

**Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation****3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?**

Paragraph

M25

Policy

Policies Map

**4. Do you consider the Local Plan is:**

Please tick as appropriate

4 (i) Legally Compliant

Yes

☐

No

☐

4 (ii) Sound\*

Yes

☐

No

☒

4 (iii) Complies with the Duty to co-operate

Yes

☐

No

☐*\*If you have entered No to 4 (ii), please continue to 5. In all other circumstances, please go to question 6.***5. Do you consider the Local Plan is unsound because it is not...:**

(i) Justified

☒

(ii) Effective

☒

(iii) Positively prepared

☐

(iv) Consistent with National Policy

☐

**6. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate.** Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments

M25 1) Looking at it, M25 has by far the highest number of residential properties within 250 metres of the site. All other proposed sights have less than 20 properties. Why? This goes against your own minerals strategic objectives which states it ensures potential impacts on those people living in proximity to the development are controlled, minimised and mitigated to acceptable levels. This is unsound!

M25 i) Also does not address anything in regards to light pollution especially in winter months. The area nominated is actually the highest ~~at~~ elevation within the village. This again is unsound and not prepared well.

M25 8) Describes a small, disused mineral working on the eastern boundary. This belongs to the parish council and is used for recreational facilities for my own children and dog. Apart from the noise and dust, children often play unsupervised. What would happen if they strayed away from there and into a working quarry?! This is at odds with your own policy (MW1) which is supposed to protect public open space, local green space, public rights of way and outdoor recreational facilities

*(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)*

m25 2) Describes 80 HGV movements a day but if the gravel is to be transported from Norton to G. Yarmouth or Lowestoft, further HGV movements will be added and will have to travel through Haddiscoe adding to the already considerable overall traffic count, again this is unsound and incorrectly prepared.

m25) The Haddiscoe site was included to be 1.3m tonnes, the breeden presentation on 16/6/22 estimated that this site would only produce 0.65m tonnes so its removal would have even less impact on your own overall plan. It could also be argued that the inclusion of recycled aggregate removes the need for the Haddiscoe site entirely.

m506) states "to ensure the sustainable and expedient delivery of mineral extraction whilst protecting people from harm and mitigating against unacceptable adverse cumulative impacts." Once such cumulative impact is the call for sites for housing allocation in the greater Norwich development plan. This includes 4 sites in and around A143 and the middle of our village, if some or all of them approved, the timeframes proposed would overlap each other having the potential for at least 2 major construction sites working at either end of the village working at the same time. This is frankly ridiculous, unsound and ineffective.



7. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5 above. (Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why each modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Remove the Haddiscoe proposed pit completely as it is unsound, unjust and unfair !!

(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

**Please note** your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change. There will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

**After this stage, further submissions will only be at the request of the inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.**

8. If your representation is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

Yes, I wish to participate  
at the oral examination

☐

No, I do not wish to participate  
at the oral examination

☒

9. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

N/A

(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)