



**Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation**

**3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?**

Paragraph

Policy

Policies Map

**4. Do you consider the Local Plan is:**

Please tick as appropriate

4 (i) Legally Compliant

Yes

No

4 (ii) Sound\*

Yes

No

4 (iii) Complies with the Duty to co-operate

Yes

No

*\*If you have entered No to 4 (ii), please continue to 5. In all other circumstances, please go to question 6.*

**5. Do you consider the Local Plan is unsound because it is not...:**

(i) Justified  (ii) Effective  (iii) Positively prepared  (iv) Consistent with National Policy

**6. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate.** Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments

We have some queries and questions. These are not saying the Plan is unsound by asking these queries, but we would welcome thoughts on these and they may result in improvements to the Plan.

3.12 – could the navigable waterways of the Broads be used for the transport of such freight?

MW2 - should this refer to how staff travel to and from the site as a place of work?

MW2 – should this refer to the potential to use clean fuel/net zero emissions fuel for the HGVs or other work vehicles?

MW3 - Where a site will be in place for a number of years, would resilience to the effects of climate change be sensible to consider?

WP9 – aren't anaerobic digesters an in-scope type of development in terms of impact on nutrient enrichment and therefore nutrient neutrality?

Policy WP13 and paragraph 13.5 - Some of the wording in 13.5 is not included in WP13. In particular, there is no mention in the policy of the need to mitigate the potential rapid release of leachate or emissions and odours. This is mentioned in 13.5 but not in the policy. This may be covered to some extent in MW1, but as it is raised specifically in 13.5, does it need to be a consideration for schemes captured by WP13?

WP15.6 – how does the likely requirement for all WRCs to be at best available technology by 2030 relate to what is written here?

*(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)*



MP7 – could the restoration be a walk or cycle route itself – as in, not necessarily connected to the PROW?  
Could it become an attraction itself?

MP7 – what about access to water, if a body of water becomes part of the scheme?

Given the recent announcement from Government in relation to fracking, is that something that the minerals and waste local plan needs to address? Would applications for such sites come to the County or the Local Planning Authority? That being said, the new Prime Minister, Rishi Sunak, has indicated changing stance again to banning fracking unless scientifically proven to not cause issues Does the Minerals and Waste Local Plan need to set out a policy position on fracking, in the interests of clarity?

Could the situation arise whereby peat is excavated, not as a produce to sell, but to access a minerals site or to develop a waste site? Peat has many qualities. We have a policy that seeks the reduction of peat excavated as part of a scheme and its appropriate assessment/'disposal' to address these qualities and prevent it from becoming a carbon source. Should the Minerals and Waste plan have something similar? (See DM10, page 49 Local-Plan-for-the-Broads.pdf (broads-authority.gov.uk)).

Appendix 2 – I am not sure what these are. Are you saying that these policies in another document will still be in place? They have not been reviewed, but left as is? So this Local Plan is additional to these policies? Where are these saved policies? This is not clear and might need explaining better. For example, I searched the document for 'Appendix 2' and the only two occurrences are the title of Appendix 2 and the contents page.

**7. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5 above.** (Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why each modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

*(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)*

**Please note** your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change. There will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage. **After this stage, further submissions will only be at the request of the inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.**

**8. If your representation is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?**

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination