning application stage, in order to protect and mitigate the impact of mineral extraction in this site.	No effects post extraction
SA6: To protect and enhance Norfolk's biodiversity and geodiversity	The site is more than 5km from any SPA, SAC or Ramsar site.	- No impacts on SPAs, SACs or Ramsar sites are expected.	<b>0</b> No impacts on SPAs, SACs or Ramsar sites are expected.
θεοαινειρικ	Sexton Wood SSSI is 2.36km from the site boundary, however, the site is not within the Impact Risk Zone for any SSSIs.	Due to distance, no impacts on SSSIs are expected.	No impacts on SSSIs are expected.
	CWS 125 'Holy Grove' is 200m from the site boundary. CWS 134	The potential exists for hydrological impacts on the CWS and ancient	

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	'Great Wood & America Wood' is 330m from the site boundary and CWS 2102 'Rich's Hill' is 530m from the site boundary. The nearest ancient woodland sites are: Holy Grove, a ASNW	woodland sites from mineral extraction at this site, if dewatering is used. An assessment of potential hydrological impacts, together with appropriate mitigation would be required as part of any planning	No impacts to CWSs are expected post extraction.
	which is 0.33km from the site boundary; Great Wood, a ASNW and PAWS which is 0.44km from the site boundary and America Wood, a ASNW which is 0.73km from the site boundary.	application.	No impacts to the ancient woodland sites are expected post extraction.
	The site consists of Lowestoft Formation- river terrace deposits (sand and gravel); which are geodiversity priority features; overlying the Crag group. There is significant potential for vertebrate fossils within the Crag Group.	The site contains examples of geodiversity priority features.	No adverse impacts to geodiversity are expected post restoration. It would be useful for restoration to provide opportunities for further geological research of suitable exposures.
SA7: To promote innovative solutions for the restoration and after use of minerals sites	The site is proposed to be restored to wet grassland with landscaping, ponds/ scrape and geological exposure, all to a nature conservation afteruse.	0 No effect during extraction phase	+ The proposed restoration scheme would provide some biodiversity and geodiversity gains.
SA8: To protect and enhance the quality and distinctiveness of the countryside and landscape	The site is not located within the AONB, a Core River Valley of any other designated landscape feature. The site is adjacent to the boundary of the Broads Authority Executive Area.	- The site is irregular in shape with dense woodland bounding the western boundary, low lying vegetation to the south and open roadside to the east. To the north the land slopes down, resulting in the northern section of the site not being visible from Hall Road.	<b>0</b> Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate mitigation strategy and restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
		The impact of the	
		proposed mineral	
		working on the wider	
		landscape would	
		predominantly be the decreased long	
		distance views and	
		increased roadside	
		vegetation due to	
		bunding and advanced	
		planting to screen the	
		mineral working from	
		view.	
SA9: To contribute	There are no Public	-	0
to improved health	Rights of Way within or	Care would be needed	New public footpaths
and amenity of	adjacent to the site.	to ensure that the	are unlikely to be
local communities	The nearest residential	impact on nearby	provided within the site
in Norfolk	property is 43m from	dwellings would not be	on restoration.
	the site boundary. There are 7 sensitive	significant; however it is considered that	
	receptors within 250m	appropriate mitigation	
	of the site boundary.	measures to ensure no	
	of the one beandary.	unacceptable impacts	
		could be conditioned.	
SA10: To protect		-/-	0/-
and enhance water	The site is located over	If the site is dewatered	No effect on water
and soil quality in	a Secondary A aquifer	as part of the extraction	resources is expected
Norfolk	(superficial deposits)	the potential for	post extraction.
	and a principal aquifer	adverse impacts exists, although appropriate	
	(bedrock). There are no groundwater Source	assessment and	
	Protection Zones within	mitigation measures	
	the proposed site.	could ensure that no	
		unacceptable impacts	
		occur.	
	The site is Grade 3		The site is proposed to
	agricultural land and	Potential for BMV	be restored to nature
	could potentially be	agricultural land to be	conservation instead of
	Grade 3a which is	affected by mineral	agriculture, therefore
	classified within the	extraction within the	there could be a
	Best and Most Versatile agricultural land.	site.	permanent loss of BMV agricultural land.
SA11: To promote	The site is 8.5km from	+	
sustainable use of	Harleston. This is the	Due to distance to	No effect post
minerals resources	nearest settlement	nearest settlement	extraction
	allocated for significant	allocated for significant	
	growth in the adopted	growth.	
	Local Plan.	-	
SA12: To reduce	The site has a low	++	0
the risk of current	probability of flooding	The site is at low risk of	No effect post
and future flooding	from rivers within the	being affected by	extraction / restoration.
	district council SFRA.	flooding from either	
SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
---------------------	---	---	---------------------------
-		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
at new and existing	No areas of the site are	rivers, the sea or	
development	at risk of surface water	surface water. Sand	
	flooding.	and gravel extraction is considered to be a	
		'water compatible' land	
		use which is suitable in	
		all flood zones.	
SA13: To	Although employment	+	0
encourage	levels at minerals sites		No effect post
employment	tend to be low, if this		restoration
opportunities and	site was worked it could		
promote economic	offer continuing local		
growth	employment		
	opportunities. As with		
	all potential minerals sites, it would contribute		
	to economic growth in		
	Norfolk by providing		
	raw materials for the		
	construction industry		
Conclusion		rms of proximity to growth	locations and is located
		k. There are potential neg	
		dscape, biodiversity, geodi	
	agricultural land and amenity; however, it is considered that these effects		
	could be appropriately mitigated. There could positive effects for		
	biodiversity on restoration. Sand and gravel extraction has positive		
	economic impacts as it p	rovides raw materials for th	ne construction industry.

