Stephen M Daw Limited

Stephen M Daw MRICS Chartered Minerals Surveyor

Friday Cottage, Mellis Road, Thrandeston, Diss, Norfolk IP21 4BU T & F: 01379 788107 E: stephen@stephenmdaw.plus.com

Your Ref : MIN 212 Our Ref : M(EG)3

N Johnson Esq Head of Planning Norfolk County Council Community and Environmental Services Department Planning Services Floor 6 County Hall Martineau Lane Norwich NR1 2SG

VIA EMAIL ONLY

26th May 2022

Dear Nick,

Land south of Mundham Road, Mundham (MIN 212) : Minerals and Waste Local Plan Review (Publication Stage) : Earsham Gravels Limited

Following attendance at the meeting of the Infrastructure and Development Select Committee on 25 May 2022, at which a report into the Plan was considered, I'm writing to emphasise the reasons why Mineral Site MIN 212 Mundham should remain included as an Allocation in the Plan, as follows:

- During each previous stage of the Plan Review the site has been considered to be acceptable for inclusion and this position has attracted minimal objection from consultees or local residents, either at Mundham or Trowse. Indeed, there has been only a single objection in the consultation process and this relates to a requested change to the MIN 212 Policy wording.
- Whilst the Highways Authority express a preference for the mineral to be processed at source, the Authority has not raised an objection. You will be aware previous haulage of mineral from Mundham to Caistor Quarry by my client was very carefully controlled in terms of the number of daily movements and the timing of those movements to avoid sensitive periods of the day. The MIN 212 site can and will be regulated in the same manner.



• Inclusion of MIN 212 will add just over 10 weeks supply to the required mineral tonnage and so will not have any significant implications for the currently prepared version of the Minerals and Waste Local Plan or the Minerals and Waste Development Scheme, which was presented to and approved by the Infrastructure and Development Select Committee.

I trust you will take the above views into account when progressing the Plan Review.

Yours sincerely,

Stephen M Daw MRICS