# MIN 25 – land at Manor Farm (between Loddon Road and Thorpe Road), Haddiscoe

roposal: Extraction of 1,300,000 tonnes of sand and gravel
--

Size of site: 21.95 ha

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
SA1: To adapt to and mitigate the effects of climate change by reducing contributions to climate change	The site is 11km from Great Yarmouth and 10.5km from Gorleston- on-Sea, which are the nearest towns.	Extraction Phase0Mineral extraction requires energy and therefore emits CO2.There would also be CO2 emissions from road transportation to the nearest towns.Great Yarmouth and Gorleston are just over 10km away.	Post Extraction <b>0</b> No contributions to climate change post extraction. Restoration would include woodland as a carbon 'sink'.
SA2: To improve air quality in line with the National Air Quality Standards	The site is not within an AQMA. As a proposed new extraction site, it may lead to an increase of 80 HGV movements per day.	- Due to increased HGV movements. However, the increased number of HGV movements due to mineral transport would not be significant compared to overall HGV transport.	<b>0</b> No effect post restoration
SA3: To minimise noise, vibration and visual intrusion	The nearest residential property is 19m from the site boundary. There are 53 sensitive receptors within 250m of the site boundary. Most of these are within the settlement of Haddiscoe, which is 55m away. The effect on visual intrusion is assessed under objective SA8.	 Sand and gravel extraction is not expected to cause vibration. It is considered that noise and dust can be mitigated to acceptable levels within 250m of the source; the greatest impacts will be within 100m, if uncontrolled. Noise and dust assessments, and mitigation measures to appropriately control any amenity impacts, must form part of any planning application for mineral extraction.	<b>0</b> No effect post restoration
SA4: To improve accessibility to jobs, services and facilities and reduce social exclusion	Mineral extraction sites are unlikely to provide improved accessibility to services and facilities and reduce social exclusion. The effect on employment is assessed under objective SA13.	0 No effects expected during extraction	<b>0</b> It is unlikely that enhanced public access would be provided within the site on restoration.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
SA5: To maintain and enhance the character of the townscape and historic environment	There are three Listed Building within 250m of the site; they are Grade II White House Farm (70m away), Grade I Church of St Mary (110m away), Grade II Monument to William Salter set in the churchyard wall (130m away). There are 13 Listed Buildings within 2km of the site.	 A Heritage Statement would be required to support any future planning application. The heritage statement should identify potential impacts to heritage assets and suggest appropriate mitigation.	 A mitigation strategy should ensure the historic value of assets is appropriately preserved. Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts on the setting of heritage assets.
	There are no Scheduled Monuments, Conservation Areas or Registered Historic Parks and Gardens within 2km of the site.	No effects expected during extraction.	No effect post extraction
	There are Historic Environment records of multi-period finds and features within the site boundary. The site is in a wider landscape with a significant number of finds and features from multiple periods.	There is the potential that unknown archaeology exists on the site and an assessment of the significance of archaeological deposits will be required at the planning application stage, in order to protect and mitigate the impact of mineral extraction in this site.	No effects post extraction
SA6: To protect and enhance Norfolk's biodiversity and geodiversity	The site is 3.84km from The Broads SAC and Broadland SPA and Ramsar site and is outside the Impact Risk Zone for Halvergate Marshes SSSI and Standley and Alder Carrs Aldeby SSSI, which form part of these internationally designated sites.	- No adverse impacts on the SAC and the SPA are expected due to the distance from the site.	<b>0</b> No impacts to CWSs are expected post extraction.
	The site is 4.36 km from Breydon Water SPA and Ramsar site and is	No adverse impacts on the SPA and the Ramsar site are	No impacts to the SPA and Ramsar site are

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	outside the Impact Risk Zone for Breydon Water SSSI.	expected due to the distance from the site.	expected post extraction.
	There are no SSSIs within 3km of the site boundary and the majority of the site is not within the Impact Risk Zone for any SSSIs (a small part of the site is within the North Denes SSSI)	Due to distance, no impacts on SSSIs are expected.	No impacts on SSSIs are expected.
	The nearest CWS is CWS 2221 'Devil's End Meadow' which is 170m from the site boundary.	There is the potential for impacts from dust deposition although with normal mitigation measures no adverse effects on this CWS are expected. If the site is worked above the water table, with normal mitigation measures, no adverse effects on the CWSs are expected.	No impacts to CWSs are expected post extraction.
	The nearest ancient woodland site is Long Row Wood, an ASNW which is 1.55km from the site boundary.	If the site is worked above the water table, with normal mitigation measures, no adverse effects on this ancient woodland site are expected.	No impacts to the ancient woodland site are expected post extraction.
	The site consists of the Haddiscoe formation - sand and gravel, Corton formation-sand (undifferentiated), Lowestoft Formation - diamicton; overlying the Crag group. There is significant potential for vertebrate fossils within the Crag Group.	There is the potential for this site to contain examples of geodiversity priority features.	No adverse impacts to geodiversity are expected post restoration. It would be useful for restoration to provide opportunities for further geological research of suitable exposures.
SA7: To promote innovative solutions for the restoration and after use of minerals sites	The site is proposed to be restored to a combination of acid grassland, woodland	<b>0</b> No effect during extraction phase	+ The proposed restoration scheme would provide some biodiversity gains.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
	planting and shallow	Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
SA8: To protect and enhance the quality and distinctiveness of the countryside and landscape	wetland/pond. The site is not located within the AONB, a Core River Valley or any other designated landscape feature. The site is adjacent to a Core River Valley and is adjacent to the boundary of the Broads Authority Executive Area.	- The site comprises an agricultural field which slopes gently to the northeast, towards the Haddiscoe Marshes. There are mature screen planting forming hedgerows on all sides of the site, except a section of the eastern boundary closest to Manor Farm; which is the landowner's property. It is considered that the site could be suitable in landscape terms.	<b>0</b> Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate mitigation strategy and restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts
SA9: To contribute to improved health and amenity of local communities in Norfolk	This is a Public Right of Way running across the site (from Thorpe Road to Crab Apple Lane) (Haddiscoe BR5). The nearest residential property is 19m from the site boundary. There are 53 sensitive receptors within 250m of the site boundary.	- Care would be needed to ensure that the impact on users of the PRoW and the nearby dwellings would not be significant. However, it is considered that appropriate mitigation measures to ensure no unacceptable impacts could be conditioned, such as temporary diversion of the PROW.	<b>0</b> New public footpaths are unlikely to be provided within the site on restoration.
SA10: To protect and enhance water and soil quality in Norfolk	The site is located over a Secondary A aquifer (superficial deposits) and a principal aquifer (bedrock). However, there are no groundwater Source Protection Zones within the proposed site.	-/- If the site is dewatered as part of the extraction the potential for adverse impacts exists, although appropriate assessment and mitigation measures could ensure that no unacceptable impacts occur.	<b>0/-</b> No effect on water resources is expected post extraction.
	The site is Grade 3 agricultural land and could potentially be Grade 3a which is classified within the	Potential for BMV agricultural land to be affected by mineral extraction within the site.	The site is proposed to be restored to nature conservation instead of agriculture, therefore there could be a

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment	
		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction	
	Best and Most Versatile		permanent loss of BMV	
	agricultural land.		agricultural land.	
SA11: To promote	The site is 11km from	0	0	
sustainable use of	Great Yarmouth and	Due to distance to	No effect post	
minerals resources	10.5km from Gorleston-	nearest settlement	extraction	
	on-Sea. These are the	allocated for significant		
	nearest settlements	growth.		
	allocated for significant growth in the adopted			
	Local Plan.			
SA12: To reduce	The site has a low	+	0	
the risk of current	probability of flooding	The site is at low risk of	No effect post	
and future flooding	from rivers within the	being affected by	extraction / restoration.	
at new and existing	district council SFRA.	flooding from either		
development	The site has a low risk	rivers, the sea or		
	of surface water	surface water. Sand		
	flooding with two areas	and gravel extraction is		
	of surface water pooling	considered to be a		
	in a 1 in 30 and 1 in	'water compatible' land		
	100 year rainfall event.	use which is suitable in		
	There are additional	all flood zones.		
	areas of surface water			
	pooling in a 1 in 1000			
0.440 T	year rainfall event.			
SA13: To	Although employment	+	0 No offect peet	
encourage	levels at minerals sites		No effect post restoration	
employment opportunities and	tend to be low, if this site was worked it could		Testoration	
promote economic	offer some local			
growth	employment			
9.0	opportunities. As with			
	all potential minerals			
	sites, it would contribute			
	to economic growth in			
	Norfolk by providing			
	raw materials for the			
	construction industry.			
Conclusion		area of low flood risk. Ther		
		historic environment, land		
	0	rces, agricultural land and		
		ects could be appropriately		
		positive effects for biodiversity on restoration. Sand and gravel extraction has positive economic impacts as it provides raw materials for the		
	construction industry.	pacis as it provides raw m	Iaichais IUI lite	
	ເວັດອີດສາມັນເປັນກາງແມ່ນຄາຍ.			

# MIN 92 – land east of Ferry Lane, Heckingham

**Proposal:** Extraction of 570,000 tonnes of sand and gravel

Size of site: 15.18 ha

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
	The site is 10 Cluss from	Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
SA1: To adapt to and mitigate the effects of climate change by reducing contributions to climate change	The site is 13.6km from Gorleston-on-Sea and Great Yarmouth, which are the nearest towns.	<b>0</b> Mineral extraction requires energy and therefore emits $CO_2$ . There would also be $CO_2$ emissions from road transportation to the nearest towns, but Great Yarmouth is less than 15km away.	<b>0</b> No contributions to climate change post extraction. Restoration would not include woodland as a carbon 'sink'.
SA2: To improve air quality in line with the National Air Quality Standards	The site is not within an AQMA. As a proposed extension to an existing site, the number of vehicle movements is expected to remain the same but continue for a longer period.	<b>0</b> Vehicle movements are not proposed to increase during the extraction phase, so would be unlikely to affect air quality due to vehicle emissions.	0 No effect post restoration
SA3: To minimise noise, vibration and visual intrusion	The nearest residential property is 40m from the site boundary. There are six sensitive receptors within 250m of the site boundary. The settlement of Nogdam End is 821m away. The effect on visual intrusion is assessed under objective SA8.	 Sand and gravel extraction is not expected to cause vibration. It is considered that noise and dust can be mitigated to acceptable levels within 250m of the source; the greatest impacts will be within 100m, if uncontrolled. Noise and dust assessments, and mitigation measures to appropriately control any amenity impacts, must form part of any planning application for mineral extraction.	0 No effect post restoration
SA4: To improve accessibility to jobs, services and facilities and reduce social exclusion	Mineral extraction sites are unlikely to provide improved accessibility to services and facilities and reduce social exclusion. The effect on employment is assessed under objective SA13.	0 No effects expected during extraction	<b>0</b> It is unlikely that enhanced public access would be provided within the site on restoration.
SA5: To maintain and enhance the	The nearest Listed Building is Grade II*	0	0

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment Post Extraction
character of the townscape and historic environment	<ul> <li>Hardley Hall which is 770m away. There are 11 Listed Buildings within 2km of the site.</li> <li>The only Scheduled Monument within 2km of the site is 'Hardley Cross, immediately south-west of the rivers Yare and Chet' 1.69km away.</li> <li>There are no Conservation Areas within 2km of the site.</li> <li>Raveningham Hall, a Registered Historic Park and Garden is 1.78km from the site.</li> <li>Historic Environment records exist of a possible medieval settlement and multi- period finds within the site boundary. The site is in a wider landscape</li> </ul>	Extraction PhaseA Heritage Statementwould be required tosupport any futureplanning application.The heritage statementshould identify potentialimpacts to heritageassets and suggestappropriate mitigation.No effects duringextraction.No effects expectedduring extractionNo effects expectedduring extractionThere is the potentialthat unknownarchaeology exists onthe site and anassessment of thesignificance ofarchaeological depositswill be required at the	Post ExtractionA mitigation strategy should ensure the historic value of assets is appropriately preserved. Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts on the setting of heritage assets.No effects post extractionNo effects post extractionNo effects post extractionNo effects post extraction
	with a significant number of finds and features from multiple periods, including Saxon, Roman and medieval settlement locations close to the site.	will be required at the planning application stage, in order to protect and mitigate the impact of mineral extraction in this site.	
SA6: To protect and enhance Norfolk's biodiversity and geodiversity	The site is 4.45km from Breydon Water SPA and Ramsar site.	- No adverse impacts on the SPA and the Ramsar site are expected due to the distance from the site.	<b>0</b> No impacts to the SPA and Ramsar site are expected post extraction.
	The site is 0.58km from Hardley Flood SSSI, which is part of The Broads SAC, Broadland SPA and Ramsar site.	The site is expected to be worked dry (above the water table), therefore, no adverse hydrological impacts on this SSSI are expected. Due to the distance from the site no	No impacts on the SSSI is expected post extraction

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
		Extraction Phase adverse effects from dust, noise or lighting are expected.	Post Extraction
	CWS 2194 'Old Hall Carr and Marshes' is adjacent to the site boundary.	There is the potential for impacts from dust deposition although with normal mitigation measures no adverse effects on these CWSs is expected. The site is expected to be worked dry (above the water table), therefore, no adverse effects on the hydrology of the CWS are expected.	No impacts on the CWS is expected post extraction
	There are no ancient woodland sites within 3km of the site.	No impacts on ancient woodland sites are expected.	No impacts on ancient woodland sites are expected.
	The site consists of the Lowestoft Formation - sand and gravel, Corton Formation (undifferentiated), Crag Group and Bytham Formation - sand and gravel (which is a priority feature due to its method of formation) all overlying Crag Group. There is the potential for large vertebrate fossils and other paleo- environmental evidence in deposits laid down by a tributary (River Bytham) of the proto- Thames.	The site contains examples of geodiversity priority features.	No adverse impacts to geodiversity are expected post restoration. It would be useful for restoration to provide opportunities for further geological research of suitable exposures.
SA7: To promote innovative solutions for the restoration and after use of minerals sites	The site is proposed to be restored to a mosaic of nature conservation and agricultural land uses.	<b>0</b> No effect during extraction phase.	+ The proposed restoration scheme would provide some biodiversity gains.
SA8: To protect and enhance the quality and distinctiveness of	The site is not located within the AONB, a Core River Valley or any other designated landscape feature. The	 The site is adjacent to the boundary of the Broads Authority Executive Area on	- Mineral extraction will result in landscape change which due to the sloping nature of

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
the countryside and landscape	site is adjacent to the boundary of the Broads Authority Executive Area.	Extraction Phase three sides. The site comprises an arable field which slopes down to the west and is divided by a line of hedgerow oaks. The oaks within the site are a notable landscape feature as are the veteran oaks along the western boundary. The mature oaks in the site and proximity to the Broads Authority Executive Area would make it difficult to work this site without unacceptable landscape impacts.	Post Extraction the site would be visible from a variety of viewpoints; however, an appropriate mitigation strategy and restoration scheme would minimise the impact.
SA9: To contribute to improved health and amenity of local communities in Norfolk	There are no Public Rights of Way within or adjacent to the site. The nearest residential property is 40m from the site boundary. There are six sensitive receptors within 250m of the site boundary.	- Care would be needed to ensure that the impact on nearby dwellings would not be significant; however it is considered that appropriate mitigation measures to ensure no unacceptable impacts could be conditioned.	<b>0</b> New public footpaths are unlikely to be provided within the site on restoration.
SA10: To protect and enhance water and soil quality in Norfolk	The site is located partially over a Secondary A aquifer (superficial deposits) and a principal aquifer (bedrock). However, there are no groundwater Source Protection Zones within the proposed site.	<b>0/-</b> The site is expected to be worked dry (above the water table), therefore no adverse effects on water resources are expected.	<b>0/-</b> No effect on water resources is expected post extraction.
	The site is Grade 3 agricultural land and could potentially be Grade 3a which is classified within the Best and Most Versatile agricultural land.	Potential for BMV agricultural land to be affected by mineral extraction within the site.	A proportion of the site is proposed to be restored back to agriculture. Therefore, as long as the topsoil was stored correctly and then replaced, adverse effects on BMV agricultural land would relate to the proportion lost.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
SA11: To promote sustainable use of minerals resources	The site is 13.6km from Gorleston-on-Sea and Great Yarmouth. These are the nearest settlements allocated for significant growth in the adopted Local Plan.	<b>0</b> Due to distance to nearest settlement allocated for significant growth.	<b>0</b> No effect post extraction
SA12: To reduce the risk of current and future flooding at new and existing development	The site has a low probability of flooding from rivers within the district council SFRA. The site has a low probability of surface water flooding, with two minor surface water flow paths developing within the site in a 1 in 1000 year rainfall event.	++ The site is at low risk of being affected by flooding from either rivers, the sea or surface water. Sand and gravel extraction is considered to be a 'water compatible' land use which is suitable in all flood zones.	<b>0</b> No effect post extraction / restoration.
SA13: To encourage employment opportunities and promote economic growth	Although employment levels at minerals sites tend to be low, if this site was worked it could offer continuing local employment opportunities. As with all potential minerals sites, it would contribute to economic growth in Norfolk by providing raw materials for the construction industry	+	0 No effect post restoration
Conclusion	The site is located in an area of low flood risk. There are potential negative effects on the historic environment, landscape, biodiversity, geodiversity, agricultural land and amenity. It is considered that the effects on landscape could not be appropriately mitigated. There could positive effects for biodiversity on restoration. Sand and gravel extraction has positive economic impacts as it provides raw materials for the construction industry.		

# MIN 212 – land south of Mundham Road, Mundham

Size of site: 4.95 ha

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
SA1: To adapt to and mitigate the effects of climate change by reducing contributions to climate change	The processing site is 1km from the Norwich urban area and within the Norwich Policy Area.	++ Mineral extraction requires energy and therefore emits CO <sub>2</sub> . There would also be CO <sub>2</sub> emissions from road transportation to the nearest towns, but the Norwich urban area is less than 5km from the processing site.	0 No contributions to climate change post extraction. Restoration would include woodland as a carbon 'sink'.
SA2: To improve air quality in line with the National Air Quality Standards	The site is not within an AQMA. As a proposed extension to an existing site, the number of vehicle movements is expected to remain the same but continue for a longer period.	<b>0</b> Vehicle movements are not proposed to increase during the extraction phase, so would be unlikely to affect air quality due to vehicle emissions.	<b>0</b> No effect post restoration
SA3: To minimise noise, vibration and visual intrusion	The nearest residential property is 147m from the site boundary. There are 2 sensitive receptors within 250m of the site boundary. The settlement of Mundham is 482m away. The effect on visual intrusion is assessed under objective SA8.	- Sand and gravel extraction is not expected to cause vibration. It is considered that noise and dust can be mitigated to acceptable levels within 250m of the source; the greatest impacts will be within 100m, if uncontrolled. Noise and dust assessments, and mitigation measures to appropriately control any amenity impacts, must form part of any planning application for mineral extraction.	<b>0</b> No effect post restoration
SA4: To improve accessibility to jobs, services and facilities and reduce social exclusion	Mineral extraction sites are unlikely to provide improved accessibility to services and facilities and reduce social exclusion. The effect on employment is assessed under objective SA13.	0 No effects expected during extraction	<b>0</b> It is unlikely that enhanced public access would be provided within the site on restoration.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
SA5: To maintain and enhance the character of the townscape and historic environment	The nearest Listed Buildings are the Grade II Mundham House and the stables at Mundham House, which are 470m and 440m away. There are 24 Listed Buildings within 2km of the site.	- A Heritage Statement would be required to support any future planning application. The heritage statement should identify potential impacts to heritage assets and suggest appropriate mitigation	A mitigation strategy should ensure the historic value of assets is appropriately preserved. Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts on the setting of heritage assets.
	There are no Scheduled Monuments within 2km of the site.	No effects expected during extraction.	No effects post extraction.
	Seething Conservation Area is 1.88km from the site.	No effects expected during extraction.	No effects post extraction.
	There are no Registered Historic Parks and Gardens within 2km of the site.	No effects expected during extraction	No effects post extraction
	A Historic Environment record of the remains of an undated road is shown within the site boundary. The site is in a wider landscape with a significant number of finds and features from multiple periods, including a Saxon cemetery and a Roman settlement adjacent to the site.	There is the potential that unknown archaeology exists on the site and an assessment of the significance of archaeological deposits will be required at the planning application stage, in order to protect and mitigate the impact of mineral extraction in this site.	No effects post extraction
SA6: To protect and enhance Norfolk's biodiversity and geodiversity	The site is 3.67km from Hardley Flood SSSI, which is part of the Broads SAC, Broadland SPA and Ramsar site and is outside the Impact Risk Zone for this SSSI.	- Due to distance, no impacts on SPAs, SACs or Ramsar sites are expected.	<b>0</b> No impacts on SPAs, SACs or Ramsar sites are expected.
	There are no SSSIs within 3km of the site		No impacts on SSSIs are expected.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	boundary and the site is not within the Impact Risk Zone for any SSSIs.	Due to distance, no impacts on SSSIs are expected.	
	There are no County Wildlife Sites within 1km of the site boundary.	Due to distance, no impacts on County Wildlife Sites are	No impacts on County Wildlife Sites are expected.
	The nearest ancient woodland site is Hales Hall Wood, an ASNW and PAWS, which is 2.16km from the site boundary.	expected. Due to the distance from the site, no adverse impacts to the ancient woodland site are expected from the proposed mineral	No impacts on the ancient woodland site is expected post extraction
	The site consists of the Corton formation - sand (undifferentiated), Head deposits - clay, silt, sand & gravel which are priority features due to their method of formation, Lowestoft Formation - diamicton; overlying the Crag group. There is significant potential for vertebrate fossils within the Crag Group.	extraction. The site contains examples of geodiversity priority features.	No adverse impacts to geodiversity are expected post restoration. It would be useful for restoration to provide opportunities for further geological research of suitable exposures.
SA7: To promote innovative solutions for the restoration and after use of minerals sites	The site is proposed to be restored to a nature conservation afteruse with species rich acid grassland with scrub woodland and a water body fringed with reeds.	<b>0</b> No effect during extraction phase	+ The proposed restoration scheme would provide some biodiversity gains.
SA8: To protect and enhance the quality and distinctiveness of the countryside and landscape	The site is not located within the AONB, a Core River Valley or any other designated landscape feature.	- The site comprises an irregularly shaped area of land within an arable field, with the eastern boundary formed by a drain and associated hedgerow. The western boundary is formed by the access track to the existing quarry site. The site slopes down from east	- Mineral extraction will result in landscape change which due to the sloping nature of the site would be visible from a variety of viewpoints; however, an appropriate mitigation strategy and restoration scheme would minimise the impact.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
		to west. The impact of the proposed mineral working on the wider landscape would predominantly be the decreased long distance views due to bunding to screen the mineral working from view.	
SA9: To contribute to improved health and amenity of local communities in Norfolk	There are two Public Rights of Way adjacent to the site: Mundham FP7 and Mundham FP6 on the west and east boundaries. The nearest residential property is 147m from the site boundary. There are 2 sensitive receptors within 250m of the site boundary.	- Care would be needed to ensure that the impact on users of the PRoW and the nearby dwellings would not be significant. However, it is considered that appropriate mitigation measures to ensure no unacceptable impacts could be conditioned.	<b>0</b> New public footpaths are unlikely to be provided within the site on restoration.
SA10: To protect and enhance water and soil quality in Norfolk	The site is located partially over a Secondary A aquifer and a Secondary (undifferentiated) aquifer (superficial deposits) and a principal aquifer (bedrock). However, there are no groundwater Source Protection Zones within the proposed site.	-/- If the site is dewatered as part of the extraction the potential for adverse impacts exists, although appropriate assessment and mitigation measures could ensure that no unacceptable impacts occur.	<b>0/-</b> No effect on water resources is expected post extraction.
	The site is Grade 3 agricultural land and could potentially be Grade 3a which is classified within the Best and Most Versatile agricultural land.	Potential for BMV agricultural land to be affected by mineral extraction within the site.	The site is proposed to be restored to nature conservation instead of agriculture, therefore there could be a permanent loss of BMV agricultural land.
SA11: To promote sustainable use of minerals resources	The processing site is 1km from the Norwich urban area and within the Norwich Policy Area. This is the nearest settlement allocated for significant growth in the adopted Local Plan.	++ Due to distance to nearest settlement allocated for significant growth.	0 No effect post extraction

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
SA12: To reduce the risk of current and future flooding at new and existing development	Approximately 84% of the site is in Flood Zone 1 (lowest risk) for flooding from rivers. The eastern part of the site is within Flood Zone 2 (medium risk) and Flood Zone 3 (high risk) for flooding from rivers. The site has a high probability of surface water flooding with a surface water flow path running through the eastern part of the site (north- south) in a 1 in 30 year rainfall event. The area of the site included within this flow path increases in 1 in 100 and 1 in 1000 year rainfall events to affect up to 10% of the site.	Extraction Phase  The site is at high risk of flooding from rivers and surface water. Sand and gravel extraction is considered to be a 'water compatible' land use which is suitable in all flood zones.	Post Extraction + The proposed restoration includes a waterbody, therefore there is the potential for this to provide some temporary flood storage capacity.
SA13: To encourage employment opportunities and promote economic growth	Although employment levels at minerals sites tend to be low, if this site was worked it could offer continuing local employment opportunities. As with all potential minerals sites, it would contribute to economic growth in Norfolk by providing raw materials for the construction industry	+	0 No effect post restoration
Conclusion	The site scores well in ter potential negative effects geodiversity, water resou considered that these effe positive effects for biodive	rms of proximity to growth on the historic environmen rces, agricultural land and ects could be appropriately ersity and flood risk on res itive economic impacts as try.	nt, flood risk, landscape, amenity; however, it is / mitigated. There could toration. Sand and

# MIN 79 – land north of Hickling Lane, Swardeston

**Proposal:** Extraction of 1,970,000 tonnes of sand and gravel

Size of site: 38.56 ha

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
SA1: To adapt to and mitigate the effects of climate change by reducing contributions to climate change	The site is 2.9km from the Norwich urban area and is within the Norwich Policy Area. The site is also 8.4km from Wymondham.	++ Mineral extraction requires energy and therefore emits CO <sub>2</sub> . There would also be CO <sub>2</sub> emissions from road transportation to the nearest towns, but Norwich is less than 5km away.	<b>0</b> No contributions to climate change post extraction. Restoration would include woodland as a carbon 'sink'.
SA2: To improve air quality in line with the National Air Quality Standards	The site is not within an AQMA. As a proposed extension to an existing site, the number of vehicle movements is expected to remain the same but continue for a longer period.	<b>0</b> Vehicle movements are not proposed to increase during the extraction phase, so would be unlikely to affect air quality due to vehicle emissions.	0 No effect post restoration
SA3: To minimise noise, vibration and visual intrusion	The nearest residential property is 36m from the site boundary. There are 3 sensitive receptors within 250m of the site boundary. The settlement of Swainsthorpe is 544m away. However, the south-eastern field is not proposed to be extracted. Therefore the nearest residential property to the extraction area is 83m away and there are 2 sensitive receptors within 250m of the proposed extraction area. The effect on visual intrusion is assessed under objective SA8.	 Sand and gravel extraction is not expected to cause vibration. It is considered that noise and dust can be mitigated to acceptable levels within 250m of the source; the greatest impacts will be within 100m, if uncontrolled. Noise and dust assessments, and mitigation measures to appropriately control any amenity impacts, must form part of any planning application for mineral extraction.	<b>0</b> No effect post restoration
SA4: To improve accessibility to jobs, services and facilities and reduce social exclusion	Mineral extraction sites are unlikely to provide improved accessibility to services and facilities and reduce social exclusion. The effect on employment is	<b>0</b> No effects expected during extraction	<b>0</b> It is unlikely that enhanced public access would be provided within the site on restoration.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
	assessed under	Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
	objective SA13.		
SA5: To maintain and enhance the character of the townscape and historic environment	The nearest Listed Building is Grade II* Gowthorpe Manor House, which is 390m away. There are 57 Listed Buildings within 2km of the site. 13 of these are within a Conservation Area. The nearest Scheduled Monument is 'Venta Icenorum: Roman town and associated prehistoric and medieval remains', which is 780m away.	- A Heritage Statement would be required to support any future planning application. The heritage statement should identify potential impacts to heritage assets and suggest appropriate mitigation, which may include identification of areas where mineral extraction would be inappropriate. No effects expected	- A mitigation strategy should ensure the historic value of assets is appropriately preserved. Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts on the setting of heritage assets.
	There are 3 Scheduled Monuments within 2km of the site.	during extraction	extraction.
	Stoke Holy Cross Conservation Area is 1.36km away. Mulbarton Conservation Area is 1.46km away.	No effects expected during extraction	No effects post extraction
	There are no Registered Historic Parks and Gardens within 2km of the site.	No effects expected during extraction	No effects post extraction
	There are Historic Environment records of multi-period finds and features within the site boundary, including a potential location for the Humbleyard Moot site, and Roman pits. The site is in a wider landscape with a very significant number of finds and features from multiple periods, including Roman features.	There is the potential that unknown archaeology exists on the site and an assessment of the significance of archaeological deposits will be required at the planning application stage, in order to protect and mitigate the impact of mineral extraction in this site.	No effects post extraction
SA6: To protect and enhance Norfolk's		0	0

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
biodiversity and geodiversity	The site is more than 5km from any SPA, SAC or Ramsar site.	No impacts on SPAs, SACs or Ramsar sites are expected.	No impacts on SPAs, SACs or Ramsar sites are expected.
	Shotesham Common SSSI is 2.4km from the site boundary.	There would be no adverse impacts to the SSSI due to distance and because the proposed extraction site is located in a different hydrological catchment to the SSSI.	No impacts on SSSIs are expected.
	The nearest CWS is CWS 268 'Dunston Common' which is 780m from the site boundary.	Due to distance, no impacts on CWS are expected.	No impacts on CWS are expected.
	There are no ancient woodland sites within 3km of the site.	No impacts on ancient woodland are expected.	No impacts on ancient woodland are expected.
	The site consists of Lowestoft Formation - diamicton, Corton Formation and Lowestoft Formation- sand and gravel (undifferentiated), overlying chalk formations.	This site is unlikely to contain geodiversity priority features.	No adverse impacts to geodiversity are expected post restoration. It would be useful for restoration to provide opportunities for further geological research of suitable exposures.
SA7: To promote innovative solutions for the restoration and after use of minerals sites	The site is proposed to be restored mainly to agriculture. Preferred restoration would include wide field margins and enhanced deciduous woodland belts.	0 No effect during extraction phase	+ A restoration scheme which includes wide field margins, and some woodland would provide some biodiversity gains.
SA8: To protect and enhance the quality and distinctiveness of the countryside and landscape	The site is not located within the AONB, a Core River Valley or any other designated landscape feature.	- The site comprises four arable fields. There is a tree belt containing a series of ponds through the centre of the site which terminates in a small copse on the southern boundary of the site. An indicative working scheme has indicated a standoff	<b>0</b> Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate mitigation strategy and restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
SA9: To contribute to improved health and amenity of local communities in Norfolk	There is a Public Right of Way adjacent to the northern boundary of the site (Swardeston BR12), a PRoW adjacent to the western boundary of the site (Swardeston BR9) and a PRoW adjacent to the southern boundary of the site (Swainsthorpe BOAT6). The nearest residential property is 36m from the site boundary. There are 3 sensitive receptors within 250m of the site boundary. However, the south- eastern field is not proposed to be extracted. Therefore the nearest residential property to the extraction area is 83m	Extraction Phase area to provide the protection to this copse that would be required during extraction. Trees and shrubs along the southern boundary help to screen the site from users of the Public Right of Way which runs along this boundary and properties to the south. A shrub belt to the north helps to screen the site especially from long range views; a partial line of hedging along the western boundary provides some screening for users of the PRoW along this side. Gowthorpe Manor and Barn are well screened from the site by intervening woodland. - Care would be needed to ensure that the impact on users of the PRoW and the nearby dwellings would not be significant. However, it is considered that appropriate mitigation measures to ensure no unacceptable impacts could be conditioned.	Post Extraction O New public footpaths are unlikely to be provided within the site on restoration.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
	away and there are 2 sensitive receptors within 250m of the proposed extraction area.	Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
SA10: To protect and enhance water and soil quality in Norfolk	The site is located over a Secondary (undifferentiated) aquifer (superficial deposits) and a principal aquifer (bedrock). The site is within groundwater Source Protection Zone 2.	- If the site is dewatered as part of the extraction the potential for adverse impacts exists, although appropriate assessment and mitigation measures could ensure that no unacceptable impacts occur.	<b>0</b> No effect on water resources is expected post extraction.
	The site is Grade 3 agricultural land and could potentially be Grade 3a which is classified within the Best and Most Versatile agricultural land.	Potential for BMV agricultural land to be affected by mineral extraction within the site.	The site is proposed to be restored back to agriculture. Therefore, as long as the topsoil was stored correctly and then replaced, there would be no likely adverse effect on BMV agricultural land.
SA11: To promote sustainable use of minerals resources	The site is 2.9km from the Norwich urban area and is within the Norwich Policy Area. The site is also 8.4km from Wymondham. These are the nearest settlements allocated for significant growth in the adopted Local Plan.	++ Due to distance to nearest settlement allocated for significant growth.	0 No effect post extraction
SA12: To reduce the risk of current and future flooding at new and existing development	The site has a low probability of flooding from rivers within the district council SFRA. The site has a medium probability of surface water flooding with a few locations of surface water pooling and a small surface water flow path in a 1 in 100 year rainfall event. The surface water flow path in the north of the site increases in size in a 1 in 1000 year rainfall event.	<b>0</b> The site is at low risk of being affected by flooding from either rivers or the sea. The site is at medium risk of being affected by flooding from surface water. Sand and gravel extraction is considered to be a 'water compatible' land use which is suitable in all flood zones.	<b>0</b> No effect post extraction / restoration.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
SA13: To	Although employment	+	0
encourage	levels at minerals sites		No effect post
employment	tend to be low, if this		restoration
opportunities and	site was worked it could		
promote economic	offer continuing local		
growth	employment		
	opportunities. As with		
	all potential minerals		
	sites, it would contribute		
	to economic growth in		
	Norfolk by providing		
	raw materials for the		
	construction industry		
Conclusion		ms of proximity to growth	
	in an area of low flood ris	k. There are potential nega	ative effects on the
	historic environment, land	dscape, water resources, a	agricultural land and
	amenity; however, it is considered that these effects could be appropriately		
	mitigated. There could positive effects for biodiversity on restoration. Sand		
	and gravel extraction has positive economic impacts as it provides raw		
	materials for the construct	tion industry.	

# MIN 80 – land south of Mangreen Hall Farm, Swardeston

**Proposal:** Extraction of 750,000 tonnes of sand and gravel

Size of site: 12.98 ha

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
···· <b>·</b>		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
SA1: To adapt to and mitigate the effects of climate change by reducing contributions to climate change	The site is 2.5km from the Norwich urban area and is within the Norwich Policy Area. The site is also 8.6km from Wymondham.	++ Mineral extraction requires energy and therefore emits CO <sub>2</sub> . There would also be CO <sub>2</sub> emissions from road transportation to the nearest towns, but Norwich is less than 5km away.	<b>0</b> No contributions to climate change post extraction. Restoration would include woodland as a carbon 'sink'.
SA2: To improve air quality in line with the National Air Quality Standards	The site is not within an AQMA. As a proposed extension to an existing site, the number of vehicle movements is expected to remain the same but continue for a longer period.	<b>0</b> Vehicle movements are not proposed to increase during the extraction phase, so would be unlikely to affect air quality due to vehicle emissions.	0 No effect post restoration
SA3: To minimise noise, vibration and visual intrusion	The nearest residential property is 214m from the site boundary. There is 1 sensitive receptor within 250m of the site boundary. The settlement of Swardeston is 1.1km away. However, the northern part of the site, under the electricity pylons, is not proposed to be extracted. Therefore the nearest residential property is 418m from the extraction area and there are no sensitive receptors within 250m of the proposed extraction area. The effect on visual intrusion is assessed under objective SA8.	<b>0</b> Sand and gravel extraction is not expected to cause vibration. It is considered that noise and dust can be mitigated to acceptable levels within 250m of the source. Noise and dust assessments, and mitigation measures to appropriately control any amenity impacts, must form part of any planning application for mineral extraction.	0 No effect post restoration
SA4: To improve accessibility to jobs, services and facilities and reduce social exclusion	Mineral extraction sites are unlikely to provide improved accessibility to services and facilities and reduce social exclusion. The effect on employment is	0 No effects expected during extraction	<b>0</b> It is unlikely that enhanced public access would be provided within the site on restoration.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
	assessed under objective SA13.		
SA5: To maintain and enhance the character of the townscape and historic environment	The nearest Listed Building is the Grade II Barn at Hall Farm which is 200m away. There are 46 Listed Buildings within 2km of the site. The nearest Scheduled Monument is 'Venta Icenorum: Roman town and associated prehistoric and medieval remains' which is 720m away. There are 5 Scheduled Monument within 2km of the site.	 A Heritage Statement would be required to support any future planning application. The heritage statement should identify potential impacts to heritage assets and suggest appropriate mitigation, which may include identification of areas where mineral extraction would be inappropriate.	- A mitigation strategy should ensure the historic value of assets is appropriately preserved. Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts on the setting of heritage assets.
	Stoke Holy Cross Conservation Area is 1.67km away.	No effects expected during extraction	No effect post extraction
	There are no Registered Historic Parks and Gardens within 2km of the site.	No effects expected during extraction	No effect post extraction
	There are Historic Environment records of multi-period finds within the site boundary. The site is in a wider landscape with a very significant number of finds and features from multiple periods, including Roman features.	There is the potential that unknown archaeology exists on the site and an assessment of the significance of archaeological deposits will be required at the planning application stage, in order to protect and mitigate the impact of mineral extraction in this site.	No effect post extraction
SA6: To protect and enhance Norfolk's biodiversity and geodiversity	The site is more than 5km from any SPA, SAC or Ramsar site.	<b>0</b> No impacts on SPAs, SACs or Ramsar sites are expected.	<b>0</b> No impacts on SPAs, SACs or Ramsar sites are expected.
5	Shotesham Common SSSI is 2.8km from the site boundary.	There would be no adverse impacts to the SSSI due to distance and because the proposed extraction	No impacts on SSSIs are expected.

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of Extraction Phase	Assessment Post Extraction
		site is located in a different hydrological catchment to the SSSI.	
	The nearest CWS is CWS 268 'Dunston Common' which is 910m from the site boundary.	Due to distance, no impacts on CWS are expected.	No impacts on CWS are expected.
	There are no ancient woodland sites within 3km of the site.	No impacts on ancient woodland are expected.	No impacts on ancient woodland are expected.
	The site consists of the Lowestoft Formation- diamicton, overlying chalk formations.	This site is unlikely to contain geodiversity priority features.	No adverse impacts to geodiversity are expected post restoration. It would be useful for restoration to provide opportunities for further geological research of suitable exposures.
SA7: To promote innovative solutions for the restoration and after use of minerals sites	The site is proposed to be restored mainly to agriculture. Preferred restoration would include wide field margins and enhanced deciduous woodland belts.	<b>0</b> No effect during extraction phase	+ A restoration scheme which includes wide field margins, and some woodland would provide some biodiversity gains.
SA8: To protect and enhance the quality and distinctiveness of the countryside and landscape	The site is not located within the AONB, a Core River Valley or any other designated landscape feature.	- The site is a predominantly flat arable field with a native shrub belt on its boundaries. The only public view point of the site would be from parts of the bridleway that runs along the western boundary. The site is acceptable in landscape terms if appropriate screening is provided to the west and north, as proposed.	<b>0</b> Mineral extraction will result in landscape change; however, an appropriate mitigation strategy and restoration scheme should ensure no unacceptable impacts
SA9: To contribute to improved health and amenity of	There is a Public Right of Way adjacent to the southern boundary of the site (Swardeston	- Care would be needed to ensure that the impact on users of the	<b>0</b> New public footpaths are unlikely to be

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
local communities in Norfolk	BR9) and a PRoW adjacent to the western boundary of the site (Swardeston BR12). The nearest residential property is 214m from the site boundary. There is 1 sensitive receptor within 250m of the site boundary. However, the northern part of the site under	PRoW would not be significant. However, it is considered that appropriate mitigation measures to ensure no unacceptable impacts could be conditioned.	provided within the site on restoration.
	part of the site, under the electricity pylons, is not proposed to be extracted. Therefore the nearest residential property is 418m from the extraction area and there are no sensitive receptors within 250m of the proposed extraction area.		
SA10: To protect and enhance water and soil quality in Norfolk	The site is located over a Secondary (undifferentiated) aquifer (superficial deposits) and a principal aquifer (bedrock). The site is within groundwater Source Protection Zone 2.	-/- If the site is dewatered as part of the extraction the potential for adverse impacts exists, although appropriate assessment and mitigation measures could ensure that no unacceptable impacts occur.	<b>0</b> No effect on water resources is expected post extraction.
	The site is Grade 3 agricultural land and could potentially be Grade 3a which is classified within the Best and Most Versatile agricultural land.	Potential for BMV agricultural land to be affected by mineral extraction within the site.	The site is proposed to be restored back to agriculture. Therefore, as long as the topsoil was stored correctly and then replaced, there would be no likely adverse effect on BMV agricultural land.
SA11: To promote sustainable use of minerals resources	The site is 2.5km from the Norwich urban area and is within the Norwich Policy Area. The site is also 8.6km from Wymondham. These are the nearest settlements allocated	++ Due to distance to nearest settlement allocated for significant growth.	0 No effect post extraction

SA Objective	Comments	Assessment of	Assessment
		Extraction Phase	Post Extraction
	for significant growth in the adopted Local Plan.		
SA12: To reduce the risk of current and future flooding at new and existing development	The site has a low probability of flooding from rivers within the district council SFRA. The site has a low risk of surface water flooding with one area of surface water pooling in a 1 in 30 year and 1 in 100 year rainfall event.	++ The site is at low risk of being affected by flooding from either rivers, the sea or surface water. Sand and gravel extraction is considered to be a 'water compatible' land use which is suitable in all flood zones.	<b>0</b> No effect post extraction / restoration.
SA13: To encourage employment opportunities and promote economic growth	Although employment levels at minerals sites tend to be low, if this site was worked it could offer continuing local employment opportunities. As with all potential minerals sites, it would contribute to economic growth in Norfolk by providing raw materials for the construction industry	+	0 No effect post restoration
Conclusion	The site scores well in terms of proximity to growth locations and is located in an area of low flood risk. There are potential negative effects on the historic environment, landscape, water resources, agricultural land and amenity; however, it is considered that these effects could be appropriately mitigated. There could positive effects for biodiversity on restoration. Sand and gravel extraction has positive economic impacts as it provides raw materials for the construction industry.		